



### UNITED NATIONS ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME MEDITERRANEAN ACTION PLAN

28 April 2017 Original: English

Meeting of the MED POL Focal Points

Rome, Italy, 29-31 May 2017

Agenda item 7: Implementation of Decision IG 22/7 on IMAP and Articles 7 and 8 of the LBS Protocol

IMAP Common Indicator Guidance Facts Sheets (Pollution and Marine Litter)

For environmental and economy reasons, this document is printed in a limited number and will not be distributed at the meeting. Delegates are kindly requested to bring their copies to meetings and not to request additional copies.

### **Table of Contents**

1. Explanatory Note by the Secretariat1
2. Common indicators Factsheet
Common Indicator 13 (EO5): Concentration of key nutrients in water column <sup>-</sup>
Common Indicator 14 (EO5): Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration in water column
Common Indicator 17 (EO9): Concentration of key harmful contaminants measured in the relevant matrix
Common Indicator 18 (EO9): Level of pollution effects of key contaminants where a cause and effect relationship has been established
Common Indicator 19 (EO9): Occurrence, origin (where possible), and extent of acute pollution events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil products and hazardous substances) and their impact on biota affected by this pollution
Common Indicator 20 (EO9): Actual levels of contaminants that have been detected and number of contaminants which have exceeded maximum regulatory levels in commonly consumed seafood. 32
Common Indicator 21 (EO9): Percentage of intestinal enterococci concentration measurements within established standards
Common indicator 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (including analysis of its composition, spatial distribution and, where possible, source)
Common indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water column including microplastics and on the seafloor
[A] Seafloor Marine Litter
Common indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water column including microplastics and on the seafloor
[B] Floating Marine Litter
Candidate Common indicator 24: Trends in the amount of litter ingested by or entangling marine organisms, especially mammals, marine birds and turtles

### 1. Explanatory Note by the Secretariat

1. The 19th Meeting of Contracting Parties (COP 19), held in February 2016, adopted the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme (IMAP) of the Meditrerranean Sea and Coast and Related Assessment Criteria. In its Decision IG. 22/7, a specific list of good environmental status common indicators and targets and principles of an integrated Mediterranean Monitoring and Assessment Programme, next to a clear timeline for the implementation of this Programme were detailed. IMAP, through Decision IG.22/7 lays down the principles for an integrated monitoring, which will, for the first time, monitor biodiversity and non-indigenous species, pollution and marine litter, coast and hydrography in an integrated manner. The IMAP aims to facilitate the implementation of article 12 of the Barcelona Convention and several monitoring related provisions under different protocols with the main objective to assess GES. Its backbone are the 11 Ecological Objectives and their 27 common indicators as presented in the decision.

2. The UNEP/MAP Programme of Work (PoW) adopted at COP 19, includes the Output 1.4.3 for the Implementation of IMAP (the EcAp-based integrated monitoring and assessment programme) coordinated, including GES common indicators fact sheets, and supported by a data information centre to be integrated into Info/MAP platform.

3. Therefore, the draft guidance factsheets within each Common Indicator have been developed for coherent monitoring, as well as their targets defined and agreed in order to deliver the achievement of Good Environmental Status (GES), In this context, this document outlines the seven Indicator Guidance Factsheets for the Ecological Objectives 9 (Contaminants), 5 (Euthrophication) and 10 (Marine Litter) as follows:

- Common Indicator 13. Concentration of key nutrients in water column (EO5);
- Common Indicator 14. Chlorophyll *a* concentration in water column (EO5);
- Common Indicator 17. Concentration of key harmful contaminants measured in the relevant matrix (EO9);
- Common Indicator 18. Level of pollution effects of key contaminants where a cause and effect relationship has been established (EO9);
- Common Indicator 19. Occurrence, origin (where possible), and extent of acute pollution events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil products and hazardous substances) and their impact on biota affected by this pollution (EO9);
- Common Indicator 20. Actual levels of contaminants that have been detected and number of contaminants which have exceeded maximum regulatory levels in commonly consumed seafood (EO9);
- Common Indicator 21. Percentage of intestinal enterococci concentration measurements within established standards (EO9);
- Common Indicator 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (including analysis of its composition, spatial distribution and, where possible, source);
- Common Indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water column including microplastics and on the seafloor; and
- Candidate Indicator 24: Trends in the amount of litter ingested by or entangling marine organisms, especially mammals, marine birds and turtles

4. This document is based on 40 years of unique work and experience, within the MED POL Programme, as well as a number of initiative and research projects, such as the Horizon 2020 initiative for the depollution of the Mediterranean. Earlier work on indicators includes 36 Indicator Factsheets developed in 2005 by MEDPOL and the development of six indicators for Horizon 2020 in 2014<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>UNEP(DEPI)/MED WG. 399/4. 16 May 2014

5. The main purpose of this revised Indicator Guidance Factsheets is to provide concrete guidance and references to Contracting Parties to support implementation of their revised national monitoring programme towards the overall goal of implementing the Ecosystem Approach (EcAp) in the Mediterranean Sea and achieveing GES.

6. The structure of a Common Indicator Factsheets can be summarized looking at the different organization levels of the developed factsheet templates. A common set of relevant policy and science-based information is required on each (ie. Indicator Title, Rational, Policy Context and Targets, Indicator analysis methods and Methodolgy for monitoring (temporal and spatial scope), Contacts and Document Registration). In each, detailed definitions, methodologies, references, gaps, uncertainties, data analysis approaches, basis for aggregation (if applies) and outputs complete the guidance factsheets (see scheme below).

7. The CORMON meeting on Pollution, held in Marseilles on the 19-21 October, and the CORMON meeting on Marine Litter, held in Madrid on the Madrid, Spain, 28 February – 2 March 2017, reviewed these factsheets and provided comments and suggestions for their revision. This document reflects comments received.

Indicator Title					
Relevant GES	Related	Operational	1	Proposed	IMAP Reference No
definition	Object	ive	]	<b>Farget(s)</b>	and definition
Rationale					
Justification for indica	tor selection				Scientific rationale an
Scientific References					marine policy context
Policy Context and tar	gets				(including relevant
Policy context descript	ion				references)
Targets					
Policy documents					
Indicator analysis met	hods				
Indicator Definition					
Methodology for indic	ator calculation	l			
Indicator units					
List of Guidance docu	ments and prote	ocols available			Agreed scientific
Data Confidence and u	incertainties				including detailed
Methodology for moni	toring, tempora	al and spatial scope			monitoring
Available Methodologi	es for Monitori	ing and Monitoring	Protoco	5	requirements
Available data sources	1				•
Spatial scope guidance	and selection o	of monitoring station	IS		
Temporal Scope guida	nce				
Data analysis and asse	ssment outputs				
Statistical analysis and	basis for aggre	egation			Data reporting,
Expected assessments	outputs				analysis and
Known gaps and uncer	rtainties in the I	Mediterranean			aggregation (outpout)
Contacts and version I	Date				
Key contacts within U	NEP for further	r information			
Version No	Date		I	Author	Document Registratio
					Document Registratio

Scheme of IMAP Factsheet Template:

### 2. Common indicators Factsheet

Indicator Title	13. Concentration of key nutrients in water column (EO5)		
<b>Relevant GES definition</b>	<b>Related Operational Objective</b>	<b>Proposed Target(s)</b>	
Relevant GES definition Concentrations of nutrients in the euphotic layer are in line with prevailing physiographic, geographic and climate conditions	Related Operational Objective Human introduction of nutrients in the marine environment is not conducive to eutrophication	<ul> <li>Proposed Target(s)</li> <li>1. Reference nutrients concentrations according to the local hydrological, chemical and morphological characteristics of the un- impacted marine region.</li> <li>2. Decreasing trend of nutrients concentrations in water column of human impacted areas, statistically defined.</li> <li>3. Reduction of BOD emissions from land based sources.</li> <li>4. Reduction of nutrients emissions from land based</li> </ul>	

### Common Indicator 13 (EO5): Concentration of key nutrients in water column<sup>2,3</sup>

### Rational

### Justification for indicator selector

Eutrophication is a process driven by enrichment of water by nutrients, especially compounds of nitrogen and/or phosphorus, leading to: increased growth, primary production and biomass of algae; changes in the balance of nutrients causing changes to the balance of organisms; and water quality degradation. The direct and indirect consequences of eutrophicationare undesirable when they degrade ecosystem health and/or the sustainable provision of goods and services, such as algal blooms, dissolved oxygen deficiency, declines in sea-grasses, mortality of benthic organisms and/or fish. Altough, these changes may also occur due to natural processes, the management concern begins when they are attributed to anthropogenic sources.

### Scientific References

- i. Brzezinski M.A., 1985. The Si:C:N ratio of marine diatoms: interspecific variability and the effect of some environmental variables. Journal of Phycology, Vo. 21, pp. 347–357.
- ii. Conley D.J., Schelske C.L., Stoermer E. F., 1993. Modification of the biogeochemical cycle of silica with eutrophication. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 101, 179-192.
- iii. Devlin, M., Painting, S., Best, M., 2007. Setting nutrient thresholds to support an ecological assessment based on nutrient enrichment, potential primary production and undesirable disturbance. Mar. Poll., 55., 65-73.
- iv. Carstensen, J., 2007. Statistical principles for ecological status classification of Water Framework Directive monitoring data. Mar. Poll., 55, 3-15.

Policy Context and targets

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note that this builds upon a previous indicator factsheet developed under Horizon 2020. H2020 Indicators Fact Sheets. Regional meeting on PRTR and Pollution indicators, Ankara (Turkey), 16-17 June 2014. (UNEP(DEPI)/MED WG. 399/4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>MSFD Descriptor 5: Human-induced eutrophication is minimized, especially adverse effects thereof, such as losses in biodiversity, ecosystem degradation, harmful algae blooms and oxygen deficiency in bottom waters.

Indicator Title	13. Concentration of key nutrients in water column (EO5)

### Policy context description

In the Mediterranean, the UNEP/MAP MED POL Monitoring programme included from its inception the study of eutrophication as part of its seven pilot projects approved by the Contracting Parties at the Barcelona meeting in 1975 (UNEP MAP, 1990a,b). The issue of a consistent monitoring strategy and assessment of eutrophication was first raised at the UNEP/MAP MED POL National Coordinators Meeting in 2001 (Venice, Italy) which recommended to the Secretariat to elaborate a draft programme for monitoring of eutrophication in the Mediterranean coastal waters (UNEP/MAP MED POL, 2003). In spite of a series of assessments reviewing the concept and state of eutrophication, there are important gaps in the capacity to assess the intensity of this phenomenon. Efforts have been devoted to define the concepts to assess the intensity and to extend experience beyond the initial sites in the Adriatic Sea, admittedly, the most eutrophic area in the entire Mediterranean Sea. In the context of the Mediterranean Sea, the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programe (UNEP/MAP, 2016) and the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2000/56/EC) are the two main policy tolos for the eutrophication phenomenon.

### Targets

For each considered marine spatial scale (region, sub-region, local water mass, etc.) the nutrient levels should be compared based on base reference levels and trends monitoring until commonly agreed thresholds have been scientifically assessed and agreed upon in the Mediterranean Sea.

### **Policy documents**

### **General Policy documents**

- i. 19th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016. Decision IG.22/7 Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme (IMAP) of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast and Related Assessment Criteria (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/28)
- ii. 19th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016.Draft Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/Inf.7)
- 18th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Istanbul, Turkey, 2013. Decision IG.21/3 Ecosystems Approach including adopting definitions of Good Environmental Status (GES) and Targets. UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.21/9
- iv. Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).

### Nutrient/Eutrophication related Policy documents

- v. UNEP/MAP MED POL (2003). Eutrophication Monitoring Strategy of UNEP/MAP MED POL. UNEP(DEPI)/MED WG.231/14. UNEP, Athens.
- vi. Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy.
- vii. UNEP/FAO/WHO (1996). 'Assessment of the state of eutrophication in the Mediterranean Sea'. MAP Technical Reports Series No 106. UNEP, Athens, 211 pp.
- viii. UNEP/MAP MED POL (1990a). Activity IV: Research on the effects of pollutants on Marine Organisms and their Populations (UNEP/MAP MED POL Phase I, 1975-1981).
- ix. UNEP/MAP MED POL (1990b). Activity V: Research on the effects of pollutants on Marine Communities and Ecosystems (UNEP/MAP MED POL Phase I, 1975-1981).

### Indicator analysis methods

Indicator Title	13 Concentration of key nutrients in water column (EO5)
Indicator Definition	13. Concentration of key nutrients in water column (EO3)
Indicator Definition	
Concentration of key (inorganic	c) nutrients in the water column:
Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> -N)	
Nitrite (NO <sub>2</sub> -N)	
Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> -N)	
Total Nitrogen (TN)	
Orthophosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> -P)	
Total Phosphorus (TP)	
Orthosilicate (SiO <sub>4</sub> -Si)	
Sub-Indicators: Nutrient ratios	(molar) of silica, nitrogen and phosphorus where appropriate:
Si:N, N:P, Si:P	
Methodology for indicator cal	lculation
All: Spectrophotometry (manua	ally or automated methods and instrumentation)
Indicator units	
All micromol per liter that is r	nicromolar concentration ( $\mu$ mol/I $-\mu$ M)
Ratios: adimensional (simple m	$\mu$ the matical derivation of ratios from nutrient concentrations)

### List of Guidance documents and protocols available

- i. OSPAR, 2012. OSPAR MSFD Advice Document on Eutrophication. Approaches to determining good environmental status, setting of environmental targets and selecting indicators for Marine Strategy Framework Directive descriptor 5.
- Piha, H., Zampoucas, N., 2011. Review of Methodological Standards Related to the Marine Strategy Framework Directive Criteria on Good Environmental Status. JRC Scientific and Technical Reports, EUR 24743 EN
- UNEP/MAP MED POL (2005). Sampling and Analysis Techniques for the Eutrophication Monitoring Strategy of UNEP/MAP MED POL. MAP Technical Reports Series No. 163. UNEP, Athens. 61pp.
- iv. Durairaj, P., Sarangi, R.K., Ramalingam, S. *et al.* Seasonal nitrate algorithms for nitrate retrieval using OCEANSAT-2 and MODIS-AQUA satellite data. Environ Monit Assess (2015) 187: 176.
- v. See also UNEP/MAP website (<u>http://web.unep.org/unepmap</u>)

### **Data Confidence and uncertainties**

Despite the great variability born by the water layers subject to active hydrodynamic processes, monitoring the characteristics of the seawater is still the most direct way of assessing eutrophication. Inorganic nutrients may be determined either at the surface or at various depths.

### Methodology for monitoring, temporal and spatial scope

### Available Methodologies for Monitoring and Monitoring Protocols

Traditional methods for eutrophication monitoring in coastal waters involve *in situ* sampling/measurements of commonly measured parameters such as nutrients concentration. Concerning available methods for *in situ* measurements, ships provide flexible platforms for eutrophication monitoring, while remote sensing provides opportunities for a synoptic view over

Indicator Title	13. Concentration of key nutrients in water column (EO5)
regions or sub-regions. Beside	s traditional ship measurements, ferry-boxes and other autonomous
measuring devices have been de	eveloped that allow high frequency and continuous measurements.

Sampling for the determination of *in vitro* fluorescence and nutrient analysis may be carried out with relatively little effort if a proper pump and hose are mounted on the ship. The measurements may be done at the surface or just below it with a water intake on the hull of the vessel or at fixed or varying depths with a towed "fish" and pumping system.

### Available data sources

**EMODNET** Chemistry:

http://www.emodnet-chemistry.eu/data\_access.html

EEA Waterbase - Transitional, coastal and marine waters: <u>http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/waterbase-transitional-coastal-and-marine-waters-11</u>

### Spatial scope guidance and selection of monitoring stations

The first factor promoting eutrophication is nutrient enrichment. This explains why the main eutrophic areas are to be found primarily not far from the coast, mainly in areas receiving high nutrient loads, despite some natural symptoms of eutrophication can also be found, such as in upwelling areas. Additionally, the risk of eutrophication is linked to the capacity of the marine environment to confine growing algae in the well-lighted surface layer. The geographical extent of potentially eutrophic waters may vary widely, depending on:

- (i) the extent of shallow areas, i.e. with depth  $\leq 20$  m;
- (ii) the extent of stratified river plumes, which can create a shallow surface layer separated by a halocline from the bottom layer, whatever its depth;
- (iii) extended water residence times in enclosed seas leading to blooms triggered to a large degree by internal and external nutrient pools; and
- (iv) upwelling phenomena leading to autochthonous nutrient supply and high nutrient concentrations from deep water nutrient pools, which can be of natural or human origin.

Therefore, the geographical scale of monitoring for the assessment of GES for eutrophication will depend on the hydrological and morphological conditions of an area, particularly the freshwater inputs from rivers, the salinity, the general circulation, upwelling and stratification. The spatial distribution of the monitoring stations should, prior to the establishment of the eutrophication status of the marine sub-region/area, be risk-based and proportionate to the anticipated extent of eutrophication in the sub-region under consideration as well as its hydrographic characteristics aiming for the determination of spatially homogeneous areas. The eutrophication monitoring programes should pursue to assess the eutrophication phenomena, based on the differentiation of the scale and time dependant signalsfrom human induced versus natural eutrophication.

### **Temporal Scope guidance**

Flexibility should be incorporated into the design of the monitoring programme to take account of differences in each marine sub-region/area. At the Mediterranean Sea latitudes, in general terms, the pre-summer and Winter primary production bloom intensity peaks of natural eutrophication will define the strategy for the sampling frequency, altough year round measurements of nutrients may be more appropriate. The optimum frequency (seasonal 2 to 4 times per year or monthly 12 times per year) for the monitoring of nutrients at the selected stations should be choseen taking into account the necessity of both to control the deviations of the known natural cycles of eutrophication in coastal areas and the control of (decreasing) trends monitoring impacted areas, therefore, from low frequency (mínimum)to high frequency measurements.

Indicator Title	13. Concentration of key nutrients in water column (EQ5)
Therefore, either for impacted	or non-impacted coastal waters the optimal frequency per year and
sampling locations needs to be	selected at a local scales, whilst for open waters the sampling frequency
to be determined on a sub-region	anal level following a risk based approach
Mainly in order to build a robu	st sampling frequency scale in future a sounded statistical approach has
to be developed that take in	account the discriminant limit between classes when the nutrient
boundaries approach will be will	debut the discriminant mint between classes when the nutrent
Data analysis and assessment	autouta
Data analysis and assessment	outputs
Despite the individual nutrient analysis against known referer evaluation of information provi be noted that the Mediterranean methods such as TRIX, UN concentratons are part of these national levels because there is assessing eutrophication trends However, in order to increase methodologies is recommended workshops, dialogue and co Mediterranean with a view to fi EXAMPLE: The trophic index	concentrations and nutrient ratios will be evaluated based on statistical ace levels and known marine eutrophication processes, following the ded by a number of countries and other available information, it has to countries are using different eutrophication non-mandatory assessment UTRIX, Eutrophication scale, EI, HEAT, OSPAR, etc. Nutrients tools and is very important to continue to be used at sub-regional or a long-term experience within countries which can reveal / be used for . e coherency and comparability regarding eutrophication assessment that further efforts should be made to harmonize existing tools through omparative exercises at regional/subregional/subdivision levels in urther develop common assessment methods. x (TRIX; Vollenweider <i>et al.</i> , 1998) may be used for a preliminary us of coestal waters in relation to autrophication providing that its
assessment of the trophic stat advantages and shortcomings UNEP/MAP MED POL shor support the TRIX. This Index in numeric expression to make inf TRIX = (Log10 [ChA · aD%O·I	us of coastal waters in relation to eutrophication providing that its are taken into account (Primpas and Karydis, 2011). The adopted t-term eutrophication monitoring strategy monitored parameters to s widely used to synthesize key eutrophication variables into a simple formation comparable over a wide range of trophic situations: $DIN \cdot TP]+ k) \cdot m$ , where:
DIN = Dissolved Inorganic N $\mu g/L; k=1.5; m = 10/12 = 0.8$	tion as $\mu g/L$ ; aD%O = Oxygen as absolute % deviation from saturation; Nitrogen, N-(NO <sub>3</sub> +NO <sub>2</sub> +NH <sub>4</sub> ) as $\mu g/L$ ; TP = Total Phosphorus as 833
Expected assessments outputs	\$ \$
As suggested by the on line exp recommended that with regard determined and agreed upon, G	ert group on eutrophication established by the Contracting parties it is to nutrient concentrations, until commonly agreed thresholds have been ES may be determined on a levels and trend monitoring basis.
Known gaps and uncertaintie	es in the Miediterranean
For a complete assessment of conditions (natural background must be set in the near futu transparency and oxygen as m shoudl include quality assurance Nutrient, transparency and or areas, since is recognized th values. GES could be define (such as the Northern Adrian the morphology of the area.	eutrophication and GES achievement, GES thresholds and reference concentrations) are needed not only for chlorophyll <i>a</i> , but such values re, through dedicated workshops and exercises also for nutrients, minimum requirements (see also related Common Indicator 14). This es schemes, as well as data quality control protocols. xygen thresholds and reference values may not be identical for all at area-specific environmental conditions must define threshold ed on a sub-regional level, or on a sub-division of the sub-region tic), due to local specificities in relation to the trophic level and
Contacts and version Date	
httn•//www.unenman.org	

http://www.unepmap.org			
Version No	Date	Author	
V.1	28.04.17	MEDPOL	

Indicator Title	14. Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration in water column (EO5)		
<b>Relevant GES definition</b>	<b>Related Operational Objective</b>	Proposed Target(s)	
Indicator Title Relevant GES definition Natural levels of algal biomass, water transparency and oxygen concentrations in line with prevailing physiographic, geographic and weather conditions	14. Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration in <b>Related Operational Objective</b> Direct and indirect effects of nutrient over-enrichment are prevented	<ul> <li>water column (EO5)</li> <li>Proposed Target(s)</li> <li>1. Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentrations in high-risk areas below thresholds</li> <li>2. Decreasing trend in chl-<i>a</i> concentrations in high risk areas affected by human activities</li> <li>3. Index of turbidity behind threshold in high risk areas</li> <li>4. Increasing trend of transparency in areas impacted by human activities</li> <li>5. Dissolved oxygen concentrations in high-risk areas above local threshold</li> <li>6. Increasing trend in dissolved oxygen concentrations in areas impacted by human activities</li> </ul>	
Pational			
Nativilai			

### Common Indicator 14 (EO5): Chlorophyll *a* concentration in water column<sup>4</sup>

### Justification for indicator selector

Eutrophication is a process driven by enrichment of water by nutrients, especially compounds of nitrogen and/or phosphorus, leading to: increased growth, primary production and biomass of algae; changes in the balance of nutrients causing changes to the balance of organisms; and water quality degradation. The consequences of eutrophication are undesirable if they appreciably degrade ecosystem health and/or the sustainable provision of goods and services, such as excessive algal blooms, dissolved oxygen deficiency, declines in sea-grasses, mortality of benthic organisms and/or fish. Altough, these changes may also occur due to natural processes, the management concern begins when they are attributed to anthropogenic sources.

### Scientific References

- i. Boyer J.N. Kelble C.R., Ortner P.B., Rudnick D.T., 2009. Phytoplankton bloom status: Chlorophyll *a* biomass as an indicator of water quality condition in the southern estuaries of Florida, USA. Ecological Indicators 9s:s56- s67.
- ii. Primpas I., Karydis M., 2011. Scaling the trophic index (TRIX) in oligotrophic marine environments. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment July 2011, Volume 178, Issue 1-4, pp 257-269.
- iii. Vollenweider, R.A., Giovanardi F., Montanari, G., Rinaldi A., 1998. Characterization of the trophic conditions of marine coastal waters, with special reference to the NW Adriatic Sea:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> MSFD Descriptor 5: Human-induced eutrophication is minimized, especially adverse effects thereof, such as losses in biodiversity, ecosystem degradation, harmful algae blooms and oxygen deficiency in bottom waters.

Indicator Title	14. Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration in water column (EO5)
proposal for a trophic s	cale, turbidity and generalized water quality index. Environmetrics, 9,
329-357.	

### **Policy Context and targets**

### Policy context description

In the Mediterranean, the UNEP/MAP MED POL Monitoring programme included from its inception the study of eutrophication as part of its seven pilot projects approved by the Contracting Parties at the Barcelona meeting in 1975 (UNEP MAP, 1990a,b). The issue of a consistent monitoring strategy and assessment of eutrophication was first raised at the UNEP/MAP MED POL National Coordinators Meeting in 2001 (Venice, Italy) which recommended to the Secretariat to elaborate a draft programme for monitoring of eutrophication in the Mediterranean coastal waters (UNEP/MAP MED POL, 2003). In spite of a series of assessments reviewing the concept and state of eutrophication, there are important gaps in the capacity to assess the intensity of this phenomenon. Efforts have been devoted to define the concepts to assess the intensity and to extend experience beyond the initial sites in the Adriatic Sea, admittedly, the most eutrophic area in the entire Mediterranean Sea. In the context of the Mediterranean Sea, the European Marien Strategy Framework Directive (200/56/EC) and the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programe (UNEP/MAP, 2016), are the two main policy tools for the eutrophication phenomenon.

### Targets

For each defined marine spatial scale (region, sub-region, etc.) the levels should be compared against agreed threshold levels defining High/Good and Good/Medium environmental status based on the indicative thresholds and reference values of Chlorophyll *a*- in Mediterranean coastal water types, according to the Commission Decision of 20 September 2013 (2013/480/EU) establishing, pursuant to Directive 2000/60/EC (WFD), the values of the Member State monitoring system classifications as a result of the intercalibration exercise and repealing Decision 2008/915/EC, recalling on reference conditions (High/Good) and boundaries of good/moderate status (G/M).

### **Policy documents**

### **General Policy documents**

- i. 19th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016. Decision IG.22/7 Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme (IMAP) of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast and Related Assessment Criteria (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/28)
- ii. 19th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016.Draft Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/Inf.7)
- 18th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Istanbul, Turkey, 2013.Decision IG.21/3 -Ecosystems Approach including adopting definitions of Good Environmental Status (GES) and Targets. UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.21/9
- iv. Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).

### Nutrient/Eutrophication related Policy documents

- v. UNEP/MAP MED POL (2003). Eutrophication Monitoring Strategy of UNEP/MAP MED POL. UNEP(DEPI)MED WG.231/14. UNEP, Athens.
- vi. Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy.
- vii. UNEP/FAO/WHO (1996). 'Assessment of the state of eutrophication in the Mediterranean Sea'. MAP Technical Reports Series No 106. UNEP, Athens, 211 pp.
- viii. UNEP/MAP MED POL (1990a). Activity IV: Research on the effects of pollutants on Marine Organisms and their Populations (UNEP/MAP MED POL Phase I, 1975-1981).

Indica	tor Title	14. Chlorophyll a concentration in water column (EO5)
ix.	UNEP/MAP MED PO	L(1990b). Activity V: Research on the effects of pollutants on Marine
	Communities and Ecos	ystems (UNEP/MAP MED POL Phase I, 1975-1981).

### **Indicator analysis methods**

### Indicator Definition

Chlorophyll *a* concentration in the water column (State, Impact Indicator);

Sub-Indicators: Water Transparency (State, Impact Indicator) and Dissolved oxygen (State, Impact Indicator)

### Methodology for indicator calculation

Chlorophyll *a*: Spectrophotometry.

ISO 10260 (1992) on spectrometric determination of the chlorophyll a concentration provides a standard method for quantification of chlorophyll a.

Water transparency: measured as Secchi disk depth or according to ISO 7027:1999 Water Quality-Determination of Turbidity

Dissolved Oxygen: Chemical methods, Oxygen sensors, etc. measured near the bottom (under the euphotic layer/oxycline)

### Indicator units

microgram per liter ( $\mu$ g/L) - Chlorophyll *a* 

meters - Secchi disk depth; NTU Turbidity Scale (Nephelometric Turbidity Units) - Water transparency

milligram per liter (mg/L) and % Saturation (if temperature and salinity is known) – Dissolved Oxygen

### List of Guidance documents and protocols available

- i. OSPAR, 2012. OSPAR MSFD Advice Document on Eutrophication. Approaches to determining good environmental status, setting of environmental targets and selecting indicators for Marine Strategy Framework Directive descriptor 5
- Piha, H., Zampoucas, N., 2011. Review of Methodological Standards Related to the Marine Strategy Framework Directive Criteria on Good Environmental Status. JRC Scientific and Technical Reports, EUR 24743 EN
- UNEP/MAP MED POL, 2005. Sampling and Analysis Techniques for the Eutrophication Monitoring Strategy of UNEP/MAP MED POL. MAP Technical Reports Series No. 163. UNEP, Athens. 61pp.

### Data Confidence and uncertainties

Despite the great variability born by the water layers subject to active hydrodynamic processes, monitoring the characteristics of the seawater is still the most direct way of assessing eutrophication. A number of parameters have been identified as providing most information relative to eutrophication e.g. chlorophyll *a*, dissolved oxygen, inorganic nutrients, organic matter, suspended solids, light penetration, aquatic macro-phytes, zoo benthos, etc. They all may be determined either at the surface or at various depths.

If only limited means are available, determination of those parameters that synthesize the most information should be retained. Chlorophyll *a* determinations for example, although not very precise representations of the system, are data which provide a great deal of information. Turbidity may also be a good measure of eutrophication, except near the mouths of rivers where inert suspended solids may be extremely abundant. Dissolved oxygen is one parameter that integrates much information on the processes involved in eutrophication, provided it is measured near the bottom or, at least, below the euphotic zone where an oxycline usually appears.

	Indicator Title	14. Chlorophyll a concentration in water column (EO5)
--	-----------------	---

### Methodology for monitoring, temporal and spatial scope

### Available Methodologies for Monitoring and Monitoring Protocols

Traditional methods for eutrophication monitoring in coastal waters involve *in situ* sampling/measurements of commonly measured parameters such as nutrients concentration, chlorophyll *a* concentration, phytoplankton abundance and composition, transparency and dissolved oxygen concentration. Concerning available methods for *in situ* measurements, ships provide flexible platforms for eutrophication monitoring, while remote sensing provides opportunities for a synoptic view over regions or sub-regions. Besides traditional ship measurements, ferry-boxes and other autonomous measuring devices have been developed that allow high frequency and continuous measurements.

Modelling and remote sensing should also be considered as area integrating in addition to *in situ* measurements, depending on the requirements with respect to data. In general, *in situ* measurements always remain necessary to validate and calibrate the models and data calculated from satellite measurements.

However, satellite data need to be supported by ground truth data. A good strategy appears to be a combination of remote sensing and scanning of the area known or suspected to be affected with automatic measuring instruments such as thermo-salinometer, dissolved oxygen sensors and *in vivo* fluorometer and/or nephelometer. Sampling for the determination of *in vitro* fluorescence and nutrient analysis may be carried out with relatively little effort if a proper pump and hose are mounted on the ship. The measurements may be done at the surface or just below it with a water intake on the hull of the vessel or at fixed or varying depths with a towed "fish" and pumping system.

### Available data sources

http://www.unepmap.org

Satellite databases such as in EMIS http://mcc.jrc.ec.europa.eu/emis/

### Spatial scope guidance and selection of monitoring stations

The extent of eutrophication shows spatial variation, for instance coastal regions versus the open sea. The frequency and spatial resolution of the monitoring programme should reflect this spatial variation in eutrophication status and pressures following a risk based approach and the precautionary principle. The geographical extent of potentially eutrophic waters may vary widely, depending on:

- (i) the extent of shallow areas, i.e. with depth  $\leq 20$  m;
- (ii) the extent of stratified river plumes, which can create a shallow surface layer separated by a halocline from the bottom layer, whatever its depth
- (iii) extended water residence times in enclosed seas leading to blooms triggered to a large degree by internal and external nutrient pools; and
- (iv) upwelling phenomena leading to autochthonous nutrient supply and high nutrient concentrations from deep water nutrient pools, which can be of natural or human origin.

Therefore, the geographical scale of monitoring for the assessment of GES for eutrophication will depend on the hydrological and morphological conditions of an area, particularly the freshwater inputs from rivers, the salinity, the general circulation, upwelling and stratification. The spatial distribution of the monitoring stations should, prior to the establishment of the eutrophication status of the marine sub-region/area, be risk-based and proportionate to the anticipated extent of eutrophication in the sub-region under consideration as well as its hydrographic characteristics aiming for the determination of spatially homogeneous areas. The eutrophication monitoring programes should pursue to assess the eutrophication phenomena, based on the differentiation of the scale and time dependant signals from human induced versus natural eutrophication.

### **Temporal Scope guidance**

The current national eutrophication monitoring programme implemented so far by the Contracting Parties in the framework of the UNEP/MAP MED POL programme should be used as a sound basis for monitoring under the EcAp. It could be recommended:

Indicator Title 1	4. Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration in water column (EO5)
Chlorophyll a: For coastal statio	ns minimum sampling 4/year, 6-12 /year recommended; For open
waters sampling frequency to be	determined on a sub-regional level following a risk based approach
Water transparency: id. Chloroph	ıyll a
Dissolved Oxygen: id. Chlorophy	yll a
Aditionaly, in order to build a rob	ust sampling frequency scale in future a sounded statistical approach
has to be developed that take in	n account the discriminant limit between classes when the class
boundary approach will be widely	y accepted.
Data analysis and assessment o	utputs
Statistical analysis and basis for	r aggregation
The classification scheme on chl method easily applicable by all reference values adopted. Furth Comission with regard eutroph Further, it has to be noted that th mandatory assessment methods s etc. These tools are very importa there is a long-term experienc eutrophication trends. However, in order to increase c methodologies is recommended through workshops, dialogue and	orophyll <i>a</i> concentration developed by MEDGIG as an assessment Mediterranean countries based on the indicative thresholds and ler, developments within the European MSFD and OSPAR nication should also be taken into account. Ne Mediterranean countries are using different eutrophication non- such as TRIX, UNTRIX, Eutrophication scale, EI, HEAT, OSPAR, nt to continue to be used at sub-regional or national levels because within countries which can reveal / be used for assessing coherency and comparability regarding eutrophication assessment that further efforts should be made to harmonize existing tools comparative exercises at regional/subregional/subdivision levels in
Mediterranean with a view to furt EXAMPLE: The trophic index ( assessment of the trophic status advantages and shortcomings ar UNEP/MAP MED POL short-to support the TRIX. This Index is v numeric expression to make infor TRIX = (Log10 [ChA·aD%O·DI ChA = Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentra saturation; DIN = Dissolved Inorganic Ni as $\mu$ g/L; k=1.5; m = 10/12 = 0.	ther develop common assessment methods. (TRIX, Vollenweider <i>et al.</i> , 1998) may be used for a preliminary of coastal waters in relation to eutrophication providing that its re taken into account (Primpas and Karydis, 2011). The adopted erm eutrophication monitoring strategy monitored parameters to widely used to synthesize key eutrophication variables into a simple rmation comparable over a wide range of trophic situations: $N \cdot TP]+ k) \cdot m$ , where: ation as $\mu g/L$ ; aD%O = Oxygen as absolute % deviation from trogen, N-(NO3+NO2+NH4) as $\mu g/L$ ; TP = Total Phosphorus .833
Expected assessments outputs	
GES thresholds and trends are availability and agreement on G there is experience with regard Mediterranean region, quantitative conditions for coastal waters could	recommended to be used in a combined way, according to data ES threshold levels. In the framework of UNEP/MAP MED POL d to using quantitative thresholds. It is proposed that for the ve thresholds between "good" (GES) and "moderate" (non GES) ld be based as appropriate on the work carried out in the framework

of the MEDGIG intercalibration process of the EU Water Framework Directive (WFD). The Contracting Parties are recommended to rely on the classification scheme on chlorophyll a concentration ( $\mu$ g/L) in coastal waters as a parameter easily applicable by all Mediterranean countries based on the indicative thresholds and reference values of chlorophyll a in Mediterranean coastal water types (according to 2013/480/EU, see reference below), recalling on reference conditions and boundaries of good/moderate status (G/M).

In this context regarding the definition of subregional thresholds for chlorophyll *a* water typology is very important for further development of classification schemes of a certain area. Within the MEDGIG exercise the recommended water types for applying eutrophication assessment is based on hydrological parameters characterizing a certain area dynamics and circulation.

<b>Indicator Title</b> 14. Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration in water column (EO5)	Indicator Title	14. Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration in water column (EO5)	
---	-----------------	--	--

2013/480/EU: Commission Decision of 20 September 2013 establishing, pursuant to Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, the values of the Member State monitoring system classifications as a result of the intercalibration exercise and repealing Decision 2008/915/EC

### Known gaps and uncertainties in the Mediterranean

For a complete assessment of eutrophication and GES achievement, GES thresholds and reference conditions (natural background concentrations) are needed not only for chlorophyll *a*, but such values must be set, in the near future, through dedicated workshops and exercises also, water ransparency and oxygen as minimum requirements, where appropriate. This should include quality assurance schemes, as well as data quality control protocols.

Further, in order to increase coherency and comparability regarding eutrophication assessment methodologies is recommended that further efforts should be made to harmonize existing tools through workshops, dialogue and comparative exercises at regional/subregional/subdivision levels in Mediterranean with a view to further improve and develop common assessment methods.

Contacts and version Date		
http://www.unepmap.org		
Version No	Date	Author
V.1	28.04.17	MEDPOL

Indicator Title	17. Concentration of key harmful c	ontaminants measured in the
	relevant matrix (EO9)	
<b>Relevant GES definition</b>	<b>Related Operational Objective</b>	Proposed Target(s)
<b>Relevant GES definition</b> Level of pollution is below a determined threshold defined for the area and species	Related Operational Objective Concentration of priority contaminants is kept within acceptable limits and does not increase	<ul> <li>Proposed Target(s)</li> <li>1. Concentrations of specific contaminants below either Background Assessment Criteria or Environmental Assessment Criteria (BACs/EACs) or below reference concentrations (from other sources)</li> <li>2. No deterioration trends in contaminants concentrations in sediment and biota from human impacted areas, statistically defined</li> <li>3. Reduction of contaminants emissions from land based</li> </ul>
		sources
Rational		

### Common Indicator 17 (EO9): Concentration of key harmful contaminants measured in the relevant matrix<sup>5</sup>

### Justification for indicator selector

Environmental chemical pollution is directly linked with humankind activities and advancements. Marine environmental investigations have detected thousands of man-made chemicals (both inorganic and organic compounds) all over the world oceans, which have been shown to impair the health of the marine ecosystems and their ecosystem services. The study of the occurrence, transport, transformation and fate, through the different ecosystem compartments (seawater column, marine biota, sediment, etc.), as well as the study of their sources and entry routes (land-based, marine and atmospheric) are the first steps to understand and discover a growing environmental problem. The monitoring of the spatial and temporal scales of the harmful and noxious substances occurrence determines either a chronic or acute contamination/pollution episode. Currently, new man-made chemicals and emerging pollutants continue to enter the marine environment and interact with the different marine ecosystems (coastal, open ocean, deep-sea areas), increasing the complexity of the chemical pollution threats for the marine environment and their future sustainability to deliver its benefits.

### **Scientific References**

- i. Clark, R.B., 1986. Marine Pollution, Oxford University Press.
- Neff, J.M., 1979. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the aquatic environment. Sources, ii. fates and biological effects. Applied Science Publishers, Ltd., London.
- iii. Goldberg, E. D., 1975. The Musssel Watch - a first step in global marine monitoring. *Mar.Poll.Bull.*, 6, 111.
- Bricker, S., Lauenstein, G., Maruya, K., 2014. NOAA's Mussel Watch Program: iv. Incorporating contaminants of emerging concern (CECs) into a long-term monitoring program. Mar. Poll. Bull., 81, 289-290.
- Furdek, M., Vahcic, M., Šcancar, J., Milacic, R., Kniewald, G., Mikac, N., 2012. Organotin v. compounds in seawater and Mytilus galloprovincialis mussels along the Croatian Adriatic Coast. Mar. Poll. Bull., 64, 189-199

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MSFD Descriptor 8: Concentrations of contaminants are at levels not giving rise to pollution effects

Indicator Title 17. Concentration of key harmful contaminants measured in		17. Concentration of key harmful contaminants measured in the
		relevant matrix (EO9)
vi.	Nakata, H., Shinohara	, R.I., Nakazawa, Y., Isobe, T., Sudaryanto, A., Subramanian, A.,
	Tanabe, S., Zakaria, M	I.P., Zheng, G.J., Lam, P.K.S., Young Kim, E., Yoon Min, B., Wef,
	S.U., Hung Viet, P., Ta	na, T.S., Prudente, M., Donnell, F., Lauenstein, G., Kannan, K., 2012.
	Asia-Pacific mussel w	watch for emerging pollutants: Distribution of synthetic musks and
	benzotriazole UV stabi	ilizers in Asian and US coastal waters. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 64, 2211-
	2218	
vii.	Richardson, S., 2004.	Environmental Mass Espectrometry: Emerging contaminants and
	current issues. Anal. C	hem., 76, 3337-3364.
viii.	Schulz-Bull, D.E., Petr	rick, G., Bruhn, R., Duinker, J.C., 1998. Chlorobiphenyls (PCB) and

PAHs in water masses of the northern North Atlantic. Mar. Chem., 61, 101-114.

### **Policy Context and targets**

### Policy context description

In most Mediterranean countries, the monitoring of a range of hazardous chemical substances in different marine ecosystem compartments are undertaken in response to the UNEP/MAP Barcelona Convention (1975) and its Land-Based Protocol, the UNEP/MAP MED POL Monitoring Program, as well as international, european (e.g. EU WFD or EU MSFD) or other national policy drivers. A considerable amount of founding actions are available through the pollution monitoring and assessment component of the UNEP/MAP MED POL Programme from the past decades, including monitoring pilot programmes (ecotoxicological effects of contaminats). The environmental assessments have been used for the identification and confirmation of significant marine contaminants occurrence, distributions, levels and trends; as well as, for the continuous development of monitoring strategies and guidance. With respect to the Ecosystem Approach and IMAP, their implementation will continue under the benefits gained from this past knowledge and its policy framework built in the Mediterranean Sea.

### Targets

Initial targets of GES under Common Indicator 17 will be focused on the control of environmental levels, trend improvements and the reduction of emissions at sources. The targets monitoring will be based upon data of a relatively small number of both legacy and 'traditional' chemicals reflecting the scope of current programmes and the availability of suitable agreed assessment criteria for them. The inclusion of emerging chemical compounds of environmental concern and their targets for GES within IMAP will be implemented as the scientific knowledge develops.

### Policy documents

### **General Policy documents**

- i. 19th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016. Decision IG.22/7 Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme (IMAP) of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast and Related Assessment Criteria (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/28)
- ii. 19th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016.Draft Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/Inf.7)
- 18th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Istanbul, Turkey, 2013.Decision IG.21/3 -Ecosystems Approach including adopting definitions of Good Environmental Status (GES) and Targets. UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.21/9
- iv. Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).
- v. Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy.

### **Contaminants related Policy documents**

Indica	tor Title	17. Concentration of key harmful contaminants measured in the relevant matrix (EO9)	
vi.	vi. UNEP/MAP, 1987. Report of the Fifth Meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against pollution and its Related Protocols. UNEP/IG. 74/5. UNEP/MAP, Athens.		
vii.	<ul> <li>vii. UNEP/MAP, 2005. Fact sheets on Marine Pollution Indicators. Meeting of the UNEP/MAP MED POL National Coordinators. Barcelona, Spain, 24-27 May 2005. UNEP (DEC)/MED/</li> <li>WG 264/ Inf 14, UNEP, Athens.</li> </ul>		
viii.	UNEP/MAP MED PO Pollution in the Medite Athens, 1999.	L – Phase III, Programme for the Assessment and Control of erranean Region. MAP Technical Report Series No. 120, UNEP,	
ix.	OSPAR Commission, 2 effects - CEMP Assess	2013. Levels and trends in marine contaminants and their biological ment Report 2012. Monitoring and Assessment Series, 2013.	
х.	EEA, 2003. Hazarous s persistent organic pollu Copenhagen, 2003. htt	substances in the European marine environment: Trends in metals and itants. Topic Report 2/2003. EEA, European Environmental Agency, p://www.eea.eu.int	
xi.	EEA, 1999 State and p Enivronmental issues s http://www.eea.eu.int	ressures of the marine and coastal Mediterranean environment. eries n°5. European Environmental Agency, Copenhagen, 1999.	
Indica	tor analysis methods		
Indica	tor Definition		
Conce pressu	ntrations of key contamin re indicator):	nants in the following matrices (note this is a multicompoment	
BIOTA: In marine organisms, whole soft tissues or dissected parts according sampling and sample preparation protocols, and primarily in bivalve species and/or fish: Trace/Heavy Metals (TM): Total mercury (HgT), Cadmium (Cd) and Lead (Pb) Organochlorinated compounds (PCBs, Hexachlorobenzene, Lindane and ΣDDTs) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (US EPA 16 Reference PAHs Compounds),			
Lipid	Lipid content, flesh fresh/dry weight ratio for normalisation purposes		
SEDIN Trace/ Organ Polycy	SEDIMENTS: In coastal, platform and offshore sediments (< 2 mm particle size fraction): Trace/Heavy Metals: Total mercury (HgT), Cadmium (Cd) and Lead (Pb) Organochlorinated compounds (PCBs, Hexachlorobenzene, Lindane and ΣDDTs) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (US EPA 16 Reference PAHs Compounds)		
Alumi purpos compl The lie	Aluminium (Al), Total Organic Carbon (TOC) in the < 2mm particle size fraction for normalization purposes for TM and OCs, respectively. The < $63\mu$ m sediment fraction is recommended to be complementary for metals. The liophilization ratio (dry/wet sediment ratio).		
SEAW contan monito basis.	ATER: the monitoring ninants in seawater pre- pring programes, such as	for environmental assessment purposes and the determination of sents specific challenges and higher costs. For the mid/long-term IMAP, these are recommended to be carried out on a country decision	
Sub-in recom be take	dicators: other relevant mended to be carried out en. Dodology for indicator ca	chemicals (such as tributyltin, TBT) and emerging pollutants are t on a country decision basis until a firm COP Meeting Decision will <b>Iculation</b>	

Indicator Title	17. Concentration of key harmful contaminants measured in the
	relevant matrix (EO9)

Trace/Heavy Metals (TM) and Aluminium: Spectrometry, Mass Spectrometry

Organic compounds: Gas or Liquid Chromatography (GC/LC) coupled to a variety of detectors, such as Electron Capture Detectors or Mass Spectrometry, atomic adsorption.

TOC: Elemental Analyser

Particle fractions: in-house mesh validated methods (for < 2 mm) and/or geological sieving methods.

### **Indicator units**

Trace/Heavy Metals (TM) and Aluminium: mass/dry or wet weight mass of sample according MEDPOL Database Format Protocols. The dry/wet mass ratios should be calculated and reported.

Organic compounds (OCs): mass/dry or wet weight mass of sample according MEDPOL Database Format Protocols. The dry/wet mass ratios should be calculated and reported.

TOC: Elemental Analyser (as %)

Particle fractions (as %)

### List of Guidance documents and protocols available

Refer to UNEP Methods and Protocols for Marine Pollution, as well as from other recent documents from regional conventions (e.g. OSPAR) and European Guidelines, such as the Guidance Document No. 33 ON ANALYTICAL METHODS FOR BIOTA MONITORING UNDER THE WATER FRAMEWORK DIRECTIVE, Technical Report - 2014 – 084, ISBN 978-92-79-44679-5.

### **Data Confidence and uncertainties**

Selected analytical methods are subject to Quality Assurance Protocols and interlaboratory exercises: QA/QC through UNEP/MAP MED POL/IAEA MESL, National QA/QC Procedures

### Methodology for monitoring, temporal and spatial scope

Available Methodologies for Monitoring and Monitoring Protocols

With regard the Ecosystem Approach and IMAP implementation, there are considerable benefits to be gained from taking advantage of previous knowledge and information developed through the UNEP/MAP MED POL. These actions include (1) the use of existing experience in the design of monitoring programmes, (2) the use of existing guidance on sampling and analytical methods to inform technical aspects of ecosystem approach monitoring, (3) the use of existing sampling station networks as a framework for the ecosystem approach monitoring networks, (4) the use of existing statistical assessment tools and work on assessment criteria as the basis for the assessments of ecosystem approach data, (5) the use of existing data to describe the distributions of contaminants and effects in the sea, and (6) the use of existing time series as the basis of monitoring against a "no deterioration" target. The availability of quality assured data is of importance for the assessment of trends in pollutant concentrations.

### Available data sources

i. UNEP(DEPI)/MED WG.365/Inf.5. Analysis of the trend monitoring activities and data for the MED POL Phase III and IV (1999-2010). Consultation Meeting to Review MED POL Monitoring Activities. Athens, 22-23 November 2011.

Indicator Title	17 Concentration of key harmful contaminants measured in the
Indicator Thic	relevant matrix (EO9)
ii. UNEP(DEPI)/MED W substances in the Med Activities. Athens, 22-	VG. 365/Inf.8. Development of assessment criteria for hazardous iterranean. Consultation Meeting to Review MED POL Monitoring 23 November 2011.
Spatial scope guidance and se	election of monitoring stations
The spatial scope for mon spatially as relevant and ind sediment and/or active biom of risks and the monitoring monitoring of contaminants and	itoring should include long-term master stations, distributed clude local spatial refinements, such as transect sampling (for ionitoring), and therefore, is a direct function of the assessment purpose (long-term). The selection of the sampling sites for the d biological effects in the marine environment should consider:
<ul> <li>Areas of concern identified on</li> <li>Areas of known past and/or p</li> <li>Offshore areas where risk warmining, dumping at sea).</li> <li>Sites representative in monitor</li> <li>Reference sites: For reference</li> <li>Representative sensitive pollution</li> <li>Deep-sea sites/areas of potential</li> </ul>	n the basis of the review of the existing information. resent release of chemical contaminants. urrants coverage (aquaculture, offshore oil and gas activity, dredging, oring of other sea-based (shipping) and atmospheric sources. e values and background concentrations. tition sites/areas at sub regional scale. tial particular concern
The selected sites should allow suitable for sediment sampling during the duration of the pr coordinated at regional and/or a Objectives is crucial for cost-et	the collection of a realistic number of samples over the years (e.g. be , allow sampling a sufficient number of biota for the selected species ogramme). It is essential that the monitoring strategies are being sub regional level. Coordination with monitoring for other Ecological ffective and future integrated assessment.
Temporal Scope guidance	
Sampling frequencies will be monitoring.	determined by the purpose and the status of the national marine
INITIAL PHASE MONITOR	ING: BIOTA (mussel yearly) and SEDIMENTS (coastal every two
ADVANCED PHASE MONIT BIOTA (from 1 to 3 years acc depending on the characteristic	CORING (fully completed and reported MED POL Phase III datasets): cording trends and chemicals) and SEDIMENTS (from 3 to 6 years as of sedimentation areas and the chemical concerned).

The temporal scope may range from seasonally variable parameters up to large time scales, e.g. sediment core monitoring (years to decades). For trend determinations the sampling frequencies will depend on the ability to detect trends considering the environmental and the analytical variability (ca. total uncertainty). It can be possible to decrease the sampling frequencies and target chemicals in cases where established time trends and levels show concentrations well below levels of concern, and without any upward trend over a number of years.

Data analysis and assessment outputs

Statistical analysis and basis for aggregation

Monitoring should allow the necessary statistical data treatments and long-term time-trend data analysis.

Expected assessments outputs

For chemical contaminants trends analysis and distribution levels for the assessment could be carried out on sub-regional and/or regional level, provided appropriate quality assured datasets are available.

Indicator Title	17. Concentration of key harmful co	ontaminants measured in the
	relevant matrix (EO9)	
For the assessment of GES, it would be carried out using Mediterranean data from the MEDPOL		
database and applying a two le	evel threshold classification (Background	ound Assessment Criteria-BACs
and Environmental Assessmer	nt Criteria-EACs), such as the OSPA	AR methodology. Therefore, the
Meditarranean BACs and EAC	's for chemical contaminants, such as	trace metals (mercury, cadmium
and lead) and organic contamin	ants (chlorinated compounds and PA	Hs) in sediments and biota in the
Mediterranean Sea should be a	pplied.	
Known gaps and uncertaintie	es in the Mediterranean	
Important development areas	in the Mediterranean Sea over th	e next few years will include
harmonization of monitoring	targets (determinants and matrices)	within assessment sub-regions,
development of suites of assess	sment criteria integrated chemical and	l biological assessment methods,
and review of the scope of the	monitoring programmes to ensure th	at those contaminants which are
considered to be important within each assessment area are included in monitoring programmes.		
Through these, and other action	ons, it will be possible to develop ta	rgeted and effective monitoring
programmes tailored to meet the needs and conditions within each GES assessment sub-region.		
It has been recognized that the open and deep sea is much less covered by monitoring efforts than		
coastal areas. There is a need to	o include within monitoring programm	nes also areas beyond the coastal
areas in a representative and ef	ficient way, where risks warrant cove	erage.
<b>Contacts and version Date</b>		
http://www.unepmap.org		
Version No	Date	Author
V.2	28.04.17	MEDPOL

Indicator Title	18. Level of pollution effects of k	ey contaminants where a cause
	and effect relationship has been es	stablished (EO9)
<b>Relevant GES definition</b>	<b>Related Operational Objective</b>	Proposed Target(s)
Concentrations of	Effects of released contaminants	1. Contaminants effects
contaminants are not giving	are minimized	below thresholds. Levels of
rise to acute pollution events		biomarkers identified comply
		with agreed Mediterranean
		Background Assessment
		Criteria or Environmental
		Assessment Criteria
		(BACs/EACs).
D - 4 1		

## Common Indicator 18 (EO9): Level of pollution effects of key contaminants where a cause and effect relationship has been established<sup>6</sup>

### Rational

### Justification for indicator selector

Upon exposure to certain dosis of harmful contaminants, marine organisms start manifesting a number of symptoms that are indicative of biological damage, the first ones appearing after a short while at the subcellular level. These 'sublethal' effects, when integrated, often converge to visible harm for the organisms and possibly to the whole population at a later stage, when it will be too late to limit the extent of biological damage resulting from environmental chemical exposure and ecosystems deterioration. Most of these symptoms have been reproducibly obtained in the laboratory (at high dosis) and the various biological mechanisms of response to major xenobiotics are now sufficiently well documented. In the latest decades, scientific research has been intensified towards these alternative cellular and subcellular methods for integrated pollution monitoring, despite it revealed a more complex panorama with samples exposed to environmental concentrations, which includes a number of confounding factors hindering the cost-effective and reliable determination of biological effects at cellular and sub-cellular levels. As a consequence, most of these methods (biomarkers), based on the chemical exposure to biological effects cause relationships, are envisaged to monitor hotpots stations, dredging materials assessments and local damage evaluations rather than for continuous long-term environmental monitoring (surveillance). Ongoing research (biomarkers, bioassays) and future research trends, such as 'omics' developments, will futher define the indicators and the methodologies for these common indicator for toxicological effects.

### Scientific References

- i. European Comission, 2014. Technical report on aquatic effect-based monitoring tools. Technical Report 2014 077.
- ii. Davies, I. M. And Vethaak, A.D., 2012. Integrated marine environmetal monitoring of chemicals and their effects. ICESCoopérative Research Report N).
- iii. Moore, M.N. (1985), Cellular responses to pollutants. *Mar.Pollut.Bull.*, 16:134-139
- iv. Moore, M.N. (1990), Lysosomal cytochemistry in marine environmental monitoring. *Histochem.J.*, 22:187-191
- v. Scarpato, R., L. Migliore, G. Alfinito-Cognetti and R. Barale (1990), Induction of micronuclei in gill tissue of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* exposed to polluted marine waters *Mar.Pollut.Bull.*, 21:74-80
- vi. Lowe, D., M.N. Moore and B.M. Evans (1992), Contaminant impact on interactions of molecular probes with lysosomes in living hepatocytes from dab *Limanda limanda*. *Mar.Ecol.Progr.Ser.*, 91:135-140
- vii. Lowe, D.M., C. Soverchia and M.M. Moore (1995), Lysosomal membrane responses in the blood and digestive cells of mussels experimentally exposed to fluoranthene. *Aquatic Toxicol.*, 33:105-112

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> MSFD Descriptor 8: Concentrations of contaminants are at levels not giving rise to pollution effects

Indicator Title	18. Level of pollution effects of key contaminants where a cause	
	and effect relationship has been established (EO9)	
viii. George, S.G. and Per-Erik Olsson (1994), Metallothioneins as indicators of trace metal		
pollution in Biomonitoring of Coastal Waters and Estuaries, edited by J.M. Kees. Boca		
Raton, FL 33431, Kra	umer CRC Press Inc., pp.151-171	
Policy Context and targets		
Policy context description		
In most Mediterranean countr different marine ecosystem Barcelona Convention (1975 Monitoring Program, as well national policy drivers. A co pollution monitoring and asses the past decades, including contaminats). The environm confirmation of significant marked well as, for the continuous de the Ecosystem Approach and I from this past knowledge and	ies, the monitoring of a range of hazardous chemical substances in compartments are undertaken in response to the UNEP/MAP 5) and its Land-Based Protocol, the UNEP/MAP MED POL as international, european (e.g. EU WFD or EU MSFD) or other nsiderable amount of founding actions are available through the ssment component of the UNEP/MAP MED POL Programme from g monitoring pilot programmes (ecotoxicological effects of iental assessments have been used for the identification and arine contaminants occurrence, distributions, levels and trends; as evelopment of monitoring strategies and guidance. With respect to IMAP, their implementation will continue under the benefits gained its policy framework built in the Mediterranean Sea.	
Targets		
Initial targets of GES under Common Indicator 18 will be based upon data of a selected biological effects parameters and biomarkers (reflecting the scope of current programmes and research, see Indicator Justification above) and the availability of suitable agreed assessment criteria.		
~		
General Policy documents		
i. 19th COP to the Ba Integrated Monitoring Coast and Related As	arcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016. Decision IG.22/7 - g and Assessment Programme (IMAP) of the Mediterranean Sea and sessment Criteria (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG 22/28)	

- ii. 19th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016. Draft Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/Inf.7)
- 18th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Istanbul, Turkey, 2013.Decision IG.21/3 -Ecosystems Approach including adopting definitions of Good Environmental Status (GES) and Targets. UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.21/9
- iv. Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).
- v. Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy.

### **Contaminants related Policy documents**

- vi. UNEP (1997), The MED POL Biomonitoring Programme Concerning the Effects of Pollutants on Marine Organisms Along the Mediterranean Coasts. UNEP(OCA)/MED WG.132/3, Athens, 15 p.
- vii. UNEP (1997), Report of the Meeting of Experts to Review the MED POL Biomonitoring Programme. UNEP(OCA)/MED WG.132/7, Athens, 19 p.
- viii. Targets: UNEP(DEPI)/MED WG.421/Inf.9. Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance. Agenda item 5.7: Draft Decision on Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme (IMAP) of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast and Related Assessment Criteria. Meeting of the MAP Focal Points. Athens, Greece, 13-16 October 2015.

Indicator Title	18. Level of pollution effects of key contaminants where a cause and effect relationship has been established (EO9)	
Indicator analysis methods		
Indicator Definition		
In marine bivalves (such as M	<i>Aytilus galloprovincialis</i> ) and/or fish (such as <i>Mullus barbatus</i> )	
Lysosomal Membrane Stab	ility (LMS) as a method for general status screening.	
Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) organisms.	Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) assay as a method for assessing neurotoxic effects in aquatic organisms.	
Micronucleus assay as a tool	for assessing cytogenetic/DNA damage in marine organisms.	
<u>Sub-indicators:</u> complementary biomarkers, bioassays and histology techniques and methods are also recommended to be carried out on a country basis (such as, comet assay, hepatic pathologies assessment, reduction of survival in air by Stress on Stress (SoS), larval embryotoxicity assay).		
Methodology for indicator of	calculation	
Lysosomal Membrane Stabili microscopy	ty (LMS) : Biological techniques (neutral red retention), including	
Acetylcholinesterase (AChE)	assay: Biochemical techniques, including spectrophotometry	
Micronucleus assay: Biochem	nical techniques, including microscopy	
Additional parameters to be recorded: biometrics (size/length, age), biological parameters such as condition index (mussels), condition factor, gonadosomatic index, hepatosomatic index (fish) and data on temperature, salinity and oxygen disolved.		
Indicator units		
(retention) minutes - Lysoson	nal Membrane Stability (LMS)	
nmol/min mg protein in gills	(bivalves) - Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) assay	
Number of cases, ‰ in haemocytes - Micronucleus assay		
List of Guidance documents	s and protocols available	
<ul> <li>i. European Commissi Technical Report 201</li> <li>ii. UNEP/RAMOGE: M POL Biomonitoring F</li> <li>iii. UNEP/MAP, 2005. UNEP/MAP MED F</li> <li>UNEP(DEC)/MED/ V</li> <li>iv. ICES Cooperative</li> </ul>	on, 2014. Technical report on effect-based monitoring tools. 4 – 077. European Commission, 2014. Tanual on the Biomarkers Recommended for the UNEP/MAP MED Programme. UNEP, Athens, 1999. Fact sheets on Marine Pollution Indicators. Meeting of the POL National Coordinators. Barcelona, Spain, 24-27 May 2005. WG.264/Inf.14. UNEP, Athens. Research Report. No.315. Integrated marine environmental	
monitoring of chemic 2012.	cals and their effects. I.M. Davies and D. Vethaak Eds., November,	
Data Confidence and uncert	tainties	

Indicator Title	18. Level of pollution effects of key contaminants where a cause
	and effect relationship has been established (EO9)

Selected analytical validated methods should be subject to Quality Assurance Protocols and interlaboratory exercises: QA/QC through UNEP/MAP MED POL intercalibration supported exercises in agreement with University of Piemonte Orientale (Italy).

Methodology for monitoring, temporal and spatial scope

Available Methodologies for Monitoring and Monitoring Protocols

With regard the Ecosystem Approach and IMAP implementation, there are considerable benefits to be gained from taking advantage of previous knowledge and information developed through the UNEP/MAP MED POL. These actions include (1) the use of existing experience in the design of monitoring programmes, (2) the use of existing guidance on sampling and analytical methods to inform technical aspects of ecosystem approach monitoring, (3) the use of existing sampling station networks as a framework for the ecosystem approach monitoring networks, (4) the use of existing statistical assessment tools and work on assessment criteria as the basis for the assessments of ecosystem approach data, (5) the use of existing data to describe the distributions of contaminants and effects in the sea, and (6) the use of existing time series as the basis of monitoring against a "no deterioration" target. The availability of quality assured data is of importance for the assessment of trends. Therefore, based on the work already carried out, the results of the intercalibration exercises and the scientific and technical publications within the UNEP/MAP MED POL programme on biological effects monitoring, there is a network of laboratories in the Mediterranean region with the capacity to carry out biomonitoring activities, in line with the new monitoring requirements.

### Available data sources

- i. MED POL Database.
- ii. UNEP/RAMOGE: Manual on the Biomarkers Recommended for the UNEP/MAP MED POL Biomonitoring Programme. UNEP, Athens, 1999.

### Spatial scope guidance and selection of monitoring stations

The spatial scope for monitoring should include long-term master stations, distributed spatially as relevant and include local spatial refinements, such as transect sampling (for sediment and/or active biomonitoring), and therefore, is a direct function of the assessment of risks and the monitoring purpose (long-term). The selection of the sampling sites for the monitoring of contaminants and biological effects in the marine environment should consider:

• Areas of concern identified on the basis of the review of the existing information.

• Areas of known past and/or present release of chemical contaminants.

• Offshore areas where risk warrants coverage (aquaculture, offshore oil and gas activity, dredging, mining, dumping at sea).

- Sites representative in monitoring of other sea-based (shipping) and atmospheric sources.
- Reference sites: For reference values and background concentrations.
- Representative sensitive pollution sites/areas at sub regional scale.
- Deep-sea sites/areas of potential particular concern

The selected sites should allow the collection of a realistic number of samples over the years (e.g. allow to sample sufficient number of biota for the selected species during the duration of the programme). It is essential that the monitoring strategies are being coordinated at regional and/or sub regional level, in particular with chemical monitoring.

Indicator Title	18. Level of pollution effects of key contaminants where a cause and effect relationship has been established (EO9)	
The coordination with monitoring for other Ecological Objectives is crucial for cost-		
effective and future integrat	ted assessment.	
Temporal Scope guidance		
Sampling frequencies will be determined by the purpose and the status of the national marine monitoring.		
INITIAL PHASE MONITOR years), as for chemical monito biological effects will be deter	ING: BIOTA (mussel yearly) and SEDIMENTS (coastal every two oring focusing on few locations (hotspots and reference stations) if rmined for both.	
ADVANCED PHASE MONITORING (fully completed and reported MED POL Phase III datasets, including biological effects): At these stage the objective should be the integration of the chemical and biological monitoring on a efficient manner. Therefore, a refinement of the biological effects long-term monitoring should be implemented and maintained based on previous pilot monitoring activities (Initial Phase).		
For trend determinations the sampling frequencies will depend on the ability to detect trends considering the environmental and the analytical variability (ca. total uncertainty). It can be possible to decrease the sampling frequencies in cases where established time trends and levels show concentrations well below levels of concern, and without any upward trend over a number of years.		
Data analysis and assessmen	it outputs	
Statistical analysis and basis	s for aggregation	
Monitoring should allow the necessary statistical data treatments and long-term time-trend analysis.		
Expected assessments outputs		
For biological effects, trends analysis and distribution levels could be carried out on sub-regional level, provided appropriate quality assured datasets are available. For the integrated assessment of GES, it would be carried out using Mediterranean data from the MEDPOL database and applying a two level threshold classification (such as the OSPAR methodology). Assessing biomarker responses against Background Assessment Criteria (BACs) and Environmental Assessment Criteria (EACs) allows establishing if the responses measured are at levels that are not causing deleterious biological effects, at levels where deleterious biological effects are possible or at levels where deleterious biological effects are likely in the long-term. In the case of biomarkers of exposure, only BAC can be estimated, whereas for biomarkers of effects both BAC and EAC can be established.		
Known gaps and uncertainties in the Mediterranean		
Important development areas in the Mediterranean Sea over the next few years will include harmonization of monitoring targets (determinants and matrices) within assessment sub-regions, development of suites of assessment criteria integrated chemical and biological assessment methods, and review of the scope of the monitoring programmes to ensure that those contaminants which are considered to be important within each assessment area are included in monitoring programmes. Through these, and other actions, it will be possible to develop targeted and effective monitoring programmes tailored to meet the needs and conditions within each GES assessment sub-region.		
oastal areas. There is a need to include within monitoring programmes also areas beyond the		

coastal areas. There is a need to include within monitoring programmes also areas beyond the coastal areas in a representative and efficient way, where risks warrant coverage.

Indicator Title	18. Level of pollution effects of key contaminants where a cause	
	and effect relationship has been established (EO9)	
Contacts and version Date		
http://www.unepmap,org		
Version No	Date	Author
V.2	28.04.17	MEDPOL

# Common Indicator 19 (EO9): Occurrence, origin (where possible), and extent of acute pollution events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil products and hazardous substances) and their impact on biota affected by this pollution

events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil products and hazardous substances) and their impact on biota affected by this pollution (EO9)Relevant GES definitionRelated Operational ObjectiveProposed Target(s)Occurrence of acute pollution events is reduced to the minimum.Acute pollution events are prevented and their impacts are minimized.1. Decreasing trend in the occurrences of acute pollution events.Acute pollution events is reduced to the minimum.Acute pollution events are prevented and their impacts are minimized.1. Decreasing trend in the occurrences of acute pollution events.Achieve the elimination of intentional pollution of the marine environment by oil and other harmful and noxious substances (HNS) and the	Indicator Title	19. Occurrence, origin (where possi	ble), and extent of acute pollution
Relevant GES definitionRelated Operational ObjectiveProposed Target(s)Occurrence of acute pollution events is reduced to the minimum.Acute pollution events are prevented and their impacts are minimized.1. Decreasing trend in the occurrences of acute pollution events.Acute pollution events is reduced to the minimum.Proposed Target(s)Acute pollution events are minimized.1. Decreasing trend in the occurrences of acute pollution events.Achieve the elimination of intentional pollution of the marine environment by oil and other harmful and noxious substances (HNS) and the		events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil pro	ducts and hazardous substances)
Relevant GES definitionRelated Operational ObjectiveProposed Target(s)Occurrence of acuteAcute pollution events are1. Decreasing trend in thepollution events is reduced to the minimum.prevented and their impacts are minimized.occurrences of acute pollution events.Achieve the elimination of intentional pollution of the marine environment by oil and other harmful and noxious substances (HNS) and the		and their impact on biota affected b	y this pollution (EO9)
Occurrence of acute pollution events is reduced to the minimum.Acute pollution events are prevented and their impacts are minimized.1. Decreasing trend in the occurrences of acute pollution events.Achieve the elimination of intentional pollution of the marine environment by oil and other harmful and noxious substances (HNS) and the	<b>Relevant GES definition</b>	<b>Related Operational Objective</b>	Proposed Target(s)
pollution events is reduced to the minimum.prevented and their impacts are minimized.occurrences of acute pollution events.Achieve the elimination of intentional pollution of the marine environment by oil and other harmful and noxious substances (HNS) and the	Occurrence of acute	Acute pollution events are	1. Decreasing trend in the
the minimum. minimized. events. Achieve the elimination of intentional pollution of the marine environment by oil and other harmful and noxious substances (HNS) and the	pollution events is reduced to	prevented and their impacts are	occurrences of acute pollution
Achieve the elimination of intentional pollution of the marine environment by oil and other harmful and noxious substances (HNS) and the	the minimum.	minimized.	events.
Achieve the elimination of intentional pollution of the marine environment by oil and other harmful and noxious substances (HNS) and the			
intentional pollution of the marine environment by oil and other harmful and noxious substances (HNS) and the			Achieve the elimination of
marine environment by oil and other harmful and noxious substances (HNS) and the			intentional pollution of the
other harmful and noxious substances (HNS) and the			marine environment by oil and
substances (HNS) and the			other harmful and noxious
			substances (HNS) and the
minimization of accidental			minimization of accidental
discharge of such substances.			discharge of such substances.

### Rational

Justification for indicator selector

Oil and HNS products released at sea may impact an environment as follows:

- physical smothering with an impact on physiological functions;
- chemical toxicity giving rise to lethal or sub-lethal effects or causing impairment of cellular functions;
- ecological changes, primarily the loss of key organisms from a community and the takeover of habitats by opportunistic species;
- indirect effects, such as the loss of habitat or shelter and the consequent elimination of ecologically important species.

n addition, pollution by oil and HNS have socio-economic impact (recreational activities; fisheries, maricultures as well as other activities such as power plants, shipping, salt production or seawater desalination). Occurrence of acute pollution events involving oil or HNS and need to be measured and possible impacts monitored.

### **Scientific References**

ITOPF. "Effect of oil pollution on the marine environment". ITOPF, Technical Information Paper 13.

GESAMP. Report n° 75: "Estimates of Oil Entering the Marine Environment from Sea-Based Activities", IMO/FAO/UNESCO-IOC/WMO/WHO/IAEA/UN/UNEP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection (2007).

Zeina G. Kassaify, Rana H. El Hajj, Shady K. Hamadeh, Rami Zurayk and Elie K. Barbour. "Impact of Oil Spill in the Mediterranean Sea on Biodiversified Bacteria in Oysters", Journal of Coastal Research, Vol. 25, No. 2 (2009), pp. 469-473. Published by: Coastal Education & Research Foundation, Inc.

Peterson CH, Rice SD, Short JW, Esler D, Bodkin JL, Ballachey BE, Irons DB. "Longterm ecosystem response to the Exxon Valdez oil spill". Science 302:2082–2086(2003).

### **Policy Context and targets**

Policy context description

Indicator Title	19. Occurrence, origin (where possible), and extent of acute pollution	
	events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil products and hazardous substances)	
	and their impact on biota affected by this pollution (EO9)	

Acute pollution from oil and other hazardous substances, resulting either from maritime casualties or from ships' routine operations, are addressed in a number of international conventions under the aegis of the International Maritime Organization (IMO is the UN agency regulating pollution incidents from ships worldwide), some of which provide for stricter regimes in the Mediterranean Sea, including discharges of oil and oily mixtures. At regional level, the UNEP/MAP-Barcelona Convention and its Prevention and Emergency Protocol are crucial instruments enabling cooperation and joint-action to support all Mediterranean Coastal States implementing and enforcing IMO Conventions on pollution prevention and preparedness and response to oil and Hazardous and Noxious Substances (HNS) spills.

The Regional Marine Pollution Emergency Response Centre for the Mediterranean Sea (REMPEC), administrated by the IMO and operating within the framework of UNEP/MAP, is responsible for the implementation of the Prevention and Emergency Protocol. The Centre maintains a database on alerts and accidents causing or likely to cause pollution of the sea by oil (since 1977) and by other harmful substances (since 1989) in the Mediterranean Sea. Further, following the adoption by the Contracting Partties to the Barcelona Convention of the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution from the Exploration and Exploitation of the Continental Shelf and the Seabed and its Subsoil (Offshore Protocol), Parties should endeavor to ratify the Protocol and develop and adopt monitoring procedures and programmes for offshore activities, which is envisaged to take place building on the IMAP of the EcAp.

### Targets

To measure the trend of occurence of oil and HNS accidental pollution events, the following indicator can be used: number of pollution events (of 50 cubic meters or more) per year in each Contracting Party marine waters. A target could be a maximum of 1 occurrence per year per Contracting Party. Regarding illicit discharges of oil and oily waters (MARPOL Convention Annex I), minimum tolerance (near to 0 events) can be retained.

### **Policy documents**

### **General Policy documents**

- i. 19th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016. Decision IG.22/7 Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme (IMAP) of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast and Related Assessment Criteria (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/28)
- ii. 19th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016.Draft Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/Inf.7)
- 18th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Istanbul, Turkey, 2013.Decision IG.21/3 -Ecosystems Approach including adopting definitions of Good Environmental Status (GES) and Targets. UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.21/9

### **Related Policy documents**

- iv. Establishment of a Mediterranean Network of Law Enforcement Officials relating to MARPOL within the framework of the Barcelona Convention.UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.21/9 Annex II Thematic Decisions (p. 181).
- v. Protocol concerning cooperation in preventing pollution from ships and, in case of emergency, combating pollution of the Mediterranean Sea (2002) ("Prevention and Emergency" Protocol of the Barcelona Convention)
- vi. Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution from the Exploration and Exploitation of the Continental Shelf and the Seabed and its Subsoil (Offshore Protocol of the Barcelona Convention)

Indicator Title 19. Occurrence, origin (where possible), and extent of acute polluti			
		events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil products and hazardous substances)	
		and their impact on biota affected by this pollution (EO9)	
vii.	International Convention	on for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL Convention),	
	specifically its Annex	I (Regulations for the Prevention of Pollution by Oil), Annex II	
	(Regulations for the Co	ontrol of Pollution by Noxious Liquid Substances in Bulk) and Annex	
	III (Harmful Substance	es carried at Sea in Packaged Form).	
viii.	International Convention	on on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Cooperation (OPRC,	
	1990) and Protocol on	Preparedness, Response and Cooperation for pollution incidents by	
	hazardous and noxious	substances (OPRC-HNS Protocol).	
Indica	tor analysis methods		
Indica	tor Definition		
In the	case of oil and HNS acut	te pollution events, the indicator will be obtained from the	
inform	nation of oil and HNS po	llution events recorded and submitted in the Mediterranean Sea each	
year.			
Metho	odology for indicator ca	lculation	
Under	the 2002 Prevention and	Emergency Protocol, Contracting Parties have an obligation (Article	
9) to ii	nform on the following (a	see the format below):	
(1) all accidents causing or likely to cause pollution of the sea by oil and other harmful substances;			
(2) the presence, characteristics and extent of spillages of oil or other harmful substances observed at			
sea wh	ich are likely to present a	a serious and imminent threat to the marine environment or to the coast	
or rela	ted interests of one or mo	ore of the Contracting Parties;	
(3) the	ir assessments and any p	ollution combating actions taken or envisaged to be taken; and	
(4) the	evolution of the situatio	n.	
D GD G			
BCRS	(Barcelona Convention	Reporting System) format:	
(-)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(a)	(a) accident location (latitude and longitude or closest shore location);		
(b) accident type* (*cargo transfer failure, contact, collision, engine breakdown, fire/explosion,			
210	unding, foundering/weat	ner, nun structural fahure, machinery breakdown, other).	

(c) vessel IMO number or vessel name;

(d) vessel flag;

(e) whether any product has been released or not. If yes, the type of product released (Oil/Hazardous and Noxious Substances) should be specified; and

(f) whether any actions have been taken or not. If yes, the actions taken should be specified.

In addition to monitoring pollution events occurrences against the target (incidents involving oil or hazardous substances that are < or = 1 event per year in each Contracting Parties waters), it is recommended to carry out a trend analysis in order to measure performance against the target. Data on actual pollution events from ships would be collected every year and compared to the data for the previous year, to calculate a % increase or a % decrease in occurrences yearly frequency.

### Indicator units

The Guidelines for Co-operation in Combating Marine Oil Pollution in the Mediterranean (UNEP/IG.74/5, UNEP/MAP, 1987) recommended Parties to report to REMPEC all spillages or discharges of oil in excess of 100 cubic metres. To align with the *Revised reporting formats for a mandatory reporting system under MARPOL* 73/78 ("one-line" entry format) adopted by IMO in 1996 (see MEPC/Circ.318), a Joint Session of MEDPOL and REMPEC Focal Points Meeting agreed to report spillages over 50 cubic meters.

<b>Indicator Title</b> 19. Occurrence, origin (where possible), and extent of acute polle		
events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil products and hazardous substa		
and their impact on biota affected by this pollution (EO9)		
List of Guidance documents a	and protocols available	
i. ITOPF. "Aerial Observ	vation of Marine Oil Spills", Technical Information Paper 1;	
11. ITOPF. "Recognition of Municipality of Mun	of Oil on Shorelines", Technical Information Paper 6;	
in. ITOPF. Fale of Marin	<i>le Oli Spills</i> , Technical Information Paper 2.	
IV. HOPF. Response to A	Advine Chemical Incidents, reclinical information Paper 17.	
vi IPIECA/IMO/GPO/CE	DRF: "Aerial Observation of Oil Spills at Sea" February 2015	
vii. CEDRE: "Surveying S	ites Polluted by Oil" (April 2006).	
viii. REMPEC: "Mediterra	nean Guidelines on Oiled Shorelines Assessment" (September 2009).	
ix. GESAMP: "Revised G	ESAMP Hazard Evaluation Procedure for Chemical Substances	
<i>Carried by Ships</i> " (20)	14).	
x. IMO Codes:		
- For packaged goods: In	nternational Maritime Dangerous Goods Code (IMDG Code).	
- For Bulk liquids: Inter	national Code for the Construction and Equipment of Ships Carrying	
Dangerous Chemicals	in Bulk (IBC Code).	
- For Gases: Internationa	al Code for the Construction and Equipment of Ships Carrying	
Liquefied Gases in Bul	ik (IGC Code).	
- For solids in bulk: App	endix 9 of Code of Safe Practice for Solid Bulk Cargoes (BC Code)	
If also covered by IML	O Code in packaged form.	
Data confidence and uncertain	inties	
Although characterization of in	apact of oil and oily products at sea and on shore is well documented	
and response strategies well de	fined, there has been much less investment in research for HINS	
variety of products with differe	a much lower frequency than spins of off and involve a very large	
variety of products with different physical and toxicity properties. Therefore, the characterization of impacts from HNS pollution due to maritime exclusive is more complex and response strategies.		
and indicators will vary accord	ing to the specific chemical product involved.	
Methodology for monitoring.	temporal and spatial scope	
Available methodologies for 1	nonitoring and monitoring Protocols	
As oil and HNS accidental spil	Is and discharges from ships take the form of acute pollution events,	
there are no specific pollution	nethodologies for systematic oil and HNS pollution surveillance in	
IMO Conventions and guidanc	e documents, where monitoring is essentially addressed from the	
perspective of ships' compliand	ce monitoring (flag state surveys; coastal state and port state controls)	
or in the context of pollution response operations. In this latter case, a monitoring protocol has been		
developed to detect and survey	pollution events.	
Pollution events are monitored	using the following methods/protocols:	
Oil:		
- Expert human eye obse	ervation;	
- Aerial observation (human eye observation and/or remote sensing equipment):		
- Satellite imagery analysis;		
- Sampling and analysis.		
Monitoring at sea will provide	the following information:	
- Volume of oil: use ITC	PF guidance based on oil type and appearance to assess thickness	
(mm) and volume of oil (m3/km2) at sea, or the guidance of the Bonn Agreement Oil		
Appearance Code (BA	OAC) identifying the following relations between oil appearances	
and oil volume:		

- 1 sheen, 0.15-0.3 m<sup>3</sup>/km<sup>2</sup> 2 rainbow, 0.3 5 m<sup>3</sup>/km<sup>2</sup>

Indicator Title 19. Occurrence, origin (where possible), and extent of acute pol	
	events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil products and hazardous substances)
	and their impact on biota affected by this pollution (EO9)
2 motallia 5 50 m <sup>3</sup> / $lm^2$	

- 3 metallic, 5 50 m<sup>3</sup>/km<sup>2</sup>
- 4 discontinuous true color, 50-200  $m^3/km^2$
- 5 continuous true color,  $>200 \text{ m}^3/\text{km}^2$ .
- Location and coverage of slick at sea (latitude and longitude GPS);
- Oil characteristics (persistent vs. non persistent / viscosity);
- Origin of slick (if visible ship name and IMO number, offshore installations ID number). On-shore monitoring will be used to assess the extent of impacted shorelines, type and degree of contamination as well as impact on habitats and wildlife casualties.

### HNS:

Detection of HNS pollution events and assessment of impacts is primarily achieved on site by expert human eye observation, complemented with real time monitoring, sampling and analysis, as well as the use of modelling tools. Conclusions of any risk assessment for HNS will be based on a number of information including identification of incident circumstances and location; identification of the chemical involved, its properties / toxicity, and its form (packaged / bulk) as well as identification of sensitive neighbouring areas and environment conditions. Further, Article 18 of the Offshore Protocol states that in cases of emergency the Contracting Parties shall implement mutatis mutandis the provisions of the Emergency Protocol.

### Available data sources

Because pollution events originating from ships must lead to response operations and investigations, there are a number of reporting obligations and reporting protocols that are useful for the purpose of determining the frequency of occurrences and assess trends:

- (1) Contents and forms of reports that ships must send following maritime casualties involving oil and other hazardous substances are detailed in MARPOL Protocol I. In addition, IMO has developed the General Principles for Ship Reporting Systems and Ship Reporting Requirements, including Guidelines for Reporting Incidents Involving Dangerous Goods, Harmful Substances and/or Marine Pollutants, containing recommendations on reporting requirements (when to report, information required, whom to report to).
- (2) At regional level, REMPEC has developed a oil pollution reporting format (POLREP) for use between Contracting Parties to the Prevention and Emergency Protocol of the Barcelona Convention and between these Contracting Parties and REMPEC when a pollution event at sea has occurred or when there is a threat of pollution.
- (3) With respect to illegal discharges of oil from ships, REMPEC has organised pilot projects on surveillance and monitoring of oil discharges et sea in the past. These initiatives have led to the creation of the Mediterranean Network of Law Enforcement Officials (MENELAS). This network works as a forum where information is exchanged and it is expected that data on pollution incidents (as well as on investigation and prosecution as the case may be) will be collected. REMPEC presently acts as Secretariat for the MENELAS initiative, which has developed a reporting format.
- (4) The Reporting System for the Barcelona Convention and its Protocols (BCRS) also requests information on spill incidents that have occurred during a biennium.

### Databases available:

- REMPEC Alert and Accidents Database available in the following versions:
  - On-line database (accidents can be sorted by: date; accident location (country); vessel type; and release quantity and type.)
  - Report containing the data and statistical analysis; and
  - A Geographical Information System (GIS).

Indicator Title	19. Occurrence, origin (where possi	ble), and extent of acute pollution
	events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil pro	ducts and hazardous substances)
	and their impact on biota affected b	y this pollution (EO9)
- MEDGIS-MAR 2012-2015,	<u>http://medgismar.rempec.org/</u> provid	les data (private access) on
offshore, marine incidents, oil	handling facilities, and response equi	pment.
- IMO Database: IMO main	ntains a Global Integrated Shippin	ig Information System (GISIS)
<u>http://gisis.imo.org</u> with a mod	ule on marine casualties and incident	IS.
Spatial scope guidance and se	election of monitoring stations	
REMPEC will continue to be the	he central organisation coordinating	and maintaining data on oil and
HNS acute events and pollution	n surveillance in the Mediterranean S	ea. REMPEC has implemented
pilot projects involving aerial s	urveillance exercises and satellite im	agery analysis jointly with
Mediterranean Coastal States a	nd this effort should be strengthened	•
Temporal Scope guidance		
As oil and HNS pollution incid	ents from ships occurs unexpectedly	(as a consequence of maritime
casualties) or are not systematic	c (MARPOL Illicit discharges), it is (	expected that pollution
homen or one detected	entially take place in real time who	en pollution incidents actually
Dete analysis and assessment	outnuts	
Data analysis and assessment	for aggregation	
Frequencies and quantitative st	atistical analysis. The basis for agore	egation would be a "nested
approach" over a geographical	scale Trend analysis to calculate the	e percentage of occurrences for
oil and HNS incidents over a period of time (yearly) in the Mediterranean Sea		
Expected assessments output	s	
Temporal trends analysis and d	istribution maps. If possible, relate the	his trend to the maritime traffic
crossing the Mediterranean Sea.		
Known gaps and uncertainties in the Mediterranean		
While Contracting Parties to the Barcelona Convention and the Prevention and Emergency Protocol		
have a pollution monitoring and reporting obligation, data submitted to REMPEC are still scarce.		
Thus the main aim during the Initial Phase of the IMAP will be to strengthen monitoring efforts		
towards this already existing obligation.		
Contacts and version Date		
http://www.rempec.org		
Version No	Date	Author
V.1	28.04.17	MEDPOL/REMPEC

Common Indicator 20 (EO9): Actual levels of contaminants that have been detected and number of contaminants which have exceeded maximum regulatory levels in commonly consumed seafood<sup>7</sup>

number of contaminants which have exceed         levels in commonly consumed seafood (EC         Relevant GES definition       Related Operational Objective       Propo	20. Actual levels of contaminants that have been detected and	
Interview         Interview <t< th=""><th>ded maximum regulatory</th></t<>	ded maximum regulatory	
Relevant GES definition         Related Operational Objective         Propo	levels in commonly consumed seafood (EO9)	
	sed Target(s)	
Concentrations of contaminants are within the regulatory limits for consumption by humans. No regulatory levels of contaminants in seafood are exceded.Levels of known harmful contaminants in major types of seafood do not exceed established standards1. Con contam regulat legisla2. Dec freque sample limits	ncentrations of ninants are within the tory limits set by ntion. creasing trend in the ency of cases of seafood es above regulatory for contaminants	

### Rational

### Justification for indicator selector

One of the potential risks associated with the occurrence of harmful substances (chemicals, nanoparticles, microplastics, toxins) in the marine environment is the human exposure through commercial fish and shellfish species (primarily, from wild fisheries and aquaculture). These organisms are exposed to environmental contaminants which enter their organism through different mechanisms and pathways according their thropic level, which include from filter feeding to predatory strategies (crustaceans, bivalves, fish). Consequently, there exist both bioaccumulation and biomagnification processes of these chemicals released in the marine environment. Common examples are the well-known bioaccumulation of metals and organic compounds in commercial bivalve species (such as the *Mytillus galloprovincialis* in the Mediterranean Sea) or alkyl mercury compounds (methylmercury) in tuna fish, which should be increased by new and emerging contaminants in the near future.

### Scientific References

- i. Vandermeersch, G. *et al.* 2015. Environmental contaminants of emerging concern in seafood European database on contaminant levels. Environmental Research, 143B, 29-45.
- ii. Maulvault, A.M. *et al.* 2015. Toxic elements and speciation in seafood samples from different contaminated sites in Europe. Environmental Research, 143B, 72-81.
- Molin, M. *et al.*, 2015. Arsenic in the human food chain, biotransformation and toxicology Review focusing on seafood arsenic. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 31, 249-259.
- iv. Bacchiocchi, S. *et al.* 2015. Two-year study of lipophilic marine toxin profile in mussels of the North-central Adriatic Sea: First report of azaspiracids in Mediterranean seafood. Toxicon, 108, 115-125.
- v. Perello, G. *et al.*, 2015. Human exposure to PCDD/Fs and PCBs through consumption of fish and seafood in Catalonia (Spain): Temporal trend. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 81, 28-33.
- vi. Zaza, S. *et al.* 2015. Human exposure in Italy to lead, cadmium and mercury through fish and seafood product consumption from Eastern Central Atlantic Fishing Area. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 40, 148-153.
- vii. Cruz, R. Brominated flame retardants and seafood safety: A review. Environment International, 77, 116-131.
- viii. Dellate, E. *et al.* 2014. Individual methylmercury intake estimates from local seafood of the Mediterranean Sea, in Italy. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 69, 105-112.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> MSFD Descriptor 9: Contaminants in fish and other seafood for human consumption do not exceed levels established by Union legislation or other relevant standards

Indicator Title	20. Actual levels of contaminants that have been detected and number of contaminants which have exceeded maximum regulatory levels in commonly consumed seafood (EO9)	
ix. Spada, L. <i>et al.</i> 2014. M	lercury and methylmercury concentrations in Mediterranean seafood	
and surface sediments,	intake evaluation and risk for consumers. International Journal of	
Hygiene and Environme	ental Health, 215, 418-42.	
Policy Context and targets		
Policy context description		
The understanding of the health etc.) and the food safety preven potentially poisoned seafood is major societal concern. There a levels mainly for the fishery eco and maximum regulatory levels species. Methylmercury poisoni Legally Binding Treaty (Minam Food and Drugs Administration international authorities with res	risks to humans (maximum levels, intake, toxic equivalent factors, tion, including emerging contaminants, through the consumption of a challenge and a priority policy issue for governments, as well as a tre different initiatives and regulations at national and international nomic sector, which have established public health recommendations for different contaminants in numerous marine commercial target ng continues as a global priority policy issue and in 2013 the Global ata Convention on Mercury) was launched by UNEP. Further, the US , the European Food Safety Authority and FAO are also national and gard seafood safety.	
Targets		
Initial targets of GES under Common Indicator 20 will be to maintain the chemical contaminants of human health concern under regulatory levels in seafood set/recommended/agreed by national and/or international authorities and their trends with regard their occurrence should decrease pointing towards zero events.		
Policy documents		
General Policy documents		
i. 19th COP to the Barcelo Monitoring and Assess Related Assessment Cri	ona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016. Decision IG.22/7 - Integrated ment Programme (IMAP) of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast and teria (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/28)	

- ii. 19th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016. Draft Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/Inf.7)
- 18th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Istanbul, Turkey, 2013.Decision IG.21/3 -Ecosystems Approach including adopting definitions of Good Environmental Status (GES) and Targets. UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.21/9
- iv. Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).
- v. Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy.

### **Contaminants related Policy documents**

- vi. EU 1881/2006. Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. European Commission.
- vii. US FDA http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodborneIllnessContaminants/Metals/ucm115644.htm
- viii. Joint FAO/WHO Expert consultation on the risk and benefits of fish consumption. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Report No. 978. ISSN 2070-6987. Rome, January, 2010.
- ix. List of maximum levels for contaminants in foods set by the FAO/WHO Codex Alimentarius Commission can be found at <u>ftp://ftp.fao.org/codex/Meetings/cccf/cccf7/cf07\_INFe.pdf</u>
- x. Global Legally Binding Treaty (Minamata Convention on Mercury) http://www.mercuryconvention.org/

Indicator Title	20. Actual levels of contaminants that have been detected and number of contaminants which have exceeded maximum regulatory levels in commonly consumed seafood (EO9)	
Indicator analysis methods		
Indicator Definition		
Number of detected regulated co	ontaminants* in commercial species.	
Number of detected regulated co	ontaminants* exceeding regulatory limits.	
(*list of contaminants can be for	und in the links from the previous section)	
Additional parameters required:	sample identification, location, date and biometrics	
<u>Sub-indicators:</u> other relevant ch out on a country decision basis.	nemicals and emerging pollutants are recommended to be carried	
Methodology for indicator cal	culation	
Number of detected contaminan statistics and databases	ts: monitoring by national regulatory and inspection bodies through	
Number of detected contaminants exceeding regulatory limits: monitoring by national regulatory and inspection bodies through statistics and databases		
Indicator units		
(frequencies, %) - Number of detected contaminants in individual commercial species		
(frequencies, %) - Number of detected contaminants exceeding regulatory limits in appropriate units, for example, mg/kg fresh weight (parts per million, ppm, fresh weight) or $\mu$ g/g fresh weight (part per billion, ppb, fresh weight).		
List of Guidance documents a	nd protocols available	
Refer to UNEP Methods and Protocols for Marine Pollution, as well as from other regional conventions for the determination of contaminants in marine organisms (Note, pre-treatment of samples from marine organisms might differ between sample preparation and analytical methods and care should be taken when comparing the different reference values.		
Data Confidence and uncertai	nties	
The data confidence is directly related to the number of available tests performed to commercial species and their regularity, beyond the analytical quality assurance (QA/QC) related to the determination of contamiants in fish		
Methodology for monitoring, temporal and spatial scope		
Available Methodologies for M	Ionitoring and Monitoring Protocols	
There are no directly-applicable monitoring protocols in order to fulfil the requirement of this Common Indicator. Risk-based public health methodologies to define the monitoring are recommend. <b>Available data sources</b>		

At present national databases (if available), research papers and environmental databases (the MED POL Database)

Spatial scope guidance and selection of monitoring stations
Indicator Title	20. Actual levels of contaminant number of contaminants which have levels in commonly consumed seaf	s that have been detected and e exceeded maximum regulatory ood (EO9)
Risk-based methodologies to define monitoring are recommended. Guidance for monitoring stations: environmental monitoring, fish markets, aboard fishing fleets, sampling at regular inspections by national authorities		
Temporal Scope guidance		
Risk-based methodologies to de linked to the data confidence and time period.	fine monitoring are recommended.T d uncertainty of the indicator. Yearly	he temporal scope is highly y statistics would be the basic
Data analysis and assessment	outputs	
Statistical analysis and basis for	or aggregation	
Monitoring should allow the necessary statistical data treatments and long-term time-trend evaluations. Geographic reporting scales (within IMAP implementation) should be also considered in terms of indictor aggregation:		
<ol> <li>Whole region (i.e. Mediterranean Sea);</li> <li>Mediterranean sub-regions, as presented in the Initial Assessment of the Mediterranean Sea, UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.20/Inf.8;</li> <li>Coastal waters and other marine waters;</li> <li>Subdivisions of coastal waters provided by Contracting Parties.</li> </ol>		
Expected assessments outputs		
Known gans and uncertainties	in the Mediterranean	usues
As this is a new Common Indicator within the context of marine environmental protection policy ( <i>ca</i> . Ecosystem Approach and IMAP implementation) its applicability beyond food consumer protection and public health would need to be determined, although intuitively reflects the health status of the marine environment in terms of their delivery of benefits (e.g. fisheries industry). Thus, monitoring protocols, risk-based approaches, analytical testing and assessment methodologies would need to be further examined between Contracting Parties national food safety authorities, research orgnisations and/or environmental agencies.		
Version No	Date	Author
V 2	28 04 17	MEDPOL
• • =	20.0 T.1 /	

Indicator Title	21. Percentage of intestinal enterococci concentration	
	measurements within established standards (EO9)	
<b>Relevant GES definition</b>	<b>Related Operational Objective</b>	Proposed Target(s)
Concentrations of intestinal enterococci are within established standards	Water quality in bathing waters and other recreational areas does not undermine human health	1. Increasing trend in the measurements within established standards (levels of intestinal enterococci comply with established national or international standards, such as
		EU 2006/ / Directive)
D-41		

# Common Indicator 21 (EO9): Percentage of intestinal enterococci concentration measurements within established standards

#### Rational

#### Justification for indicator selector

The Mediterranean Sea continues to attract every year an ever increasing number of international and local tourists that among their activities use the sea for recreational purposes. The establishment of sewage treatment plants and the construction of submarine outfall structures have decreased the potential for microbiological pollution, despite major hotpots still exist. High levels of enterococci bacteria in recreational marine waters (coasts, beaches, tourism spots, etc) are known to be indicative of human pathogens due to non-treated discharges into the marine environment and cause human infections. Therefore, enterococci concentrations are frequently used as a faecal indicator bacteria, or general indicators of faecal contamination. Particularly, E. faecalis and E.faecium species are related to urinary tract infections, endocarditis, bacteriema, neonatal infections, central nervous system, abdominal and pelvic infections. It has been also shown a correlation between elevated levels of enterococci and the risks of human gastroenteritis. It has been suggested and later on demonstrated that enterococci sp. might be more appropriate than traditional Escherichia coli in marine waters as an index of faecal pollution. Currently, is the only faecal indicator bacteria recommended by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for brackish and marine waters, since they correlate better than faecal coliforms or *E.coli*. The abundance in human and animal feces and the simplicity of the analytical methods for their measurements has favoured the use of entorococci as a surrogate of polluted recreational waters, and therefore, as a Common Indicator for GES

#### **Scientific References**

- i. Cabelli VJ, Dufour AP, Levin MA, McCabe LJ, Haberman PW. 1979. Relationship of microbial indicators to health effects at marine bathing beaches. Am. J. Public Health, 69, 690–696
- ii. Byappanahalli, MN. *et al.*, 2012. Enterococci in the environment. Microbiol. Mol. Biol.Rev., 76, 685-706
- Moellering RC Jr. 1992. Emergence of Enterococcus as a significant pathogen. Clin. Infect. Dis., 15, 58–62
- Mote BL, Turner JW, Lipp EK. 2012. Persistence and growth of the faecal indicator bacteria enterococci in detritus and natural estuarine plankton communities. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.,78, 2569–2577
- v. Sadowsky MJ, Whitman RL (Ed). 2010. The faecal bacteria. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- vi. Kay D, *et al.* 1994. Predicting likelihood of gastroenteritis from sea bathing: results from randomised exposure. Lancet, 344, 905–909
- vii. Prüss A. 1998. Review of epidemiological studies on health effects from exposure to recreational water. Int. J. Epidemiol., 27, 1–9

#### **Policy Context and targets**

Indicator Title	21. Percentage of intestinal enterococci concentration
	measurements within established standards (EO9)

#### **Policy context description**

The World Health Organisation has been concerned with health aspects of the management of water resources for many years and published various documents concerning the safety of the water environment, including marine waters, and its importance for health. Revised Mediterranean guidelines for bathing water quality were formulated in 2007 based on the WHO guidelines for "Safe Recreational Water Environments" and on the EC Directive for "Bathing Waters" (EU/2006/7). The proposal was made in an effort to provide updated criteria and standards that can be used in the Mediterranean countries and to harmonize their legislation in order to provide homogenous data. Therefore, the standards for bathing waters quality in the framework of the implementation of Article 7 of the LBS Protocol, could be further used to define GES for the indicator on pathogens in bathing waters.

#### Targets

Initial target of GES under Common Indicator 21 will be an increasing trend in measurements to test that levels of intestinal enterococci comply with established national or international standards and the methodological approach itself. Particularly, under the EU 2006/7 Directive, excellent (95<sup>th</sup> percentile < 100 CFU/100 mL) or good (95<sup>th</sup> percentile < 200 CFU/100 mL) quality categories for the "last assessment", the last four years (see document below, Directive 2006/7/EC)

#### **Policy documents**

#### **General Policy documents**

- i. 19th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016. Decision IG.22/7 Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme (IMAP) of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast and Related Assessment Criteria (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/28)
- ii. 19th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Athens, Greece, 2016.Draft Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/Inf.7)
- 18th COP to the Barcelona Convention, Istanbul, Turkey, 2013.Decision IG.21/3 -Ecosystems Approach including adopting definitions of Good Environmental Status (GES) and Targets. UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.21/9
- iv. Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).
- v. Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy.

# **Contaminants related Policy documents**

- vi. UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG 20/8. Decision IG.20/9. Criteria and Standards for bathing waters quality in the framework of the implementation of Article 7 of the LBS Protocol. COP17, Paris, 2012.
- vii. UNE/MAP MED POL, 2010. Assessment of the state of microbial pollution in the Mediterranean Sea. MAP Technical Reports Series No. 170 (Ammended).
- viii. WHO, 2003. Guidelines for safe recreational water environments. VOLUME 1: Coastal and fresh waters. WHO Library. ISBN 92 4 154580. World Health Organisation, 2003.
- ix. Directive 2006/7/EC of the European Parliament and of the council of 15 February 2006 concerning the management of bathing water quality and repealing Directive 76/160/EEC http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32006L0007&from=EN

Indicator analysis methods Indicator Definition

Indicator Title	21. Percentage of intestinal enterococci concentration	
	measurements within established standards (EO9)	
Percentage of intestinal enteroc	occi concentration measurements within established standards.	
Concentration (CFU) of intestin	hal enterococci in the sample (normalised to 100 mL)	
Methodology for indicator ca	lculation	
An ISO methodology has been proposed by Directive 2006/7/EC with the following specification: Based upon percentile evaluation of the log10 normal probability density function of microbiological data acquired from the particular bathing water, the percentile value is derived as follows: 1) Take the log10 value of all bacterial enumerations in the data sequence to be evaluated. (If a zero value is obtained, take the log10 value of the minimum detection limit of the analytical method used instead) 2) Calculate the arithmetic mean of the log10 values (u)		
3) Calculate the standard deviat	tion of the log10 values ( $\sigma$ ).	
The upper 90- percentile point of the data probability density function is derived from the following equation: upper 90- percentile = antilog ( $\mu$ + 1,282 $\sigma$ ). The upper 95- percentile point of the data probability density function is derived from the following equation: upper 95- percentile = antilog ( $\mu$ + 1.65 $\sigma$ ).		
Indicator units		
Percentage of intestinal enteroc	eocci (as %)	
CFU (Colony Forming Units)/I	00 mL sample – Concentration of intestinal enterococci	
List of Guidance documents a	and protocols available	
<ul> <li>i. ISO 7899-1[Water quality – Detection and enumeration of intestinal enterococci: Part 1: Miniaturized method (Most Probable Number) for surface and wastewater]</li> <li>ii. ISO 7899-2 [Water quality – Detection and enumeration of intestinal enterococci: Part 2: Membrane filtration method].</li> </ul>		
Data Confidence and uncertainties		
ISO 7899-2 describes the isolation of intestinal enterococci ( <i>Enterococcus faecalis, E. faecium, E. durans</i> and <i>E. hirae</i> ). In addition, other Enterococcus species and some species of the genus Streptococcus (namely <i>S. bovis</i> and <i>S. equinus</i> ) may occasionally be detected. These Streptococcus species do not survive long in water and are probably not enumerated quantitatively. For purposes of water examination, <i>enterococci sp.</i> can be regarded as indicators of faecal pollution, despite it should be mentioned that some enterococci found in water can occasionally also originate from other habitats.		
Methodology for monitoring, temporal and spatial scope		
Available Methodologies for Monitoring and Monitoring Protocols		
Revised Mediterranean guidelines for bathing waters were formulated in 2007 based on the WHO guidelines for "Safe Recreational Water Environments" and on the EC Directive for "Bathing Waters" (EU/2006/7). The proposal was made in an effort to provide updated criteria and standards that can be used in the Mediterranean countries and to harmonize their legislation in order to provide homogenous data.		
Available data sources		
Directive 2006/7/EC of the Eur	ropean Parliament and of the council of 15 February 2006 concerning	

the management of bathing water quality and repealing Directive 76/160/EEC <u>http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32006L0007&from=EN</u>

Spatial scope guidance and selection of monitoring stations

Indicator Title	21. Percentage of intestinal enteroc	expection concentration	
Sampling should be performed	Sampling should be performed in recreational waters where microbiological pollution could three		
the recreational uses.	in recreational waters where intero	biological pollution could threat	
Temporal Scope guidance			
According Annex IV (EU Direc	According Annex IV (EU Directive 2006/7EC), the temporal scope guidance is as follows:		
1. One sample is to be taken sh extra sample and subject to pa	1. One sample is to be taken shortly before the start of each bathing season. Taking account of this extra sample and subject to paragraph 2 (below), no fewer than four samples are to be taken and		
<ul><li>2. However, only three samples water that either:</li></ul>	<ul><li>analysed per bathing season.</li><li>However, only three samples need be taken and analysed per bathing season in the case of a bathing water that either.</li></ul>		
(a) has a bathing season not exc	ceeding eight weeks; or		
(b) is situated in a region subject	ct to special geographical constraints		
3. Sampling dates are to be disampling dates never exceeding	stributed throughout the bathing se	ason, with the interval between	
4. In the event of short-term pol	lution, one additional sample is to be	taken to confirm that the incident	
has ended. This sample is not to	be part of the set of bathing water qu	ality data. If necessary to replace	
a disregarded sample, an additi	onal sample is to be taken seven day	ys after the end of the short-term	
pollution.			
Data analysis and assessment	outputs		
Statistical analysis and basis f	for aggregation		
Monitoring should allow the ne	ecessary statistical data treatments, a	as well as time-trend evaluations	
(nosted approach) should be to	ken into account. However, the bal	ance between data location and	
(nested approach) should be car	efully considered for coherence in a	reas $(1)$ and $(2)$ as this Common	
Indicator is largely (if not entire	eluity considered for constal waters (3).	(2), as this common	
(1) Whole region (i.e. Mediterranean Sea):			
(2) Mediterranean sub-regions as presented in the Initial Assessment of the Mediterranean Sea			
UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.20/Inf.8	3:		
(3) Coastal waters and other marine waters;			
(4) Subdivisions of coastal waters provided by Contracting Parties			
Expected assessments outputs	5		
For pathogenic microorganism	s in bathing water, monitoring for t	he assessment of GES could be	
carried out on a sub-regional and/or local level due to the nature of microbiological contamination			
(the impact is restricted to a relatively short distance from the pollution source due to the short survival			
time of microorganisms in seawater and dilution effects).			
Distribution maps and temporal trend assessment (short periods) are also envisaged.			
Known gaps and uncertaintie	s in the Mediterranean		
within the context of Ecosyst	tem Approach and IMAP impleme	ntation its applicability beyond	
batning waters (recreational w	health status of the accestal and	would need to be determined,	
bonofits (o.g. tourism)	health status of the coastal environi	nent in terms of their derivery of	
Contacts and version Date			
http://www.unenman.org			
Version No	Date	Author	
V.2	28.04.17	MEDPOL	

Common indicator 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on
coastlines (including analysis of its composition, spatial distribution and, where possible, source)

	Related Ecological Objective: (Ellitter do not adversely affect the	CO 10) Marine and coastal coastal and marine
	environment	
Indicator Title	Common indicator 22: Trends in t	he amount of litter washed
	ashore and/or deposited on coastl	ines (including analysis of its
	composition, spatial distribution a	and, where possible, source).
<b>Relevant GES definition</b>	<b>Related Operational Objective</b>	Target(s)
Number/amount of marine litter	10.1 The impacts related to	Decreasing trend in the
items on the coastline do not	properties and quantities of	number of/amount of marine
have negative impact on human	marine litter in the marine	litter (items) deposited on the
health, marine life and	environment and coastal	coast.
ecosystem services.	environment are minimized	
Detionals		

Rationale

Marine litter found on the coastlines (washed ashore and/or deposited) is one of the most obvious signs of marine litter pollution. Beach marine litter originates from major land-based (tourism, recreation, illegal fly tipping, waste disposal sites,) and sea-based (commercial shipping, fisheries activities, pleasure crafts and off-shore installations) sources following very diverse pathways to reach the marine environment (e.g. input from rivers, sewage and storm water outflows, etc.). Beach marine litter items may range from very large items (metres) down to smaller pieces and fragments i.e. macro-litter ( $\geq$ 25 mm), meso-litter (5-25 mm), micro-litter ( $\leq$ 5 mm), and nano-litter (< 1000 µm) (GESAMP 2017). Surveys of litter stranded on the coastline are a primary tool for monitoring the load of litter in the marine environment and have been used world-wide to quantify and describe marine litter pollution (JRC, 2011). The results of the surveys, in a later stage, shall be used to assess the effectiveness of management or mitigation measures, identify the sources and activities leading to pollution from marine litter and determine threats to marine biota and ecosystems (Cheshire et al., 2009).

The overviews by UN Environment (Cheshire et al. 2009) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (Opfer et al., 2012), are the most comprehensive and useful overviews for monitoring methods on the coast. The UN Environment overview includes a comprehensive comparison of existing marine litter survey and monitoring methods and protocols in which beach surveys were assessed (Cheshire et al., 2009). The European Commission through its Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD), Technical Group on Marine Litter (TGML) published the Guidance Document on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas (2013) which proposes a common implementation strategy for the MSFD on several aspects of marine litter. Recently the IPA-Adriatic DeFishGear<sup>8</sup> project has also developed comprehensive guidelines for monitoring marine litter in the Adriatic-Ionian macro- region while a marine litter assessment is already available for the Adriatic and Ionian Seas (Vlachogianni et al., 2017).

When designing marine litter surveys it is necessary to differentiate between standing-stock surveys, where the total load of litter is assessed during a one-off count, and the assessment of accumulation and loading rates during regularly repeated surveys of the same stretch of beach with initial and subsequent removal of litter. Both types of survey provide information on the amount and types of marine litter, however, only the accumulation surveys provide information on the rate of deposition of litter and trends in litter pollution.

The type of survey selected i.e. strandline surveys, cleaning and regular surveys depends on the objectives of the assessment and on the magnitude of the pollution on the coastline (UNEP(DEPI)/MED WG.417/Inf.15Part2<sup>9</sup>). A single survey method has been recommended by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> http://www.defishgear.net/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 2nd Report of the Informal Online Working Group on Marine Litter

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment
Indicator Title	Common indicator 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed
	ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (including analysis of its
	composition spatial distribution and where possible source)

TGML with different spatial parameters for light to moderately polluted coastline and for heavily polluted coastlines.

### **Scientific References**

- Cheshire, A. C., Adler, E., Barbière, J., Cohen, Y., Evans, S., Jarayabhand, S., Jeftic, L., Jung, R.T., Kinsey, S., Kusui, E.T., Lavine, I., Manyara, P., Oosterbaan, L., Pereira, M.A., Sheavly, S., Tkalin, A., Varadarajan, S., Wenneker, B., Westphalen, G., 2009. UNEP/IOC Guidelines on Survey and Monitoring of Marine Litter. UNEP Regional Seas Reports and Studies 186 (IOC Technical Series No. 83): 120.
- GESAMP (2016). "Sources, fate and effects of microplastics in the marine environment: part two of a global assessment" (Kershaw, P.J., and Rochman, C.M., eds). (IMO/FAO/UNESCO-IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/UNEP/UNDP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection). Rep. Stud. GESAMP No. 93, 220 p.
- IPA-Adriatic DeFishGear project, 2014. Methodology for Monitoring Marine Litter on Beaches (Macro-Debris >2.5 cm).
- IPA-Adriatic DeFishGear project, 2014. Methodology for Monitoring Marine Litter on the Sea Surface-Visual observation (> 2.5 cm).
- IPA-Adriatic DeFishGear project, 2014. Methodology for Monitoring Marine Litter on the Seafloor (continental shelf) bottom trawl surveys.
- IPA-Adriatic DeFishGear project, 2014. Methodology for Monitoring Marine Litter on the Seafloor (Shallow coastal waters 0 20 m) Visual surveys with SCUBA/snorkelling.
- JRC, 2011. Marine Litter Technical Recommendations for the Implementation of MSFD Requirements. European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Institute for Environment and Sustainability EUR 25009 EN, pp. 66. doi: 10.2788/92438.
- JRC, 2013. Guidance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas. JRC Scientific and Policy Reports EUR 26113 EN, pp. 126. doi: 10.2788/99475.
- Opfer, S., Arthur, C. and Lippiatt, S., 2012. NOAA Marine Debris Shoreline Survey Field Guide. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Vlachogianni, Th., Zeri, Ch., Ronchi, F., Fortibuoni, T., Anastasopoulou, A., 2017. Marine Litter Assessment in the Adriatic and Ionian Seas. IPA-Adriatic DeFishGear Project, MIO-ECSDE, HCMR and ISPRA. pp. 180 (ISBN: 978-960-6793-25-7)

#### Policy Context and targets (other than IMAP) Policy context description

The UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan Barcelona Convention Regional Plan on Marine Litter Management in the Mediterranean Region is the first ever legally binding regional plan adopted by a Regional Sea Convention (Decision IG. 21/7) that addresses marine litter management in regional level in a coherent manner and sets out legally binding measures at regional and national level, and implementation timetables. The main objective of the Regional Plan on Marine Litter Management in the Mediterranean is to prevent and reduce marine litter generation and its impact on marine and coastal environment in order to achieve good environmental status (GES) as per the relevant Mediterranean ecological objectives and ecosystem approach based Marine Litter related targets adopted by UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan in 2012 and 2013 during the 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting Parties of the Barcelona Convention consecutively. Moreover, through its Articles: 11 "Assessment of Marine Litter in the Mediterranean" and 12 "Mediterranean Marine Litter Monitoring Programme", the Regional Plan on Marine Litter includes a series of specific provisions for the countries for monitoring and assessment of marine litter i.e. assess the state of marine litter, the impact to marine and coastal environment and human health, the socio-

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal	
	litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment	
Indicator Title	Common indicator 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed	
	ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (including analysis of its	
	composition, spatial distribution and, where possible, source).	
development of national monitori	ng programmes on marine litter etc.	
The EU MSFD (2008/56/EC) req	uires European Member States to develop strategies that should	
lead to programmes of measures to achieve or maintain GES in European Seas. MSFD sets the		
framework for Member States to	achieve by 2020 GES for their marine waters, considering 11	
descriptors. Descriptor 10 focuses	s on marine litter, stating that GES is achieved only when	
environment"	ne inter do not cause narm to the coastal and marme	
Indicator/Targets		
UN Environment / Mediterranean	Action Plan Decision IG.21/3 of the 18 <sup>th</sup> Meeting of the	
definition of GES and targets prov	poses as target for Indicator 10.1.1: Decreasing trend in the	
number of/amounts of marine litte	er (items) deposited on the coast.	
Moreover, in the framework of th	e UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan Barcelona	
Convention, Regional Plan on Ma	arine Litter Management in the Mediterranean (Decision IG.21/7 -	
18 <sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting P	arties), a series of Marine Litter Baseline Values and adopted by the 10 <sup>th</sup> Masting of the Contrasting Partias) (Decision	
Environmental Targets have been adopted by the 19 <sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting Parties) (Decision		
Baseline Values for Beach Marine	e Litter:	
- Minimum value: 11 items	s/100m	
- Maximum value: 3600 ite	ems/100m	
- Mean value: 920 items/10 Proposed Resoline: 450.1	/00 /00 items/100m	
- Proposed Baseline. 450-1	400 Rems/100m	
Environmental Targets for Beach	Marine Litter:	
- Types of Target: % of dea	crease	
- Minimum: Significant		
- Maximum: 30% Reduction Torgets: 20% 1	2024	
- Reduction Targets: 20% I	<u>Jy 2024</u>	
Indicator Definition		
GES Definition: Number/amount of marine litter items on the coastline do not have negative		
Impacts on human health, marine life and ecosystem services.		
	แลนบบ	
All items found on the survey uni	t (i.e. one or two 100m transects) should be entered on survey	
forms. On the survey forms, each item is given a unique identification number. Data should ideally		
be entered on the survey form while picking up the litter. Collecting the litter first and identifying it		
later may alter numbers as collect	ed litter tends to get more entangled or broken.	

A standard list of marine litter items should be used including all possible marine litter items. Several relevant lists exist. A master list of litter categories and items has been also developed by EU MSFD TGML. This master list includes a list of categories and items to be recorded during

	<b>Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal</b> litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine	
	environment	
Indicator Title	Common indicator 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed	
	ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (including analysis of its	
	composition, spatial distribution and, where possible, source).	

beach litter surveys. Based on this Master list, the UN Environment /Mediterranean Action Plan, Mediterranean Pollution Assessment and Control Programme (MED POL) as part of the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme (IMAP) has elaborated a reduced list including the items more frequently found on the Mediterranean beaches, avoiding those that are found rarely. The MSFD derived MED POL list merge some types of beach litter (e.g. different types of plastic drink bottles or different types of caps/lids and rings, etc), split glass and ceramic items categories, consider the sanitary and medical wastes as a separate category and not to include several specific items that have not appeared in the running Mediterranean countries monitoring programmes. In order to homogenize and harmonize the information collected in the Contracting Parties Monitoring Programmes, this reduced MED POL list should be used .

It has been strongly recommended to produce regional photo guides including pictures of all litter items on the survey protocol. This will assist in the correct identification and allocation of recorded items.

Attentions should be also given on size limits and classes of the surveyed marine litter items. There are no upper size limits to litter recorded on beaches. The IMAP guidance document (UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/Inf.7) suggest a lower limit of 0.5 cm in the longest dimension is recommended for litter items monitored during beach surveys. However in many other cases the lower size limit, which is considered in such cases is 2.5 cm<sup>10</sup>.

Special attention should be drawn upon the environmental sound waste disposal of the collected litter from the Mediterranean coastlines. The removal of the beach marine litter ítems should be done according to specific rules and guidelines, also the proper waste disposal taking into account several factors, as for example that the weathered marine litter ítems cannot be recycled. In that extent there is a need to develop of a corresponding document in the future. There are some projects lead by NOAA where they focus on the removal of the collected marine litter items<sup>11</sup> Indicator units

Counts of items per item type per survey unit are recommended as the standard unit of litter to be assessed on the coastline.

Survey unit is a fixed section of beach covering the whole area between the water edges (where possible and safe) or from the strandline to the back of the beach (IMAP Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance document**Error! Bookmark not defined.**).

- At least 1 section of 100m on the same beach, optimum 2 sections, are recommended for monitoring purposes on lightly to moderately littered beaches;
- At least 2 sections of 100 m for heavily littered beaches (exceptionally 50m section with a normalization factor of up to 100m to ensure coherence).

For assessing trends on marine litter, the percent (%) of decrease should be assessed. OSPAR recommends a minimum of 6 years monitoring in order to assess trends. The information on items/km<sup>2</sup> should be coupled with information on weight per different category. In cases where more than one section is selected, then a 50m separation zone, between the two transects, should be selected.

List of Guidance documents and protocols available

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> http://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC83985/lb-na-26113-en-n.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> https://marinedebris.noaa.gov/current-efforts/removal

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal
	litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine
	environment
Indicator Title	Common indicator 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed
	ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (including analysis of its
	composition, spatial distribution and, where possible, source).
- UN Environment / Intergo	overnmental Oceanographic Commission, Guidelines on Survey
and Monitoring of Marine	e Litter (2009).
- UN Environment /Mediterranean Action Plan, Integrated Monitoring and Assessment	
Programme Guidance document (2016) (UNEP(DEPI)/MED_IG.22/Inf.7)	
- EU MSFD TGML, Guida	nce on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas (2013).
- DeFishGear project, Meth	odology for Monitoring Marine Litter on Beaches Macro-debris
(<2.5cm) (2015).	

### **Data Confidence and uncertainties**

Most beach marine litter surveys are organized by NGOs with a focus on cleaning. Moreover, small fragments measuring less than 2.5 cm are often buried and may not be targeted by clean-up campaigns or monitoring surveys. Stranding fluxes are also difficult to assess. Moreover, the majority of studies performed show a high variability in the density of litter depending on the use or characteristics of each beach. More work has also to be done on informing volunteer groups about the necessity to submit standardized research data for statistical purposes. In that respect clean-up programmes shall increase public knowledge of the scientific relevance of information and information sharing.

Quality Assessment and Quality Control for beach marine litter data is considered of primary importance. Based on UN Environment Guidelines (Cheshire et al., 2009), any long-term marine litter assessment programme will require a specific and focussed effort to recruit and train field staff and volunteers. Consistent, high quality training and standard data reporting are essential to ensure data quality and needs to explicitly include the development of operational (field based) skills. Standard data reporting sheets (i.e. IMAP Reporting Sheets) including a standardized list of marine litter items and also additional information (weather conditions, etc) commonly used at regional level should be promoted in order to maximize homogeneity on the collected data, make comparison possible, come up with most commonly observed items at regional and sub-regional level and thus assess the problem at regional level. Moreover, all the available training material like the UN Environment Massive Open Online Course (MOOC<sup>12</sup>) should be used to train beach marine litter surveyors on surveying, monitoring and on general aspects of marine litter. Staff education programmes should incorporate specific information on the results and outcomes from the work so that staff and volunteers can understand the context of the litter assessment programme.

Quality assurance and quality control should be primarily targeted at education of the field teams to ensure that litter collection and characterization is consistent across surveys. Investment in communication and the training of the country/regional and local survey coordinators and managers is thus critical to survey integrity.

The quality assurance protocol of Ocean Conservancy's National Marine Debris Monitoring Program (USA) required a percentage of all locations to be independently re-surveyed immediately following the scheduled assessment of litter (Sheavly, 2007). The collected litter from the follow-up survey could then be added to that of the main collection and could be used to provide an estimate of the error level associated with the survey.

# Methodology for monitoring, temporal and spatial scope

Available Methodologies for Monitoring and Monitoring Protocols

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> <u>http://www.unep.org/gpa/gpml/MOOC.asp</u>

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal
	litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine
	environment
Indicator Title	Common indicator 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed
	ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (including analysis of its
	composition, spatial distribution and, where possible, source).
The selection of survey sites shou	Id be based on the following criteria:
• A minimum length of 100	lm; 
• Clear access to the sea (no screened by anthropogeni	c structures;
Accessible to survey team	is year round, although some consideration needs to be;
• Ideally the site should not	be subject to any other litter collection activities, although it is
recognized that in many p	arts of Europe large scale maintenance cleaning is carried out
periodically; in such cases	s the timing of non-survey related beach cleaning must be known
such that litter flux rates (	the amount of litter accumulation per unit time) can be
determined.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• Survey activities should b	e conducted so as not to impact on any endangered or protected
species such as sea turtles	this would exclude national parks but this may yary depending on
local management arrange	ements
iocar management arrange	cinents.
Within the above constraints, the	ocation of survey sites within each zone should be stratified such
that counts are obtained from beau	thes subject to different litter exposures, including:
• Urban coasts may better r	eflect the contribution of land-based inputs;
• Rural coasts may better re	flect background values for litter pollution levels
Coasts close to major rive	rs, if downstream from the prevailing drift, may better reflect the
contribution of riverine in	put to coastal litter pollution.
At least two surveys per year in w	inter and summer are recommended and ideally 4 surveys in
spring, summer, autumn and winte	er. However, because of the large seasonal variation in amounts of
litter washed ashore, initially a hig	ther frequency of surveys may be necessary in order to identify
significant seasonal patterns, which	ch can then be considered when treating raw data for long-term
trend analyses. Preferably, the sur	veys for all participating beaches in a given region should be
carried out within the shortest time	eframe possible within a survey period. Coordinators within these
regions should try and coordinate	the survey dates between beaches. Furthermore a given beach
should be surveyed on roughly the	e same day each year 11 possible.
It is very important to document a	nd characterise the survey sites. As surveys should be repeated on
exactly the same site the c	coordinates of the site should be documented. Permanent reference
points must be used to en	sure that exactly the same site will be monitored for all surveys.
The start and end points of	f each survey unit can be identified by different methods. For
example numbered beach	poles could be installed at the site or easily identifiable landmarks
could be used. Coordinate	s obtained by GPS are useful for identifying the reference beaches
especially where easily id	entifiable landmarks are lacking.
Counts of items per item type are	recommended as the standard unit of litter to be assessed on the
coastine. Once a beach is chosen	survey units can be identified. A survey unit is a fixed section of
beach covering the whole area bet	ween the water edges (where possible and safe) or from the
• At least 1 section of 100m	a on the same beach ontimum? sections are recommended for
- At least 1 section of 100h	on the same beach, optimum 2 sections, are recommended for

monitoring purposes on lightly to moderately littered beaches
At least 2 sections of 100 m for heavily littered beaches (exceptionally 50m section with a normalisation factor of up to 100m to ensure coherence)

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine
	environment
Indicator Title	Common indicator 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed
	ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (including analysis of its
	composition, spatial distribution and, where possible, source).

All items found on the survey unit should be entered on survey forms. On the survey forms, each item is given a unique identification number. Data should ideally be entered on the survey form while picking up the litter. Collecting the litter first and identifying it later may alter numbers as collected litter tends to get more entangled or broken. Unknown litter or items that are not on the survey form should be noted in an appropriate "other item box". A short description of the item should then be included on the survey form. If possible, digital photos should be taken of unknown items so that they can be identified later and, if necessary, be added to the survey form. There are no upper size limits to litter recorded on beaches. A lower limit of 0.5 cm in the longest dimension is recommended for litter items monitored during beach surveys. This would ensure the inclusion of caps & lids and cigarette butts in any counts. This lower limit was agreed in the IMAP Guidance presented at COP 19. However a revised higher limit in line with MSFD and other Regional Seas of 2.5 cm may be discussed with experts and Contracting Parties in the future.

Removal of litter should be carried out at the same time as monitoring the litter. Coupling removal with monitoring ensures better accuracy of reporting and enables comparison of litter accumulation over time; It also has the added advantage of leaving a clean beach. It is important to note that only the 100m ref section(s) need to be monitored and cleaned. Further areas of a beach can be cleaned without monitoring if surveyors/volunteers wish to do so. The litter collected should be disposed of properly. Regional or national regulations and arrangements should be followed. If these do not exist local municipalities should be informed. Larger items that cannot be removed (safely) by the surveyors should be marked, with for example paint spray (for marking trees) so they will not be counted again at the next survey.

#### Available data sources

- National Monitoring Programmes
- European Environment Agency (EEA) Marine LitterWatch (MLW) Smartphone Application: <u>http://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/coast\_sea/marine-litterwatch</u>
- Hellenic Marine Environment Protection Association (HELMEPA): http://www.helmepa.gr/en/home.php
- Legambiente International: <u>http://international.legambiente.it/</u>
- IPA Adriatic DeFishGear Project: http://www.defishgear.net/
- Ocean Conservancy, International Coastal Clean-up (ICC): <u>http://www.oceanconservancy.org/our-work/international-coastal-</u> <u>cleanup/?referrer=https://www.google.gr/</u>
- Surfers Against Sewage: https://www.sas.org.uk/
- Surfrider Foundation Europe: <u>https://www.surfrider.org/</u>

#### Spatial scope guidance and selection of monitoring stations

Ideally the selected sites should represent litter abundance and composition for a given region. Not any given coastal site may be appropriate, as they may be limited in terms of accessibility, suitability to perform a survey (sand or rocks/boulders) and beach cleaning activities. If possible the same criteria as the ones considered during the selection of the survey sites should be applied. The location of survey sites should be selected in such a way that samples are obtained from beaches subject to different litter exposures, including:

- Urban coasts may better reflect the contribution of land-based inputs;

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment
Indicator Title	Common indicator 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed
	ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (including analysis of its
	composition, spatial distribution and, where possible, source).

- Minimum settlement sites may better reflect background values for litter pollution levels \

- Coasts close to major rivers, if downstream from the prevailing drift, may better reflect the contribution of riverine input to coastal litter pollution.

#### Temporal Scope guidance

At least two surveys per year in spring and autumn are recommended and ideally 4 surveys in spring, summer, autumn and winter. However, because of the large seasonal variation in amounts of litter washed ashore, initially a higher frequency of surveys may be necessary in order to identify significant seasonal patterns, which can then be considered when treating raw data for long-term trend analyses.

Preferably, the surveys for all participating beaches in a given region should be carried out within the shortest timeframe possible within a survey period. Coordinators within these regions should try and coordinate the survey dates between beaches. Furthermore a given beach should be surveyed on roughly the same day each year if possible.

It should be kept in mind that circumstances may lead to inaccessible and unsafe situations for surveyors: heavy winds, slippery rocks and hazards such as rain, snow or ice, etc. The safety of the surveyors must always come first. Dangerous or suspicious looking items, such as ammunition, chemicals and medicine should not be removed. Inform the police or authorities responsible. If working on remote beaches it is recommended to work with a minimum of two people.

# Data analysis and assessment outputs

# Statistical analysis and basis for aggregation

Basic analysis involves spreadsheet development, aggregations per category and type of marine litter items, mean values and corresponding standard deviation. Since there are no available long-term data at the moment, there is no statistical method recommended. Six years of monitoring is considered as the minimum to assess trends. Moreover, at present there is no agreed statistical method for recommending a minimum number of sites that may be representative for a certain length of coast. This depends greatly on the purpose of the monitoring, on the geomorphology of the coast and how many sites that meet the criteria described above are available. The representativeness of survey sites should be assessed in pilot studies, where initially a large numbers of beaches are surveyed. Subsequently, selection of representative beaches from these sites should be made on the basis of a statistical analysis.

#### **Expected assessments outputs**

- Abundance of beach marine litter with detailed information on densities (items/100m transect and items/m<sup>2</sup>), different types of material and/or use;
- Temporal and spatial distribution;
- Identify sources;
- Most frequent items list found at regional and national level.

#### Known gaps and uncertainties in the Mediterranean

The lack of harmonized monitoring methods and the use of a common list of marine litter items found on beaches leads in several data uncertainties mainly attributed to the lack of comparison among sub-regions and also to give a complete view at basin scale. Comparison is difficult if different methods, different spatial and temporal scales, different size scales of litter items and different lists or categorisation of litter items recorded on beaches are used. Moreover, data collection and data management are considered crucial towards minimizing data uncertainties. Data collation should be undertaken through dedicated database management systems, preferably in regional level, under the control and direction of the local data managers. The EU MSFD TGML Guidance Document (2013), highlights that the existence of such databases would ensure a high level of consistency within each region as well as create a hierarchy of quality assurance on data acquisition. Such a database should be developed and maintained for the Mediterranean.

# Contacts and version Date: UNEP/MAP 16 January 2017

#### Key contacts within UN Environment for further information

- Mr Christos Ioakeimidis, Marine Litter MED Project Expert, Mediterranean Pollution Assessment and Control Programme (MED POL) (<u>Christos.Ioakeimidis@unep.org</u>)
- Ms Virginie Hart, Programme Officer, UN Environment/Mediterranean Action Plan, Mediterranean Pollution Assessment and Control Programme (MED POL) (Virginie.Hart@unep.org)
- Ms Tatjana Hema, Deputy Coordinator, UN Environment/Mediterranean Action Plan (Tatjana.Hema@unep.org)

Version No	Date	Author
V.1	28.04.17	MEDPOL

# Common indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water column including microplastics and on the seafloor

#### [A] Seafloor Marine Litter

	Related Ecological Objective: (E litter do not adversely affect the environment	O 10) Marine and coastal coastal and marine
Indicator Title	Common Indicator 23: Trends in the	he amount of litter in the water
	column including microplastics an	d on the seafloor
<b>Relevant GES definition</b>	<b>Related Operational Objective</b>	Target(s)
Number/amount of marine	10.1. The impacts related to	Decreasing trend in the
litter items in the water	properties and quantities of	number/amount of marine
surface and the seafloor do	marine litter in the marine and	litter items in the water surface
not have negative impacts on	coastal environment are	and the seafloor
human health, marine life,	minimized	
ecosystem services and do		
not create risk to navigation		
Rationale		

#### Justification for indicator selection

The seafloor has been identified as an important sink for marine litter. From the existing information marine litter can be found in varying depths and places, showing considerable spatial variability. Most litter is comprised of high-density materials and hence sinks. Even low-density synthetic polymers such as polyethylene and polypropylene, may sink under the weight of fouling or additives. Marine litter items may range from very large items (metres) down to smaller pieces and fragments i.e. macro-litter ( $\geq$ 25 mm), meso-litter (5-25 mm), micro-litter ( $\leq$ 5 mm), and nano-litter (< 1000 µm) (GESAMP 2016).The Mediterranean Sea is a special case, as its shelves are not extensive and its deep sea environments can be influenced by the presence of coastal canyons. However there are several studies investigating the abundance of marine litter on the seafloor of the Mediterranean Sea (Galil et al., 1995; Galgani et al., 1996, 2000; Ioakeimidis et al., 2014; Pham et al., 2014; Ramirez-Llodra et al., 2013).

The geographical distribution of litter on the seafloor is strongly influenced by hydrodynamics, geomorphology and human factors. Litter that reaches the seafloor may already have been transported considerable distance, only sinking when weighted down by entanglement and fouling by a wide variety of bacteria, algae, animals and fine-grained accumulated sediments, and litter can then sink to the seafloor. The consequence is an accumulation of litter on specific seafloor locations in response to local sources and oceanographic conditions (Galgani et al., 2000; Keller et al., 2010; Watters et al., 2010). Moreover, seafloor litter tends to become trapped in areas of low circulation. Once litter reaches the seafloor, it lies on the seafloor and it may even partly buried in areas of very high sedimentation rate (Ye and Andrady, 1991). Taking also into account the persistence of most of litter materials (i.e. plastics) and thus the fact that many of the recorded marine litter may be present on the seafloor for year or even decades, then the monitoring of seafloor marine litter becomes extremely important information regarding the abundance of small plastic particles accumulating in the deep-sea sediments is still very limited as only few studies exist on this field (Van Cauwenberghe et al., 2013; Woodall et al., 2014) and further work should be encouraged.

#### **Scientific References**

- Cheshire A. C., et al. (2009). UNEP/IOC Guidelines on survey and monitoring of marine litter. 2009 UNEP Regional Seas Rpts & Studies, No. 186; IOC Tech. Ser. No. 83.
- Galgani F, Jaunet S, Campillo A, Guenegen X, His E (1995) Distribution and abundance of debris on the continental shelf of the North-Western Mediterranean Sea. Mar Pollut Bull. 30:713–717.

		Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal
		litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine
		environment
Ind	icator Title	Common Indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water
		column including microplastics and on the seafloor
-	Galgani F., Souplet A., Ca	diou Y. (1996). Accumulation of debris on the deep floor off the
	French Mediterranean coa	st. Marine Ecology Progress Series 142(1-3):225-234.
-	Galgani, F., Leaute, J.P., M	loguedet, P., Souplet, A., Verin, Y., Carpentier, A., Goraguer, H.,
	Latrouite, D., Andral, B., O	Cadiou, Y., Mahe, C., Poulard, J.C., Nerisson, P. (2000). Litter on
	the Sea Floor Along Europ	bean Coasts. Marine Pollution Bulletin, Vol. 40, No. 6, pp. 516-527.
-	Galil, B.S., Golik, A., Tur	kay, M. (1995). Litter at the Bottom of the Sea: A Sea Bed Survey in
	the Eastern Mediterranean	. Marine Pollution Bulletin, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 22-24.GESAMP
	(2016). "Sources, fate and	effects of microplastics in the marine environment: part two of a
	global assessment" (Kersh	aw, P.J., and Rochman, C.M., eds). (IMO/FAO/UNESCO-
	IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA	/UN/UNEP/UNDP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects
	of Marine Environmental	Protection). Rep. Stud. GESAMP No. 93, 220 p.
-	Goldberg, E.D., 1995. The	health of the oceans - a 1994 update. Chemical Ecology 10, 3–8.
-	Ioakeimidis C, Zeri C, Kal	peri H, Galatchi M, Antoniadis K, Streftaris N, Galgani F,
	Papathanassiou E, Papathe	odorou G. A comparative study of marine litter on the seafloor of
	coastal areas in the Eastern	n Mediterranean and Black Seas. Mar Pollut Bull. 2014;89:296–
	304.Katsanevakis S, Katsa	rou A (2004) Influences on the distribution of marine debris on the
	seafloor of shallow coastal	areas in Greece (Eastern Mediterranean). Water Air Soil Pollut.
	489 158:325-337	
-	Keller, A.A., Fruh, E.L., J	ohnson, M.M., Simon, V., McGourty, C., 2010. Distribution and
	abundance of anthropogen	ic marine debris along the shelf and slope of the US West Coast.
	Mar. Pollut. Bull. 60, 692-	-700.
-	Lundqvist, J. (2013) – Mo	nitoring marine debris, Report of university of Gothenburg, Faculty
	of sciences, 22 pages.	
-	Pham CK, Ramirez-Llodra	a E, Alt CHS, Amaro T, Bergmann M, Canals M, Company JB,
	Davies J, Duineveld G, Galgani F, Howell KL, Huvenne VAI, Isidro E, Jones DOB, Lastras	
	G, Morato T, Gomes-Pereira JN, Purser A, Stewart H, Tojeira I, Tubau X, Van Rooij D, Tyler	
	PA, (2014). Marine litter c	listribution and density in European Seas, from the shelves to deep
	basins. PLoS One. 2014;93	e95839
-	Ramirez-Llodra, E., De M	ol, B., Company, J. B., Coll, M., Sardà, F. (2013). Effects of natural
	and anthropogenic process	es in the distribution of marine litter in the deep Mediterranean Sea.
	Progress in Oceanography	, Vol. 118, pp. 273–287. Thomas, L., Laake, J. L., Strindberg, S.,
	Marques, F. F. C., Buck-la	nd, S. T., Borchers, D. L., Anderson, D. R., Burnham, K. P.,
	Hedley, S. L., Pollard, J. F.	L. Bisnop, J. R. B., and Marques, I. A. (2006). Distance 5.0.
	Research Unit for Wildlife	Population Assessment, University of St. Andrews, UK. Available
	at: http://www.ruwpa.st-ar	Id.ac.uk/distance/
-	van Cauwenbergne, L., C.	aessens, M., Vandegenuchte, M.B., Mees, J., Janssen, C.K., 2013.
	Assessment of marine deb	ris on the Belgian Continental Shelf. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 73, 161e169.
-	wallers, D.L., Yoklavich,	M.M., Love, M.S., Schroeder, D.M., 2010. Assessing marine debris
	in deep seaffoor habitats o	II California. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 60, 131–138.
-	(2014) The deep ace is an	al, A., Canais, M., Paterson, G., Coppock, K., Sieight, V., et al.
	(2014). The deep sea is a l	najor sink for inicropiasuc debris. R. Soc. Open Sci. 1.140517.
-	- Ye S. and Andrady A.L., 1991. Fouling of floating plastic debris under Biscayne Bay exposure	
	Vlashogianni Th. Zari C	III. 22(12), 000-015. h. Bonshi, E. Fortibuoni, T. Anastasonoulou, A. 2017, Marina
-	Viachogianni, 111., Zeri, C	drighte and Ionian Song IDA Adrighte DeFighCost Droiget MIO
	ECCDE HCMD and ICDD	A pp. 180 (ISBN: 078 060 6703 25 7)
	ECODE, ICIVIK alla ISPK	л. рр. 100 (1907). 770-700-0733-23-7).

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment
Indicator Title	Common Indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water
	column including microplastics and on the seafloor
Delien Content and tangets (other than IMAD)	

#### Policy Context and targets (other than IMAP) Policy context description

The UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan Barcelona Convention Regional Plan on Marine Litter Management in the Mediterranean Region is the first ever legally binding regional plan adopted by a Regional Sea Convention (Decision IG. 21/7) that addresses marine litter management in regional level in a coherent manner and sets out legally binding measures at regional and national level, and implementation timetables. The main objectives of the ML Management Regional Plan are to prevent and reduce marine litter generation and its impact on marine and coastal environment in order to achieve good environmental status (GES) as per the relevant Mediterranean ecological objectives and ecosystem approach based Marine Litter related targets adopted by UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan in 2012 and 2013 during the 17th and 18th Meeting of the Contracting Parties of the Barcelona Convention consecutively. Moreover, through its Articles 11 "Assessment of marine litter in the Mediterranean" and 12 "Mediterranean Marine Litter Monitoring Programme", the Regional Plan on Marine Litter includes a series of specific provisions for the countries for monitoring and assessment of marine litter i.e. assess the state of marine litter, the impact to marine and coastal environment and human health, the socio-economic aspects of marine litter management, the development of marine litter data banks, the development of national monitoring programmes on marine litter etc.

The EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) (2008/56/EC) requires European Member States to develop strategies that should lead to programmes of measures to achieve or maintain Good Environmental Status (GES) in European Seas. MSFD sets the framework for Member States to achieve by 2020 GES for their marine waters, considering 11 descriptors. Descriptor 10 focuses on marine litter, stating that GES is achieved only when "Properties and quantities of marine litter do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment".

# **Indicator/Targets**

UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan Decision IG.21/3 adopted by the 18<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting Parties of the Barcelona Convention on the Ecosystem Approach including adopting definition of GES and targets proposes as target for Indicator 10.1.2: Decreasing trend in the number of/amounts of marine litter items in the water surface and the seafloor.

Moreover, in the framework of the UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan Barcelona Convention Regional Plan on Marine Litter Management in the Mediterranean (Decision IG.21/7 -18<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting Parties), a series of Marine Litter Baseline Values and Environmental Targets have been adopted by the 19<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting Meeting (Decision IG.22/10):

Baseline Values for Seafloor Marine Litter:

- Minimum value: 0 items/km<sup>2</sup>
- Maximum value: 7,700 items/ km<sup>2</sup>
- Mean value: 179 items/ km<sup>2</sup>
- Proposed Baseline: 130 230 items/ km<sup>2</sup>

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal	
	inter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine	
Indicator Title	Common Indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water	
Indicator Title	column including microplastics and on the seafloor	
Environmental Targets for Seaf	loor Marine Litter:	
- Types of Target: % of a	lecrease	
- Minimum: Stable		
- Maximum: 10% in 5 ye	ears	
- Reduction Targets: Star	tistically Significant (15% in 15 years is possible)	
Policy documents		
• UN Environment / Mediter the Mediterranean, Decisio	ranean Action Plan, Regional Plan on Marine Litter Management in n IG.21/7 (2013) <sup>13</sup> .	
UN Environment / Mediter	ranean Action Plan, Integrated Monitoring and Assessment	
Programme of the Mediterr $22/7 (2016)^{14}$ .	anean Sea and Coast and Related Assessment Criteria, Decision IG	
• UN Environment, Marine I	itter Legislation Toolkit for Policymakers (2016) <sup>15</sup> .	
• European Commission, Marine Strategy Framework Directive, Directive 2008/56/EC (2008) <sup>16</sup> .		
European Commission, Dec	cision on criteria and methodological standards on good	
environmental status of ma	rine waters (2010) <sup>17</sup> .	
Indicator analysis methods		
Indicator Definition		
GES Definition: Number/amou	nt of marina litter items in the water surface and the seafloor do not	
have negative impacts on huma	n health marine life ecosystem services and do not create risk to	
navigation		
Methodology for indicator ca	lculation	
General strategies for the invest	tigation of seabed marine litter are similar to those used to assess the	
abundance and type of benthic species. The most common approaches to evaluate sea-floor litter		
distribution is to use perform opportunistic surveys often coupled with regular fisheries surveys		
(marine reserve, offshore platforms, etc.) and programmes on biodiversity, These methods for		
determining seafloor litter distributions (e.g. trawling, diving, video) are similar to those used for		
benthic and biodiversity assessments. The use of submersibles or Remotely Operated Vehicles		
(ROVs) is a possible approach for deep sea areas although this requires expensive equipment.		
Monitoring programmes for demersal fish stocks, undertaken as part of the Mediterranean		
using a harmonized protocol, which may provide a consistent support for monitoring litter at		
$\mathbf{R}$ a normalized protocol, which may provide a consistent support for monitoring fitter at $\mathbf{R}$		
regional searce on a regular bas	is and wrann the Derti requirements.	
Shallow sea-floor (<20m):		
The most commonly used method to estimate marine litter density in shallow coastal areas is to		

conduct underwater visual surveys with SCUBA/snorkelling. These surveys are best based on line transect surveys of litter on the sea-floor, which is derived from UN Environment (Cheshire, 2009). The protocol is actually in use for evaluation of benthic fauna. It requires SCUBA equipment and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> https://wedocs.unep.org/rest/bitstreams/8222/retrieve (ENG)/ https://wedocs.unep.org/rest/bitstreams/8223/retrieve (FR)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> <u>https://wedocs.unep.org/rest/bitstreams/8385/retrieve</u>

http://www.unep.org/stories/Ecosystems/Marine-Litter-Legislation-A-toolkit-for-Policymakers.asp
 http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32008L0056&from=EN
 http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32010D0477(01)&from=EN

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine	
	environment	
Indicator Title	Common Indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water	
	column including microplastics and on the seafloor	

trained observers. Only litter items above 2.5 cm are considered, between 0 and 20 m (to 40 meters with skilled divers).

Individual litter within 4 m of the line (half of the width –Wt - of the line transects) are recorded. For each observed litter item, when possible, the corresponding line segment of occurrence and its perpendicular distance from the line (yi - for the estimation of detection probability, measured with the use of a 2 m plastic rod), and litter size category (wi) are recorded. The nature of the bottom/habitat is also recorded. The length of the line transects vary between 20 and 200 m, depending on the depth, the depth gradient, the turbidity, the habitat complexity and the litter density (Katsavenakis, 2009). Results on litter density are often expressed in items/m<sup>2</sup>, items/100 m<sup>2</sup>, and/or items/100m transect.

In surveys using the distance-sampling method, detectability is used to correct marine litter abundance estimations (Katsavenakis, 2009). The standard software for modelling detectability and estimating density/abundance, based on surveys using distance-sampling method, is DISTANCE (Thomas et al., 2006).

#### Monitoring the Sea-floor (20-800m):

From all the methods assessed, trawling (otter trawl) has been shown to be the most suitable for large scale evaluation and monitoring (Goldberg, 1995, Galgani et al., 1995, 1996, 2000). Nevertheless there are some restrictions in rocky areas and in soft sediments, as the method may be restricted and/or underestimate the quantities present. This approach is however reliable, reproducible, allowing statistical processing and comparison of sites. As recommended by UN Environment (Cheshire, 2009), sites should be selected to ensure that they:

- i. Comprise areas with uniform substrate (ideally sand/silt bottom);
- ii. Consider areas generating/accumulating litter;
- iii. Avoid areas of risk (presence of munitions), sensitive or protected areas;
- iv. Do not impact on any endangered or protected species.

Units should be stratified relative to sources (urban, rural, close to riverine inputs) and impacted offshore areas (major currents, shipping lanes, fisheries areas, etc.). General strategies to investigate seabed litter are similar to methodology for benthic ecology and place more emphasis on the abundance and nature of items (e.g. bags, bottles, pieces of plastics) rather than their mass. The occurrence of international bottom trawls surveys such as MEDITS (Mediterranean) provide useful and valuable means for monitoring marine litter. These are using common gears depending on region (MEDITS net in the Mediterranean with their stratification scheme) and provide standardized and harmonized survey conditions (20 mm mesh, 30-60 min tows, large survey surface covered) and hydrographical and environmental information (priority: surface & bottom temperature, surface & bottom salinity, Optional: surface & bottom current direction & speed, wind direction & speed, swell direction and height).

### Indicator units

- Litter on the seafloor shallow coastal waters(0-20m): visually surveyed litter items size above 2.5cm expressed on items/m<sup>2</sup>
- Litter on the seafloor 20-800m: (items/ha or) items/km<sup>2</sup> of litter collected in bottom trawl surveys and if possible to be coupled with dry weight information (kg/km<sup>2</sup>)

# List of Guidance documents and protocols available

- UN Environment / Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission, Guidelines on Survey and Monitoring of Marine Litter" (2009).

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal
	litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine
	environment
Indicator Title	Common Indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water
	column including microplastics and on the seafloor
- UN Environment / Mec	literranean Action Plan, Integrated Monitoring and Assessment
Programme Guidance d	locument (2016) (UNEP(DEPI)/MED_IG/22/Inf7).
- EU MSFD TGML, Gui	dance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas (2013).
- International bottom tra	awl survey in the Mediterranean, Instructional Manual, MEDITS
Working Group (2016).	
- IPA-Adriatic DeFishGear project, 2014. Methodology for Monitoring Marine Litter on the	
Sea Surface-Visual observation ( $> 2.5$ cm).	
- IPA-Adriatic DeFishGear project, 2014. Methodology for Monitoring Marine Litter on the	
Seafloor (continental shelf) – bottom trawl surveys.	
- IPA-Adriatic DeFishGe	ear project, 2014. Methodology for Monitoring Marine Litter on the
Seafloor (Shallow coas	tal waters $0 - 20$ m) - Visual surveys with SCUBA/snorkelling.
Data Confidence and uncertain	inties
Several Contracting Parties	from UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan and its
Mediterranean Pollution Assessment and Control Programme (MED POL) have indicated they will	

Mediterranean Pollution Assessment and Control Programme (MED POL) have indicated they will use their fish stock surveys for seafloor litter monitoring. This is considered to be an adequate approach although quantities of litter might be underestimated, given restrictions in some areas. The adoption of a common protocol will lead to a significant level of standardization among the Contracting Parties countries that apply this type of survey strategy.

Data on litter in shallow sea-floor are collected through protocols already validated for benthic species. Until now, no quality assurance programme has been considered for litter monitoring on the sea-floor. This process may also support quality insurance for data on litter. Currently, there are on-going discussions on how to organize and harmonize a specific system to collect, validate and organize data through a common platform, enabling the review and validation of data. MEDITS has included litter data to be analysed within a specific sub-group.

#### Methodology for monitoring, temporal and spatial scope

# Available Methodologies for Monitoring and Monitoring Protocols

#### Monitoring the shallow sea-floor (<20m):

Recreational and professional scuba divers can provide valuable information on litter they see underwater and they are uniquely positioned to support seafloor litter monitoring efforts. They can access, have the skills and the equipment needed to collect, record, and share information about litter they encounter underwater. Many dive clubs organize underwater clean-ups, often in partnerships with NGOs or local governments. Many of these events, when managed, can be a valuable source of information and possibly be a part of a regular survey, monitoring or even assessment efforts while using volunteers.

For some Contracting Parties use of volunteer divers might be a good opportunity for shallowwater litter monitoring but standardization and conformity with common methodologies and tools such as those proposed by the EU MSFD Technical Group on Marine Litter (TGML) should be achieved. Fixed sites, common frequency and survey methodology can be easily established by each Contracting Party and training, material distribution etc. can be achieved relatively easily when partner NGOs or research institutions are involved.

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment
Indicator Title	Common Indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water
	column including microplastics and on the seafloor

Monitoring the Sea-floor (20-800m):

Templates for data recording have been integrated in the 2016 MEDITS Instruction Manual  $(v.8)^{18}$ . Data on litter should be collected on these templates using items categories such as those listed for Sea-floor prepared by TGML. Other elements from the haul operations should be also recorded (see the 2016 MEDITS Instruction Manual v.8) for the Mediterranean. Data on litter should be reported as items/ha or items/km<sup>2</sup> before further processing and reporting.

A standardized litter classification system has been defined for monitoring the sea floor by the EU MSFD TGML. The categories were defined in accordance with types of litter found at regional level, enabling common main categories for all regions. The main categories have a hierarchical system including sub categories. It considers 4 main categories of material for the Mediterranean (wood, paper/cardboard, other, unspecific). There are various subcategories for a more detailed description of litter items. Other specific categories may be added by Contracting Parties and additional description of the item may provide added-value, as long as the main categories and subcategories are maintained. Furthermore, the weight, picture and note of potential attached organisms may further complement the classification of items.

Site information and trawling survey characteristics such as date, position, type of trawl, speed, distance, sampled area, depth, hydrographical and meteorological conditions should be recorded. Data-sheets should be filled out for each trawl and compiled by survey. If multiple counts (transects/observers) are run at any given site then a new sheet should be used for each trawl shot. After each survey data must be aggregated for analysis and reporting.

Towed video camera for shallow waters (Lundqvist, 2013) or ROVs for deeper areas are simpler and generally cheaper and must be recommended for litter surveys. There are some available protocols where litter is counted on routes and expressed as item/km, especially when using submersibles/ROVs at variable depths above the deep sea floor (Galgani et al., 1996) however technology enables the evaluation of densities trough video-imagery using a standardized approach especially for shallow waters.

# Available data sources

- DeFishGear Project: <u>http://www.defishgear.net/</u>
- Hellenic Centre for Marine Research (HCMR): <u>www.hcmr.gr</u>
- Institut français de recherche pour l'exploitation de la mer (IFREMER): <u>http://wwz.ifremer.fr/</u>
- International Bottom Trawl Surveys in the Mediterranean (MEDITS): http://www.sibm.it/SITO%20MEDITS/principaleprogramme.htm
- Laboratory of Marine Geology and Physical Oceanography, Department of Geology, University of Patras: <u>http://www.oceanus.upatras.gr/?q=node/15</u>

# Spatial scope guidance and selection of monitoring stations

Monitoring the shallow sea-floor (<20m):

Surveys are conducted through 2 line transects for each site. Unbiased design-based inference requires allocating the transects randomly in the study area or on a grid of systematically spaced lines randomly superimposed. However, with a model-based approach like density surface modelling (DSM), it is not required that the line transects are located according to a formal and restrictive survey scheme, although good spatial coverage of the study area is desirable. Line

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> http://www.sibm.it/MEDITS%202011/docs/Medits\_Handbook\_2016\_version\_8\_042016.pdf

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment
Indicator Title	<i>Common Indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water</i> <i>column including microplastics and on the seafloor</i>

transect are defined with a nylon line, marked every 5 meters with resistant paints, that is deployed using a diving reel while SCUBA diving.

#### Monitoring the Sea-floor (20-800m):

UN Environment (Cheshire, 2009) recommends that at least 20 survey units will be selected at regional level although a higher level of redundancy (i.e. replication) in survey units within each region is highly recommended.

Moreover, the protocol of the EU MSFD TGML for surveying and trawling margins (20-800m) has been standardized for each region. For the Mediterranean Region, the protocol is derived from the MEDITS protocol (see the 2016 MEDITS Instruction Manual v.8<sup>19</sup>). The hauls are positioned following a depth stratified surveying scheme with random drawing of the positions within each stratum. The number of positions in each stratum is proportional to the surface of these strata and the hauls are made in the same position from year to year. The following depths (10 - 50; 50 - 100; 100 - 200; 200 - 500; 500 - 800 m) are fixed in all areas as strata limits. The total number of hauls for the Mediterranean Sea is 1260; covering the shelves and slopes from 10 countries in the Mediterranean.

### **Temporal Scope guidance**

#### Monitoring the shallow sea-floor (<20m):

The minimum surveying frequency for any site should be annually. Ideally it is recommended that locations are surveyed every three months (allowing an interpretation in terms of seasonal changes).

# Monitoring the Sea-floor (20-800m):

The haul duration is fixed at 30 minutes on depths less than 200m and at 60 minutes at depths over 200m (defined as the moment when the vertical net opening and door spread are stable), using the same GOC 73 trawl with 20 mm mesh nets (Bertran et al, 2007) and surveying between May and July, at 3 knots between 20 and 800 m depth.

#### Data analysis and assessment outputs

#### Statistical analysis and basis for aggregation

Basic statistics may be applied during the analysis and aggregation of the results. The coefficient of variation (i.e. Standard deviation) should be included in the processed data for seafloor marine litter, to couple the abundance/density figures (e.g. items/km<sup>2</sup>).

#### Expected assessments outputs

- Assess marine litter found on the seafloor of the Mediterranean sea at basin, sub-basin and or national scale;
- Assess abundance, density (items/ha or items/km<sup>2</sup>), spatial and temporal distribution and types;
- Identify sources to target prevention and reduction measures;
- Map existing information in order to assess marine litter accumulation areas on the seafloor of the Mediterranean Sea

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> http://www.sibm.it/MEDITS%202011/docs/Medits\_Handbook\_2016\_version\_8\_042016.pdf

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment
Indicator Title	<i>Common Indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water</i>

#### Known gaps and uncertainties in the Mediterranean

More than 50 studies were conducted worldwide between 2000 and 2015, but until recently very few covered extensive geographical areas or considerable depths. While there is sufficient knowledge on seafloor marine litter for the Northern part of the Mediterranean sea, however more information shall be acquired for the Southern part of the Mediterranean. Moreover, accumulation areas shall be assessed with priority on the convergence zones and deep-sea canyons.

Contacts and version Date: UNEP/MAP 16 January 2017

Key contacts within UN Environment for further information

- Mr Christos Ioakeimidis, Marine Litter MED Project Expert, Mediterranean Pollution Assessment and Control Programme (MED POL) (<u>Christos.Ioakeimidis@unep.org</u>)
- Ms Virginie Hart, Programme Officer, UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan, Mediterranean Pollution Assessment and Control Programme (MED POL) (<u>Virginie.Hart@unep.org</u>)
- Ms Tatjana Hema, Deputy Coordinator, UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan (<u>Tatjana.Hema@unep.org</u>)

Version No	Date	Author
V.2	28.04.17	MEDPOL

# Common indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water column including microplastics and on the seafloor

[B] Floating Marine Litter

	Related Ecological Objective: (E) do not adversely affect the coasta	O 10) Marine and coastal litter I and marine environment
Indicator Title	Common indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water	
Relevant GES definition	Related Operational Objective         Target(s)	
Number/amount of marine litter items in the water surface and the seafloor do not have negative impact on human health, marine life, ecosystem services and do not create risk to navigation	The impacts related to properties and quantities of marine litter in the marine and coastal environment are minimized (10.1)	Decreasing trend in the number/amount of marine litter in the water surface and the seafloor.
Rationale	1	1

#### Justification for indicator selection

The Mediterranean Sea is often referred to as one of the places with the highest concentrations of litter in the world. For floating litter, very high levels of plastic pollution are found, but densities are generally comparable to those being reported from many coastal areas worldwide. Floating marine litter comprises the mobile fraction of debris in the marine environment, as it is less dense than seawater. Marine litter items may range from very large items (metres) down to smaller pieces and fragments i.e. macro-litter ( $\geq$ 25 mm), meso-litter (5-25 mm), micro-litter ( $\leq$ 5 mm), and nano-litter (< 1000 µm) (GESAMP 2016). However, the buoyancy and density of plastics may change during their stay in the sea due to weathering and biofouling (Barnes et al., 2009). Polymers comprise the majority of floating marine debris, with figures reaching up to 100%. Although synthetic polymers are resistant to biological or chemical degradation processes, they can be physically degraded into smaller fragments and hence turn into micro litter, measuring less than 5 mm.

Floating marine litter items of different size (nano-, micro- to macro-litter) may be found floating at sea. The transportation of floating litter particles (especially microplastics) can be considered passive, mainly subject to surface currents. Beyond vertical mixing, waves and wind also affect the horizontal transport of microplastics (GESAMP, 2016). A 30-year circulation model using various input scenarios showed the accumulation of floating debris in ocean gyres and closed seas, such as the Mediterranean Sea, made up 7-8% of the total debris expected to accumulate (Lebreton et al., 2012). Locations that are particularly susceptible to litter accumulation are as follows: i) coastal areas; ii) areas close to terrestrial sources (e.g. sewage wastewater, river); iii) depressions in the seabed; and iv) low-energy environments (low currents, weak circulation) (IMO, 2016).

Visual assessment approaches include the use of research vessels, marine mammal surveys, commercial shipping carriers, and dedicated litter observations. Aerial surveys are now being employed for larger items. Although the basic principle of floating debris monitoring through visual observation is very simple, there are few datasets available for the comparable assessment of debris abundance, and monitoring is only performed occasionally.

# **Scientific References**

• Aliani S., Griffa A., A.Molcard (2003) Floating debris in the Ligurian Sea, north-western Mediterranean, Marine Bulletin, 46, 1142-1149.

		Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter	
		do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment	
In	dicator Title	Common indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water	
		column including microplastics and on the seafloor	
•	Barnes D.K., Galgani F., Th	compson R.C., M.Barlaz (2009) Accumulation and fragmentation of	
	plastic debris in global envir	ronments. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 364,	
	1985–1998. doi:10.1098/rst	b.2008.0205.	
•	Gerigny O., Henry M., Tom	asino C., F.Galgani (2011). Déchets en mer et sur le fond. in rapport	
	de l'évalution initiale, Plan d	l'action pour le milieu marin - Mediterranée Occidentale, rapport PI	
	Déchets en mer V2 MO, pp	. 241-246.	
•	GESAMP (2016). "Sources	, fate and effects of microplastics in the marine environment: part	
	two of a global assessment"	(Kershaw, P.J., and Rochman, C.M., eds). (IMO/FAO/UNESCO-	
	IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/	UN/ UNEP/UNDP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects	
	of Marine Environmental Pr	otection). Rep. Stud. GESAMP No. 93, 220 p.	
•	IMO (2016). Marine Litter i	n Wastes Dumped at Sea. Review of the Current State of Knowledge	
	Regarding under the London	n Convention and Protocol. International Maritime Organization	
	(IMO).		
•	Lebreton L., Greer S., J.Bor	rero (2012) Numerical modelling of floating debris in the world's	
	oceans, Marine Pollution Bu	ılletin 64, 653-661.	
•	Suaria G., Avio C., Lattin G	a., regoli F., S. Aliani (2015) Neustonic microplastics in the Southern	
	Adriatic Sea. Preliminary re	sults. Micro 2015. Seminar of the Defishgear project, Abstract book,	
	Piran 4-6 may 2015, p 42.		
•	• Topcu T., G.Ozturk (2013) Origin and abundance of marine litter along sandy beaches of the		
	Turkish Western Black Sea	Coast. Mar. Env. Res., 85, 21-28.	
•	UNEP (2009), Marine Litter	r A Global Challenge, Nairobi: UNEP. 232 pp.	
•	Vlachogianni, Th., Zeri, Ch	., Ronchi, F., Fortibuoni, T., Anastasopoulou, A., 2017. Marine Litter	
	Assessment in the Adriatic a	and Ionian Seas. IPA-Adriatic DeFishGear Project, MIO-ECSDE,	
	HCMR and ISPRA. pp. 180	(ISBN: 978-960-6793-25-7)	
Pe	olicy Context and targets (o	ther than IMAP)	
Po	olicy context description		
Tl	he UN Environment / Mediter	rranean Action Plan Barcelona Convention Regional Plan on Marine	
Li	tter Management in the Medi	terranean Region is the first ever legally binding regional plan	
ac	lopted by a Regional Sea Con	evention (Decision IG. 21/7) that addresses marine litter management	
in	regional level in a coherent r	nanner and sets out legally binding measures at regional and national	
le	vel, and implementation time	tables. The main objective of the Regional Plan on Marine Litter	
Μ	anagement in the Mediterran	ean is to prevent and reduce marine litter generation and its impact	
or	on marine and coastal environment in order to achieve good environmental status (GES) as per the		
re	relevant Mediterranean ecological objectives and ecosystem approach based Marine Litter related		

targets adopted by UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan in 2012 and 2013 during the 17th and 18th Meeting of the Contracting Parties of the Barcelona Convention consecutively. Moreover, through its Articles 11 "Assessment of marine litter in the Mediterranean" and 12 "Mediterranean Marine Litter Monitoring Programme", the Regional Plan on Marine Litter includes a series of specific provisions for the countries for monitoring and assessment of marine litter i.e. assess the state of marine litter, the impact to marine and coastal environment and human health, the socio-economic aspects of marine litter management, the development of marine litter data banks, the development of national monitoring programmes on marine litter etc.

The EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) (2008/56/EC) requires European Member States to develop strategies that should lead to programmes of measures to achieve or maintain Good Environmental Status (GES) in European Seas. MSFD sets the framework for Member States to achieve by 2020 GES for their marine waters, considering 11 descriptors; descriptor 10, focuses on marine litter, stating that GES is achieved only when "properties and quantities of marine litter do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment".

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment
Indicator Title	Common indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water
	column including microplastics and on the seafloor

#### **Indicator/Targets**

UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan Decision IG.21/3 of the 18<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting Parties of the Barcelona Convention on the Ecosystem Approach including adopting definition of GES and targets proposes as target for Indicator 10.1.2: Decreasing trend in the number of/amounts of marine litter items in the water surface and the seafloor.

Moreover, in the framework of the UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan Barcelona Convention Regional Plan on Marine Litter Management in the Mediterranean, adopted by the 18<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting Parties (Decision IG.21/7), a series of Marine Litter Baseline Values and Environmental Targets have been adopted by the 19<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting Parties (Decision IG.22/10):

Baseline Values for Floating Marine Litter:

- Minimum value: 0 items/km<sup>2</sup>
- Maximum value: 195 items/ km<sup>2</sup>
- Mean value: 3.9 items/ km<sup>2</sup>
- Proposed Baseline: 3-5 items/ km<sup>2</sup>

Environmental Targets for Floating Marine Litter:

- Types of Target: % of decrease
- Minimum: -
- Maximum: -
- Reduction Targets: Statistically Significant

Baseline Values for Floating Microplastics:

- Minimum value: items/km<sup>2</sup>
- Maximum value: 4,860,000 items/ km<sup>2</sup>
- Mean value: 340,000 items/ km<sup>2</sup>
- Proposed Baseline: 200,000 500,000 items/ km<sup>2</sup>

Environmental Targets for Floating Microplastics:

- Types of Target: % of decrease
- Minimum: -
- Maximum: -
- Reduction Targets: Statistically Significant

### **Policy documents**

- UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan, Regional Plan on Marine Litter Management in the Mediterranean, Decision IG.21/7 (2013)<sup>20</sup>.
- UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan, Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast and Related Assessment Criteria, Decision IG.22/7 (2016)<sup>21</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> https://wedocs.unep.org/rest/bitstreams/8222/retrieve (ENG) / https://wedocs.unep.org/rest/bitstreams/8223/retrieve (FR)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> <u>https://wedocs.unep.org/rest/bitstreams/8385/retrieve</u>

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment
Indicator Title	<i>Common indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water column including microplastics and on the seafloor</i>

- UN Environment, Marine Litter Legislation Toolkit for Policymakers (2016)<sup>22</sup>.
- European Commission, Marine Strategy Framework Directive, Directive 2008/56/EC (2008)<sup>23</sup>.
- European Commission, Decision on criteria and methodological standards on good environmental status of marine waters (2010)<sup>24</sup>.

#### Indicator analysis methods Indicator Definition

GES Definition: Number/amount of marine litter items in the water surface and the seafloor do not have negative impacts on human health, marine life, ecosystem services and do not create risk to navigation.

#### Methodology for indicator calculation

The reporting of monitoring results requires the grouping into categories of material, type and size of litter object. The approach for categories of floating litter is linked with the development of a "master list" with the categories (Artificial Polymer Materials, Rubber, Cloth/Textile, Paper/Cardboard, Processed/Worked Wood, Metal, Glass/Ceramics) for other environmental compartments such as the "master list" prepared by the EU MSFD TGML. This allows cross comparisons. For the practical use during the monitoring the list has to be arranged by object occurrence frequency so that the data acquisition can be done in the required short time. As floating litter items will be observed but not collected, the size is the only indicative parameter of the amount of plastic material that it contains. The size of an object is defined here as its largest dimension, width or length, as visible during the observation.

The lower size limit for the observations is determined by the observation conditions. A lower size limit that appears to be reasonable for observation from "ships-of-opportunity" and is in line with the size for beach litter surveys is probably the 2.5 cm. This denotes that observations not achieving this minimum size limit cannot be recommended. For reporting purposes size range classes must be introduced as visual observation will not permit the correct measuring of object sizes. Only the estimation of size classes is feasible. The size determination/reporting scheme should enclose the following classes: 2.5 - 5 cm, 5 - 10 cm, 10 - 20 cm, 20 - 30 cm, 30 - 50 cm. While also wider size range classes (e.g. 2.5-10cm, 10-30cm, 30-50 cm) could be utilized, it will be important that a common approach is used, as the data will be combined in common data bases. The upper size limit will have to be determined by statistical calculations regarding the density of the object occurrence in comparison to transect width, length and frequency. In coherence with the beach litter surveys an upper limit of 50 cm is here provisionally proposed. It has to be evaluated in experiments and from initial data sets if items larger than 50 cm should be reported, as their relevance in the statistical evaluation of data from short and narrow coastal transects might be questionable.

#### Indicator units

For floating marine litter the unit of reporting will be items of floating litter, 2.5 to 50 cm per km<sup>2</sup>. The data will be available for the different categories and size classes.

List of Guidance documents and protocols available

- UN Environment / Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission, Guidelines on Survey and Monitoring of Marine Litter (2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> <u>http://www.unep.org/stories/Ecosystems/Marine-Litter-Legislation-A-toolkit-for-Policymakers.asp</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> <u>http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32008L0056&from=EN</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32010D0477(01)&from=EN

3m

6m

10m

	Related Ecolog do not adverse	ical Objective: (EO 10) y affect the coastal and	) Marine and coastal litter I marine environment	
Indicator Title	Common indica	tor 23: Trends in the am	ount of litter in the water	
column including microplastics and on the seafloor				
- UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan, Integrated Monitoring and Assessment				
Programme Guida	ance document (2016)	(UNEP(DEPI)/MED_IG	6.22/Inf.7).	
- EU MSFD TGML, Guidance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas (2013).				
IPA-Adriatic DeFish	IPA-Adriatic DeFishGear project, 2014. Methodology for Monitoring Marine Litter on the Sea			
Surface-Visual observ	vation (> 2.5 cm).			
Data Confidence and un	certainties			
The observation of floatin	ng marine litter from sh	ips is subject to numerou	us variables in the	
observation conditions. The	hey can be divided into	operational parameters,	related to the ship	
properties and observation	n location. Protocols sh	ould be developed wher	e the processing of the	
collected information, star	rting from the documer	ntation on board, its com	pilation, elaboration and	
further use would be part	of the protocol in order	to derive comparable fi	nal results. The format	
should allow a compilatio	on across different obse	rving institutes and areas	s or regions. This would	
allow a plotting of floating	g litter distribution ove	r time and thus finally al	llow the coupling with	
oceanographic current mo	odels.			
The widespread acquisition	on of monitoring data w	vill need some kind of in	ter-comparison or	
calibration in order to ens	ure comparability of da	ita between different are	as and over time, for trend	
assessments. Approaches	for this should be deve	loped and implemented.	I his can be hands (eyes)-	
on training courses with c	comparisons of observa	tions. Such events shoul	d be organized at Regional	
avality by artificial target	entation at national sca	ab massage offerts	anorating observation	
Mathadalagy for manita	s may be devised unrou	gil research errorts.		
Methodology for monitoring, temporal and spatial scope				
Available Methodologies for Monitoring and Monitoring Protocols				
Available Methodologies	s for wronitoring and			
A harmonized approach fe	or the quantification of	floating marine litter by	shin-based observers has	
A harmonized approach for been developed by the EC	or the quantification of	floating marine litter by	ship-based observers has	
A harmonized approach for been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC	ship-based observers has GML). It has the scope to	
A harmonized approach fe been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro of floating marine litte from 2.5 to 50 cm:	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er:	ship-based observers has SML). It has the scope to	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach fe been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range f - Observation widt	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat	ship-based observers has ML). It has the scope to	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach for been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range for - Observation width - It is planned for u	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine litte from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of oppor	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity:	ship-based observers has SML). It has the scope to ion set-up;	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach fe been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range f - Observation width - It is planned for u - It is based on tran	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of oppor	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity;	ship-based observers has GML). It has the scope to ion set-up;	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach fe been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range f - Observation width - It is planned for u - It is based on tran - It should cover sh	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine litte from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of oppor issect surveys; nort transects: and	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity;	ship-based observers has GML). It has the scope to ion set-up;	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach fe been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range f - Observation widtl - It is planned for u - It is based on tran - It should cover sh - Also record neces	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of oppor issect surveys; nort transects; and asary metadata.	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity;	ship-based observers has SML). It has the scope to ion set-up;	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach fo been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range f - Observation widtl - It is planned for u - It is based on tran - It should cover sh - Also record neces	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of opport sect surveys; nort transects; and ssary metadata.	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity;	ship-based observers has SML). It has the scope to ion set-up;	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach fe been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range f - Observation width - It is planned for u - It is based on tran - It should cover sh - Also record neces The observation from ship	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of oppor sect surveys; nort transects; and asary metadata.	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TG er: ed according to observat tunity;	ship-based observers has ML). It has the scope to ion set-up; bassenger ships which	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach fe been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range f - Observation width - It is planned for u - It is based on tran - It should cover sh - Also record neces The observation from ship routinely transit strategic	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of oppor sect surveys; nort transects; and ssary metadata. ps-of-opportunity (i.e. y	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity; volunteer merchant and p l ensure the detection of	ship-based observers has GML). It has the scope to ion set-up; passenger ships which litter items at 2.5 cm size.	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach for been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range f - Observation widtl - It is planned for u - It is based on tran - It should cover sh - Also record necess The observation from ship routinely transit strategic for The observation transect of	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of oppor issect surveys; nort transects; and ssary metadata. ps-of-opportunity (i.e. v shipping routes) should width will therefore dep	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity; volunteer merchant and p l ensure the detection of pend on the elevation ab	passenger ships which litter items at 2.5 cm size. ove the sea, the ship speed	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach for been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range for - Observation width - It is planned for u - It is based on tran - It should cover sh - Also record necess The observation from ship routinely transit strategic for and the observation condi	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of opport sect surveys; nort transects; and ssary metadata. ps-of-opportunity (i.e., shipping routes) should width will therefore dep tions. Typically a trans	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity; volunteer merchant and j l ensure the detection of pend on the elevation ab- ect width of 10 m can be	passenger ships which litter items at 2.5 cm size. ove the sea, the ship speed e expected, but a	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach fe been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range f - Observation width - It is planned for u - It is based on tran - It should cover sh - Also record necess The observation from ship routinely transit strategics The observation transect v and the observation condi verification should be made	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of oppor sect surveys; nort transects; and ssary metadata. ps-of-opportunity (i.e. v shipping routes) should width will therefore dep tions. Typically a trans de and the width of the	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity; volunteer merchant and p l ensure the detection of pend on the elevation ab- ect width of 10 m can be observation corridor cho	ship-based observers has ML). It has the scope to ion set-up; passenger ships which litter items at 2.5 cm size. ove the sea, the ship speed e expected, but a osen in a way that all items	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach fe been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range f - Observation widtl - It is planned for u - It is based on tran - It should cover sh - Also record neces The observation from ship routinely transit strategic for and the observation condi verification should be made in that transect and within	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of oppor isect surveys; nort transects; and ssary metadata. ps-of-opportunity (i.e. y shipping routes) should width will therefore dep tions. Typically a trans de and the width of the n the target size range, o	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity; volunteer merchant and p l ensure the detection of pend on the elevation ab- ect width of 10 m can be observation corridor che can be seen. Table below	passenger ships which litter items at 2.5 cm size. ove the sea, the ship speed e expected, but a osen in a way that all items provides a preliminary	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach for been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range f - Observation widtl - It is planned for u - It is based on tran - It should cover sh - Also record necess The observation from ship routinely transit strategics The observation transect v and the observation condi verification should be mad- in that transect and within indication of the observation	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of opport needs to be determine use from ships of opport needs to be determine sect surveys; nort transects; and ssary metadata. ps-of-opportunity (i.e. v shipping routes) should width will therefore dep tions. Typically a trans de and the width of the n the target size range, c ion corridor width, with	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity; volunteer merchant and p l ensure the detection of pend on the elevation ab- ect width of 10 m can be observation corridor che can be seen. Table below n varying observation elevation eleva	passenger ships which litter items at 2.5 cm size. ove the sea, the ship speed e expected, but a osen in a way that all items provides a preliminary evation and speed of vessel	
Available Methodologies A harmonized approach for been developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring - In the size range for - Observation width - It is planned for ur - It is based on trann - It should cover sh - Also record necess The observation from ship routinely transit strategics The observation transect wand the observation condinate verification should be made in that transect and within indication of the observation (kn = knot = nautical mile	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of opport sect surveys; nort transects; and ssary metadata. ps-of-opportunity (i.e., shipping routes) should width will therefore dep tions. Typically a transide and the width of the the target size range, co ion corridor width, with e/h). The parameters ne	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TO er: ed according to observat tunity; volunteer merchant and p l ensure the detection of pend on the elevation ab- ect width of 10 m can be observation corridor che can be seen. Table below n varying observation ele- ed to be verified prior to	passenger ships which litter items at 2.5 cm size. ove the sea, the ship speed e expected, but a osen in a way that all items provides a preliminary evation and speed of vessel data acquisition.	
Available MethodologiesA harmonized approach febeen developed by the ECharmonize the monitoring- In the size range f- Observation width- It is planned for u- It is planned for u- It is based on tran- It should cover sh- Also record necesThe observation from shiproutinely transit strategicThe observation transect vand the observation condiverification should be madein that transect and withinindication of the observation(kn = knot = nautical mileObservation	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of opport sect surveys; nort transects; and ssary metadata. ps-of-opportunity (i.e. v shipping routes) should width will therefore dep tions. Typically a trans de and the width of the the target size range, c ion corridor width, with e/h). The parameters ne Ship speed 2 knots =	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity; volunteer merchant and p l ensure the detection of bend on the elevation ab- ect width of 10 m can be observation corridor che can be seen. Table below n varying observation ele ed to be verified prior to 6 knots =11.1 km/h	a ship-based observers has GML). It has the scope to ion set-up; bassenger ships which litter items at 2.5 cm size. ove the sea, the ship speed e expected, but a osen in a way that all items provides a preliminary evation and speed of vessel data acquisition. 10 knots = 18.5 km/h	
Available Methodologies         A harmonized approach febeen developed by the EC harmonize the monitoring         -       In the size range f         -       Observation width         -       It is planned for u         -       It is based on tran         -       It should cover sh         -       Also record neces         The observation from ship         routinely transit strategic         The observation condition         verification should be made         in that transect and within         indication of the observation         (kn = knot = nautical mile         Observation       State	or the quantification of C MSFD Technical Gro g of floating marine little from 2.5 to 50 cm; h needs to be determine use from ships of opport sect surveys; nort transects; and ssary metadata. ps-of-opportunity (i.e. v shipping routes) should width will therefore dep tions. Typically a transide and the width of the the target size range, of ion corridor width, with e/h). The parameters ne Ship speed 2 knots = 3.7 km/h	floating marine litter by up on Marine Litter (TC er: ed according to observat tunity; volunteer merchant and p l ensure the detection of pend on the elevation ab- ect width of 10 m can be observation corridor che can be seen. Table below n varying observation ele ed to be verified prior to 6 knots =11.1 km/h	passenger ships which litter items at 2.5 cm size. ove the sea, the ship speed e expected, but a osen in a way that all items provides a preliminary evation and speed of vessel data acquisition. 10 knots = 18.5 km/h	

6m

8m

10m

8m 10m

15m

4m

6m

5m

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment
Indicator Title	Common indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water

*column including microplastics and on the seafloor* The ideal location for observation will often be in the bow area of the ships. If that area is not accessible, the observation point should be selected so that the target size range can be observed, eventually reducing the observation corridor, as ship induced waves might interfere with the observations. An inclinometer can be used to measure distances at sea (Doyle, 2007).

The protocol will have to go through an experimental implementation phase during which it is applied in different sea regions by different institutions, its practicality is tested and feedback for definition of observation parameters is provided.

The observation, quantification and identification of floating litter items must be made by a dedicated observer who does not have other duties contemporaneously. Observation for small items and surveying intensively the sea surface leads to fatigue and consequently to observation errors. The transect lengths should therefore be selected in a way that observation times are not too long. Times of 1 h for one observer could be reasonable, corresponding to a length of a few kilometres.

#### Available data sources

- IPA Adriatic DeFishGear Project: <u>http://www.defishgear.net/</u>
- Hellenic Marine Environment Protection Association (HELMEPA): http://www.helmepa.gr/en/home.php\

#### Spatial scope guidance and selection of monitoring stations

The monitoring of floating marine litter by observers is a methodology indicated for short transects in selected areas. In a region with little or no information about floating marine litter abundance it might be advisable to start by surveys in different areas in order to understand the variability of litter distribution. The selected areas should include expected low density areas (e.g. open sea) as well as expected high density areas (e.g. close to ports). This will help to obtain maximum/minimum conditions and train the observers. Other selected areas (e.g. in estuaries), in the vicinity of cities, in local areas of touristic or commercial traffic, incoming currents from neighbouring areas or outgoing currents should be considered. Based on the experience obtained in this initial phase, a routing programme including areas of interest should then be established.

#### **Temporal Scope guidance**

The observation of floating marine litter is much depending on the observation conditions, in particular on the sea state and wind speed. The organization of monitoring must be flexible enough to take this into account and to re-schedule observations in order to meet appropriate conditions. Ideally the observation should be performed after a minimum duration of calm sea, so that there is no bias by litter objects which have been mixed into the water column by recent storms or heavy sea.

The initial, investigative monitoring should be performed with a higher frequency in order to understand the variability of litter quantities in time. Even burst surveying, i.e. high surveying frequency over short period, might be appropriate in order to understand the variability of floating marine litter occurrence.

For trend monitoring the timing will depend on the assumed sources of the litter, this can be e.g. monitoring an estuary after a rain period in the river basin, monitoring a touristic area after a holiday period. The timing of the surveys will also depend on the schedule of the observation platforms. Regular patrols of coast guard ships, ferry tracks or touristic trips may offer frequent opportunities which thus also allow the use during the needed calm weather conditions.

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment
Indicator Title	Common indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water column including microplastics and on the seafloor

#### Data analysis and assessment outputs Statistical analysis and basis for aggregation

Statistical analysis and basis for aggregation

No specific statistical tool is required for the analysis of the observed floating marine litter items. However, it is not uncommon that floating marine litter items appear grouped, either because they have been released together or because they accumulate on oceanographic fronts. The reporting system should acknowledge this and foresee a way to report such groups. The occurrence of such accumulation areas needs to be considered when evaluating the data. Along with the litter occurrence data, a series of metadata should be recorded, including geo-referencing (coordinates) and wind speed (m/s). This accompanying data shall allow the evaluation of the data in the correct context.

### Expected assessments outputs

- Assess accumulation zones for floating marine litter items;
- Assess abundance, density and types of floating marine litter items in a more precise way;
- Comparison with marine litter found in other sea compartments.

#### Known gaps and uncertainties in the Mediterranean

Only a few studies have been published on the abundance of floating macro debris in Mediterranean waters (Aliani et al., 2003; UNEP, 2009; Topcu et al., 2010, Gerigny et al., 2011, Suaria and Aliani, 2015, Vlachogianni et a; 2017), and the reported quantities measuring over 2 cm range widely from 0 to over 600 items per square kilometer. So the abundance of floating marine litter in the Mediterranean Sea cannot be estimated with accuracy. Moreover we still have no information on the accumulation zones for floating marine litter items.

#### Contacts and version Date: UNEP/MAP 16 January 2017

Key contacts within UN Environment for further information

- Mr Christos Ioakeimidis, Marine Litter MED Project Expert, Mediterranean Pollution Assessment and Control Programme (MED POL) (<u>Christos.Ioakeimidis@unep.org</u>)
- Ms Virginie Hart, Programme Officer, UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan, Mediterranean Pollution Assessment and Control Programme (MED POL) (Virginie.Hart@unep.org)
- Ms Tatjana Hema, Deputy Coordinator, UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan (<u>Tatjana.Hema@unep.org</u>)

Version No	Date	Author
V.2	28.04.17	MEDPOL

	Related Ecological Objective: (Ed do not adversely affect the coasta	O 10) Marine and coastal litter l and marine environment
Indicator Title	Candidate Common indicator 24: Trends in the amount of litter ingested by or entangling marine organisms, especially mammals, marine birds and turtles	
<b>Relevant GES definition</b>	<b>Related Operational Objective</b>	Target(s)
	Impacts of litter on marine life are controlled to the maximum extent practicable (10.2)	Decreasing trend in the cases of entanglement or/and a decreasing trend in the stomach content of the sentinel species.

Candidate Common indicator 24: Trends in the amount of litter ingested by or entangling marine organisms, especially mammals, marine birds and turtles

#### Rationale

#### Justification for indicator selection

As marine litter affects different ecological compartments, the study of its impact on marine biota of all trophic levels on the same temporal and spatial scale is of increasing importance. More than 800 marine and coastal species are affected by marine debris through ingestion, entanglement, ghost-fishing and dispersal by rafting as well as habitat effects. More than 500 marine and coastal species are affected by ingestion of, or entanglement in, marine debris, which includes the effects of ghost fishing. The number of seabird and marine mammal species affected by marine debris ingestion or entanglement is steadily rising. Moreover, microplastics are present in all marine habitats and from the ocean surface to the seabed, and are available to every level of the food web, from primary producers to higher trophic levels (GESAMP, 2015). Microplastics are also providing a new habitat in the oceans for microbial communities, although the effects on ocean ecosystems and processes are not yet understood (CBD, 2016).

With regard to biodiversity, it is essential to focus research on sensitive species such as turtles, marine mammals, seabirds, and filter feeders, invertebrates or fish that may be ingest micro plastics. Protocols also have to be developed in order to assess early warning effects on key species and key habitats (CIESM Workshop Monographs, 2014). The effect of marine litter on marine populations is difficult to quantify, as an unknown number of marine animals die at sea and may quickly sink or be consumed by predators, eliminating them from potential detection. New methods for the unbiased estimation of mortality rates and the effects on the population dynamics of many affected species are urgently needed.

In the North Sea, an indicator is available, which expresses the impact of marine litter (OSPAR EcoQO). It measures ingested litter in Northern Fulmar and it is used to assess temporal trends, regional differences and compliance with a set target for acceptable ecological quality in the North Sea area (Van Franeker et al., 2011). A combined protocol is also proposed by the EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) Technical Group on Marine Litter (TGML) which can be used for seabirds in general. However alternative tools are needed for the Mediterranean Sea. Moreover, in the Adriatic Sea, fish have been found ingesting marine litter particles at a rate of 2.6% in the North Adriatic, 25.9% South Adriatic, and 2.7% in the northeastern Ionian Sea (Vlachogianni et al., 2017)

On the basis of available information and expertise, a monitoring protocol for marine litter in sea turtles with focus on relevant parameters for application in the Mediterranean Sea is proposed by the EU MSFD TGML. The loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) is the most abundant chelonian in the Mediterranean (Camedda et al., 2014; Casale and Margaritoulis, 2010) and may ingest plastic bags mistaken for jellyfishes (Mrosovsky et al., 2009) when they feed in neritic and offshore habitats. This is a very sensitive species to marine litter and one of the most studied. Despite the fact that the loggerhead is able to ingest any kind of waste, plastic items seem to be more significant than other kinds of marine litter. Different studies in the Mediterranean Sea (Lazar and Gracan, 2011; Campani et al., 2013, Camedda et al., 2014), as well as for other seas and oceans,

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter		
	do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment		
Indicator 1itle	Candidate Common indicator 24: Trends in the amount of litter ingested by or entangling marine organisms, especially mammals, marine birds and turtles		
lemonstrated that plastic is the most frequently ingested anthropogenic debris. There is no			
difference in litter found in stranded sea turtles when compared with those excreted by hospitalized ones (Cameda et al., 2014), with analyses showing homogeneity in relation of the total abundance, weight, and composition among alive and dead individuals.			
Entanglement in beached anima nests), ingestion of litter by ma research on food chain transfer only ingestion of and entangler MSFD TGML for further devel not suitable to be recommended	als, entanglement in live animals (others than in relation to seabird rine mammals, ingestion of litter by marine invertebrates and are reflected in the final report of the EU MSFD TGML. However nent in marine litter by marine mammals are considered by the EU topment whereas the other aspects are crucial issues for research but d for wide monitoring application at this stage.		
Scientific References			
<ul> <li>Camedda A., Marra S., Ma G.De Lucia (2014). Interau litter in Sardinia (Western</li> <li>Campani T., Baini M., Gia M.C. Fossi (2013) Presence coasts of the Pelagos Sance 74, 225-230.</li> </ul>	atiddi M., Massaro G., Coppa S., Perilli A., Ruiu A., Briguglio P., ction between loggerhead sea turtles (Caretta caretta) and marine Mediterranean Sea). Marine Environmental Research, 100, 25-32. unnetti M., Cancelli F., Mancusi C., Serena F., Marsili L., Casini S., ce of plastic debris in loggerhead turtle stranded along the Tuscany tuary for Mediterranean Marine Mammals (Italy). Mar. Pollut. Bull.		
- Casale P., D.Margaritoulis Conservation Priorities. II	(2010) Sea Turtles in the Mediterranean: Distribution, Threats and JCN: Gland, Switzerland, 304 pages.		
<ul> <li>CBD (2016). Marine Debris: Understanding, Preventing and Mitigating the Significant Adverse Impacts on Marine and Coastal Biodiversity. Technical Series No.83. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity. Montreal, 78 pages.</li> </ul>			
- CIESM Worshop Monographs (2014). Marine Litter in the Mediterranean an Black Seas. CIESM ed., Tirana, Albania, 18 - 21 June 2014, (http://www.ciesm.org/online/monographs/).			
- GESAMP (2015). "Source global assessment" (Kersh	aw, P. J., ed.). (IMO/FAO/UNESCO-		
IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA	/UN/UNEP/UNDP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects Protection) Rep. Stud. GESAMP No. 90, 96 p.		
- Lazar B., R.Gracan (2011)	Digestion of marine debris by loggerhead sea turtle, Caretta caretta		
- Mrosovsky N., Ryan G.D.	ollut. Bull. 62, 43-47. , A.James (2009) Leatherback turtles: the menace of plastic. Mar.		
Pollut. Bull. 58, 287-289.			
<ul> <li>Van Francker J.A., Blatze Heubeck M., Jensen JK., Turner D.M. (2011). Mon the North Sea. Environ. Po</li> <li>Vlachogianni, Th., Anasta</li> </ul>	C., Danielsen J., Fairclough K., Gollan J., Guse N., Hansen P.L., Le Guillou G., Olsen B., Olsen K.O., Pedersen J., Stienen E.W.M., atoring plastic ingestion by the northern fulmar Fulmarus glacialis in ollut., 159 (2011), pp. 2609–2615. sopoulou, A., Fortibuoni, T., Ronchi, F., Zeri, Ch., 2017. Marine		
Litter Assessment in the A ECSDE, HCMR and ISPR	driatic and Ionian Seas. IPA-Adriatic DeFishGear Project, MIO- A. pp. 168 (ISBN: 978-960-6793-25-7).		
Policy Context and targets (o	ther than IMAP)		

Policy context description

The UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan Barcelona Convention Regional Plan on Marine Litter Management in the Mediterranean Region is the first ever legally binding regional plan adopted by a Regional Sea Convention (Decision IG. 21/7) that addresses marine litter management

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter	
	do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment	
Indicator Title	Candidate Common indicator 24: Trends in the amount of litter	
	ingested by or entangling marine organisms, especially mammals,	
	marine birds and turtles	

in regional level in a coherent manner and sets out legally binding measures at regional and national level, and implementation timetables. The main objectives of the ML Management Regional Plan are to prevent and reduce marine litter generation and its impact on marine and coastal environment in order to achieve good environmental status (GES) as per the relevant Mediterranean ecological objectives and ecosystem approach based Marine Litter related targets adopted by UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan in 2012 and 2013 during the 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting Parties of the Barcelona Convention consecutively.

The EU MSFD (2008/56/EC) requires European Member States to develop strategies that should lead to programmes of measures to achieve or maintain Good Environmental Status (GES) in European Seas. MSFD sets the framework for Member States to achieve by 2020 GES for their marine waters, considering 11 descriptors. Descriptor 10 focuses on marine litter, stating that GES is achieved only when "Properties and quantities of marine litter do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment".

#### **Indicator/Targets**

UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan Decision IG.21/3 of the 18<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting Parties of the Barcelona Convention on the Ecosystem Approach including adopting definition of GES and targets proposes as target for Indicator 10.2: Decreasing trend in the cases of entanglement or/and a decreasing trend in the stomach content of the sentinel species.

Moreover, in the framework of the UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan Barcelona Convention Regional Plan on Marine Litter Management in the Mediterranean, adopted by the 18<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting Parties (Decision IG.21/7), a series of Marine Litter Baseline Values and Environmental Targets have been adopted by the 19<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Contracting Parties (Decision IG.22/10):

Baseline Values for Affected Sea Turtles (%):

- Minimum value: 14%
- Maximum value: 92.5%
- Mean value: 45.9%
- Proposed Baseline: 40-60%

Environmental Targets for Affected Sea Turtles (%):

- Types of Target: % of decrease in the rate of affected animals
- Minimum: -
- Maximum: -
- Reduction Targets: Statistically Significant

Baseline Values for Ingested Marine Litter (gr):

- Minimum value: 0 gr
- Maximum value: 14 gr
- Mean value: 1.37 gr
- Proposed Baseline: 1-3 gr

Environmental Targets for Ingested Marine Litter (gr):

- Types of Target: % decrease in quantity of ingested weight (gr)
- Minimum: -
- Maximum: -

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter	
	do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment	
Indicator Title	Candidate Common indicator 24: Trends in the amount of litter	
	ingested by or entangling marine organisms, especially mammals,	
Deduction Tongets, Sto	marine biras ana turties	
- Reduction Largets: Statistically Significant		
Policy documents		
• UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan, Regional Plan on Marine Litter Management in the Mediterranean Decision IG 21/7 (2012) <sup>25</sup>		
<ul> <li>IN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan Integrated Monitoring and Assessment</li> </ul>		
Programme of the Mediterranean Sea and Coast and Related Assessment Criteria Decision		
IG.22/7 (2016) <sup>26</sup> .		
<ul> <li>UN Environment, Marine Litter Legislation Toolkit for Policymakers (2016)<sup>27</sup>.</li> </ul>		
• European Commission, M	arine Strategy Framework Directive, Directive 2008/56/EC (2008) <sup>28</sup> .	
European Commission, De	ecision on criteria and methodological standards on good	
environmental status of ma	arine waters (2010) <sup>29</sup> .	
Indicator analysis methods		
Indicator Definition		
Methodology for indicator ca	alculation	
Saabirds		
The methodology of the tool n	roposed by the EU MSED TGML follows the OSPAR Ecological	
Quality Objective (EcoOO) me	ethods for monitoring litter particles in stomachs of northern fulmars	
(Fulmarus glacialis). The ston	hach contents of birds beached or otherwise found dead are used to	
measure trends and regional di	fferences in marine litter. Background information and the technical	
requirements are described in o	detail in documents related to the fulmar EcoQO methodology. A	
pilot study evaluating methods	and potential sources of bias was conducted by Van Franeker &	
Meijboom (2002). Bird dissect	ion procedures including characters for age, sex, cause of death etc.	
have been specified in Van Franeker (2004). Further OSPAR EcoQO details were given in OSPAR		
(2008, 2010a, b) and in Van Fi	caneker et al., (2011a, 2011b).	
See Trutles		
<u>Sea Turties:</u> The digestive treat contents of	stronded Loggerhand see turtles Canatta canatta (Linneaus, 1758) are	
The digestive tract contents of	stranded Loggernead sea turties <i>Carella carella</i> (Linnaeus, 1758) are	
are stored into freezers and wh	the adequate number of speciments is collected then the analysis	
is performed. A recent pilot stu	idv evaluating methods and potential sources of bias was conducted	
during 2012 by ISPRA CNR-	IAMC Oristano. Stazione Zoologica Napoli: University of Siena	
University of Padova, ArpaTo	scana. Caretta caretta feeds in the water column and at the seafloor.	
Therefore these two marine compartments are addressed when quantifying litter in the stomachs of		
stranded Loggerhead sea turtle	ys.	
Entanglement rates among beached animals:		
Direct harm or death is more easily observed and thus more frequently reported for entanglement		
than for ingestion of litter. This applies to all sorts of organisms, marine mammals, birds, turtles,		
Tisnes, crustaceans etc. It is, nowever, difficult from simply looking at the outside appearance of an		
annual to identify whether a particular individual has died because of entanglement in litter rather then from other causes, mainly entanglement in active fichery coor (by estab). Nevertheless it is		
man from other causes, mainly	entangiement in active fishery gear (by-catch). Nevertheless it is	
<sup>25</sup> <u>https://wedocs.unep.org/rest/bitstreams/8222/retrieve</u> (ENG) / <u>https://wedocs.unep.org/rest/bitstreams/8223/retrieve</u> (FR)		
<sup>26</sup> https://wedocs.unep.org/rest/bitstreams/8385/retrieve		

<sup>27</sup> http://www.unep.org/stories/Ecosystems/Marine-Litter-Legislation-A-toolkit-for-Policymakers.asp

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> <u>http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32008L0056&from=EN</u>
 <sup>29</sup> <u>http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32010D0477(01)&from=EN</u>

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter
	do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment
Indicator Title	Candidate Common indicator 24: Trends in the amount of litter
	ingested by or entangling marine organisms, especially mammals,
	marine birds and turtles

possible to differentiate between animals that have died quickly due to entanglement and sudden death in active fishing gear and those suffering a long drawn out death after entanglement in pieces of nets, string or other litter items, because entangled birds, which have been entangled for a time before death are emaciated.

Proportions of sea birds found dead with actual remains of litter attached as evidence for the cause of mortality are extremely low. The possible use of entangled beached birds as an indication of mortality due to litter will be further investigated by the EU MSFD TGML.

In marine mammals, numbers of beached animals and especially cetaceans are often high and many have body marks suggesting entanglement, although remains of ropes or nets on the corpses are mostly rare. Given that in a number of places well working stranding networks are already in place, dead marine mammals should, whenever possible, become subject to pathologic investigations which need to include an assessment for the cause of disease and death and the relevance of marine litter in this connection.

This issue will be further investigated and the development of a dedicated monitoring protocol for the entanglement of marine mammals in marine litter will be considered in the next report of the EU MSFD TGML.

Ingestion of litter by marine mammals and entanglement:

Ingestion of litter by a wide range of whales and dolphins is known. Although known rates of incidences of ingested litter are generally low to justify a standard ECAP monitoring recommendation at this point, it can also be argued that the number of pathologically studied animals is low as well. Dead marine mammals should, whenever possible, become subject to pathologic investigations which need to include an assessment for the cause of disease and death and the relevance of ingested marine macro- and microlitter in this connection.

The development of a monitoring protocol for the ingestion of marine litter in the different size categories by marine mammals will therefore be considered in the next report of the TSG ML. Opportunistic monitoring of marine mammals is envisaged under the population demographic characteristics component of the EcAp biodiversity common indicators.\

#### **Indicator units**

• For sea turtles: Abundance by mass (weight in grams, accurate to 3th decimal) is the main information useful for the monitoring programme.

#### List of Guidance documents and protocols available

- UN Environment / Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission, Guidelines on Survey and Monitoring of Marine Litter (2009).
- UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan, Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme Guidance document (2016) (UNEP(DEPI)/mED\_IG.22/Inf.7).
- EU MSFD TGML, Guidance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas (2013).

# Data Confidence and uncertainties

Seabirds:

The methodology referred to in this tool is based on an agreed OSPAR methodology which has been developed over a number of years with ICES and OSPAR and which has received full quality assurance by publication in peer reviewed scientific literature (Van Franeker et al., 2011a). The

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter
	do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment
Indicator Title	Candidate Common indicator 24: Trends in the amount of litter
	ingested by or entangling marine organisms, especially mammals,
	marine birds and turtles

EcoQO methodology has been fully tested an implemented on Northern *Fulmars Fulmarus glacialis*, including those from Canadian Arctic and northern Pacific areas. All methodological details can be applied to other tubenosed seabirds (Procellariiformes) with no or very minor modifications. Trial studies are being conducted using shearwaters from the more southern parts of the north Atlantic and Mediterranean. In other seabird families, methods may have to be adapted as stomach morphology, foraging ecology, and regurgitation of indigestible stomach contents differ and can affect methodological approaches.

#### Sea turtles:

There is a lack of quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) due to lack of long-term monitoring programmes. More publications in peer reviewed scientific literature are required.

# Methodology for monitoring, temporal and spatial scope

Available Methodologies for Monitoring and Monitoring Protocols

Seabirds:

Bird corpses are stored frozen until analysis. Standardized dissection methods for Fulmar corpses have been published in a dedicated manual (Van Franeker, 2004) and are internationally calibrated during annual workshops. Stomach content analyses and methods for data processing and presentation of results were described in full detail in Van Franeker & Meijboom (2002) and updated in later reports (van Franeker et al., 2011a, b). At dissections, a full series of data is recorded to determine sex, age, breeding status, likely cause of death, origin, and other issues. Age, the only variable found to influence litter quantities in stomach contents, is largely determined on the basis of development of sexual organs (size and shape) and presence of Bursa of Fabricius (a gland-like organ positioned near the end of the gut which is involved in immunity systems of young birds; it is well developed in chicks, but disappears within the first year of life or shortly after). After dissection, stomachs of birds are opened for analysis. Stomachs of Fulmars have two 'units': initially food is stored and starts to digest in a large glandular stomach (the proventriculus) after which it passes into a small muscular stomach (the gizzard) where harder prev remains can be processed through mechanical grinding. For the purpose of most cost-effective monitoring, the contents of proventriculus and gizzard are combined, but optional separate recordings should be considered where possible.

Stomach, contents are carefully rinsed in a sieve with a 1mm mesh and then transferred to a petri dish for sorting under a binocular microscope. The 1 mm mesh is used because smaller meshes become easily clogged with mucus from the stomach wall and with food-remains. Analyses using smaller meshes were found to be extremely time consuming and particles smaller than 1 mm seemed rare in the stomachs, contributing little to plastic mass.

If oil or chemical types of pollutants are present, these may be sub-sampled and weighed before rinsing the remainder of stomach content. If sticky substances hamper further processing of the litter objects, hot water and detergents are used to rinse the material clean as needed for further sorting and counting under a binocular microscope.

In the Fulmar EcoCO, stomach contents are sorted into categories, and this categorisation is followed for marine biota monitoring ingestion in seabirds, marine turtles and fish. The fulmar categorisation of stomach contents is based on the general 'morphs' of plastics (sheet-like, filament, foamed, fragment, other) or other general rubbish or litter characteristics. This is because in most cases, particles cannot be unambiguously linked to particular objects. But where such is possible, under notes in datasheets, the items should be described and assigned a litter category
	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter	
	do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment	
Indicator Title	Candidate Common indicator 24: Trends in the amount of litter	
	ingested by or entangling marine organisms, especially mammals,	
	marine birds and turtles	

number using as master list, such as the "Master List" developed by the EU MSFD TGML group. For each litter category/subcategory an assessment is made of:

i. Incidence (percentage of investigated stomachs containing litter);

ii. Abundance by number (average number of items per individual), and

iii. abundance by mass (weight in grams, accurate to 4th decimal)

In the fulmar monitoring scheme, stomach contents are rinsed over a sieve with mesh 1 mm prior to further categorisation, counting and weighing. The size range of plastics monitored is thus  $\geq$  1 mm. Unpublished data on particle size details in stomachs of fulmars show that a smaller mesh size would not be of use because smaller items have passed into the gut. Sea Turtle:

The Loggerhead sea turtle *Caretta caretta* is a protected species (CITES), therefore only authorized people can handle them. Upon finding the animal, its discovery should be reported to the main authorities and the operation of coordinated with the local authorities (depending on national law). Based on initial observations and if possible still at the place of discovery, some data should be recorded on an "Identification Data" Sheet. The animal should be transported to an authorized service centre for necropsy. In case the body is too decomposed, the integrity of the digestive tract should be assessed before disposal at the licensed contractor. If the necropsy cannot be carried out immediately after recovery, the carcass should be frozen at -16 ° C, in the rehabilitation facility.

Before the necropsy operation, morphometric measurements should be collected and recorded on an appropriate Data Sheet. External examination of the animal should be conducted, including inspecting the oral cavity for possible presence of foreign material. The methodology suggested in the EU MSFD TGML report could be followed to carry out a dissection of the animal to expose the gastrointestinal system (GI). The following sampling procedure of GI contents can be applied to any section of the GI: the section of the GI should be placed in a graduated beaker of adequate size, pre-weighed on electronic balance (accuracy of  $\pm 1$ g). The section of GI should be open and the contents emptied into the beaker with the help of a spatula, followed by the record of the net weight and volume of the content. The section of the GI should be observed and any ulcers or any lesions caused by hard plastic items should be recorded.

The contents should be inspected for the presence of any tar, oil, or particularly fragile material that must be removed and treated separately. The liquid portion, mucus and the digested unidentifiable matter should be removed, by washing the contents with freshwater through a filter mesh 1 mm, followed by a rinse of all the material collected by the filter 1mm in 70% alcohol and finally again in freshwater. The retained content should be enclosed in plastic bags or pots, labelled and frozen, not forgetting the sample code and corresponding section of the GI. Finally, the contents can then be sent for analysis. If the contents are stored in liquid fixative, note of the compound and the percentage of dilution should be noted and communicated to the staff in charge of further analysis.

For the analysis of the contents of the GI, the organic component should be separated from any other items or material (marine litter). The fraction of marine litter should be analysed and categorised with the help of a stereo-microscope, following the approach used in the protocol for ingestion in birds (Van Franeker et al., 2005; 2011b; Matiddi et al., 2011) and using a Standard Data-Sheet.

The fraction of marine litter should be dried at room temperature and the organic fraction at 30°C. Both fractions should be weighted, including the different categories of items identified within the marine litter fraction. The volume of the litter found should also me measured, through the variation of water level in a graduated beaker, when the items are immersed without air. If possible,

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter	
	do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment	
Indicator Title	Candidate Common indicator 24: Trends in the amount of litter	
	ingested by or entangling marine organisms, especially mammals,	
	marine birds and turtles	

different categories of "food" should also be identified. Otherwise, the dry contents should be kept in labelled bags and sent to an expert taxonomist. An optional methodology for application for sampling litter excreted by live sea-turtles (faecal pellet analysis) in case of finding a specimen alive is recommended by the EU MSFD TGML.

For turtle analyses, stomach contents are sorted into the same categories as for birds. Following the method for seabirds, abundance by mass (weight in grams, accurate to 3th decimal) is the main information useful for the monitoring programme. Other information such as the colour of items, volume of litter, different type of litter, different incidence of litter in oesophagus, intestine and stomach, incidence and abundance by number per litter category, are useful for research and impact analysis. The size range should be  $\geq 1 \text{ mm}$  (stomach contents are rinsed over 1 mm mesh sieve).

#### Available data sources

- Mediterranean Association to Save the Sea Turtles (MEDASSET): http://www.medasset.org
  - Rescue centres and stranding networks

## Spatial scope guidance and selection of monitoring stations

Seabirds:

Dead birds are collected from beaches or from accidental mortalities such as long-line victims; fledgling road kills etc. (for methodology see Van Franeker, 2004). The tool is applicable to the regions where fulmars occur; for similar seabird species such as any of the family of the tubenoses, the methodology can follow this approach. This could for example be applied to shearwater species occurring in the Mediterranean Sea.

Sea turtles:

Dead sea turtles are collected from beaches or at sea from accidental mortalities such as victims of fishing gear (by catch) or of boat collisions. The tool is applicable to the Mediterranean Sea region.

# **Temporal Scope guidance**

Seabirds:

Continuous sampling is required. A sample size of 40 birds or more is recommended for a reliable annual average for a particular area. However, also years of low sample size can be used in the analysis of trends as these are based on individual birds and not on annual averages. For reliable conclusions on change or stability in ingested litter quantities, data over periods of 4 to 8 years (depending on the category of litter) is needed.

Sea turtles:

Continuous sampling is required. Minimum sample population size for year and period of sampling must be established for reliable conclusions on change or stability in ingested litter quantities.

Data analysis and assessment outputs

Statistical analysis and basis for aggregation

Seabirds:

Because of potential variations in annual data, it is recommended to describe 'current levels' as the average for all data from the most recent 5-year period, in which the average is the 'population average' which includes individuals that were found to have zero litter in the stomach.

	Related Ecological Objective: (EO 10) Marine and coastal litter	
	do not adversely affect the coastal and marine environment	
Indicator Title	Candidate Common indicator 24: Trends in the amount of litter	
	ingested by or entangling marine organisms, especially mammals,	
	marine birds and turtles	

As indicated, EcoQO data presentation for Northern Fulmars is for the combined contents of glandular (proventriculus) and muscular (gizzard) stomachs. Results of age groups are combined except for chicks or fledglings which should be dealt with separately. Potential bias from age structure in samples should be checked regularly.

In the Fulmar EcoQO, statistical significance of trends in ingested litter, i.e. plastics, is based on linear regression of ln-transformed data for the mass of litter (of a chosen category) in individual stomachs against their year of collection. 'Recent' trends are defined as derived from all data over the most recent 10-year period. The Fulmar EcoQO focuses on trend analyses for industrial plastics, user plastics, and their combined total.

Sea turtles:

Specific long-term monitoring programmes are required in order to assess trends.

#### Expected assessments outputs

- Develop an Ecological Quality Objective (ECOQ) for the ingestion of litter in indicator species suitable for monitoring (sea turtles) and support implementation of the monitoring of this indicator (capacity building, technology transfer).
- Identify new indicator species for impact (entanglement, ingestion, microplastics,) through laboratory and field evaluation, and define thresholds for harm.

#### Known gaps and uncertainties in the Mediterranean

- A better understanding of entanglement (lethal or sub lethal) under different environmental conditions and of how litter is ingested by marine organisms is necessary;
- For ingestion of litter by sea turtles, the precise definition of target (GES) and the identification of parameters/biological constrains and possible bias sources should be better exploited;
- Work on top-predator and "sentinel" species (fishes and invertebrates) should be promoted to provide additional protocols supporting the measurement of impacts;
- New approaches and new metrics to assess entanglement, or ingestion, in marine litter should be developed which may also open new perspectives in the context of monitoring.

### Contacts and version Date: UNEP/MAP 16 January 2017

Key contacts within UN Environment for further information

- Mr Christos Ioakeimidis, Marine Litter MED Project Expert, Mediterranean Pollution Assessment and Control Programme (MED POL) (<u>Christos.Ioakeimidis@unep.org</u>)
- Ms Virginie Hart, Programme Officer, UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan, Mediterranean Pollution Assessment and Control Programme (MED POL) (Virginie.Hart@unep.org)
- Ms Tatjana Hema, Deputy Coordinator, UN Environment / Mediterranean Action Plan (<u>Tatjana.Hema@unep.org</u>)

Version No	Date	Author
V.2	28.04.17	